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## FOREWORD

The Caribbean Community Council of Ministers, acting on the advice and recommendations of the Standing Committee of Caribbean Statisticians (SCCS), in February 2000, approved the use of a regionally coordinated approach for the 2000 Round of Population and Housing Censuses. The strategy included an activity on the Analysis and Dissemination of Census Data and Results, which comprised the preparation of National Census Reports (NCRs) and Regional Special Topic Monographs (RSTMs).

Fourteen Member States and four Associate Members participated in the programme. The participation of these countries in the Regional Census programme was in recognition of the value and economy of regional co-operation and coordination in executing the Censuses and for the production of comparable, high quality socio-economic data, useful in planning, and improving the quality of life and in achieving overall progress of the peoples of the Region.

The NCRs were undertaken by writers from the Region with experience in Demography, with two reviewers from the University of the West Indies (UWI) ensuring the soundness of the quality of the publications. On the basis of the review and comments by the respective National Statistical Offices and consultation with the writers and reviewers, the Reports were finalised by the CARICOM Secretariat.

The first and final drafts of this publication, "2000 Round of Population and Housing Census of the Caribbean Community: National Census Report, Barbados" were prepared by Ms Valerie E. Nam of Jamaica and reviewed by Professor Chukwudum Uche of UWI, Mona, Jamaica. The tables for the Report were generated by Mr. Wendell Thomas, Data Processing Consultant of Trinidad and Tobago as well as by the staff of the CARICOM Secretariat, specifically with respect to the RSTMs. The final draft was extensively reviewed by the Secretariat, including technical and language review and general formatting.

The analysis of the Census was funded by the Canadian International Development Agency (CIDA) through the CARICOM Capacity Development Programme (CCDP). The CCDP was designed as a strategic response to key trends and emerging priorities in the CARICOM environment with the objective of promoting the economic and social development of CARICOM through the deepening of the regional integration process. The overall aim of the CCDP was the strengthening of the institutional capacity of CARICOM to provide leadership in the regional integration process, and the enhancing of the implementation capacity of the CARICOM Secretariat to achieve clear results in core programme areas.

Specifically, the outputs of the Census Statistics Sub-Project under the CCDP were to lead to improved development planning in Member Countries and in the Region through the use of the
census data and information. The deliverables anticipated are eighteen (18) National Census Reports; five (5) Regional Special Topic Monographs; a volume of Basic Tables; training of personnel in demographic analysis through a seven-week workshop facilitated by UWI; and the establishment of an online facility to enable access to census data by users for analysis, research, policy formulation and decision-making.

The Census Data Analysis project was aimed at filling the gap existing in the Region and specifically within the national statistical systems in the area of demographic and population analysis, thereby enabling its use in policy and decision-making. Statisticians are in short supply in the Region and the area of demography is even more severely affected. The Census Data Analysis project provided a common framework for enabling comparability of the demographic transition and population characteristics across Member States based on the elements outlined in the content of the National Census Report. Additionally the reports are able to highlight trends in the demographic transition of the population of Member Countries from youthful to ageing populations; to make significant linkages with respect to education, training and economic activity; or economic activity with gender and fertility. The process of preparing the reports also allowed for quality checks on data, with the support of the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA) and the United Nations Economic Commission for Latin American and the Caribbean (UNECLAC).

A major challenge that persists is that of having clean data sets for analysis. To mitigate these data challenges, a series of four training courses is being undertaken to train personnel in the Region, with the first one funded out of the CCDP and the remaining three from a multiprogramme technical assistance project, with funds received from the Caribbean Development Bank (CDB). In addition, a short course for senior officials from statistical officers was also undertaken with CDB funding.

It is hoped that these Reports will benefit the countries through providing the analysis with regard to their age, sex, education, occupation, economic activity and other critical characteristics that are important to aid the formulation of policy and decision-making, both public and private, such as government officials, researchers, academics, members of the business community and civil society. Furthermore, the experience gained, together with the efforts to strengthen capacity, will equip the Region to analyse the results of the 2010 Census.

The CARICOM Secretariat takes this opportunity to thank all persons and organisations who have been associated with this Statistics project.

> EDWIN W. CARRINGTON SECRETARY-GENERAL CARIBBEAN COMMUNITY
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## INTRODUCTION

## 1. Census Activities in Barbados

Like all former British Caribbean colonies, Barbados has a long history of census taking dating back to the nineteenth century. The first systematic attempts to estimate the size of the populations were made in the early nineteenth century through the system of Slave Registration. Established partly to prevent the clandestine movements of slaves between colonies and partly in the interest of securing better treatment for them, the system produced triennial estimates beginning in 1817 and continuing until the abolition of slavery. The first census was taken in 1844. Beginning in 1851, there were five decennial censuses conducted between 1851 and 1891. The earliest twentieth century census was conducted in 1911. The census of 2000 was the fourteenth for Barbados in over 150 years since 1844.

## 2. Justification for the Conduct of Censuses of Population and Housing

Periodically conducted population and housing censuses, by providing comparable information for the country as a whole and for each administrative area therein, are among the primary sources of data needed for effective development planning and for the sound administration of national and local activities. Data derived from censuses are also indispensable to scientific analysis and appraisal of the composition, distribution and past and prospective growth of the population.

Consideration of issues of employment and manpower programmes, migration, housing, education, public health, social services and welfare, economic and social planning and several other aspects of life are facilitated if accurate information about the characteristics of the population is available for administrative divisions of the country. The changing patterns of urban-rural concentration, the development of urbanized areas, the geographic distribution of the population according to such variables as occupation and education, the evolution of the sex and age structure of the population, the mortality and fertility differentials of various population groups, as well as the economic and social characteristics of the population and labour force are
not only of interest to academic scientists, but are of importance to planners and researchers into economic and social problems including those related to industrial and commercial growth and management.

The importance of census data to individuals and institutions in business and industry should also be recognized. The development of a healthy and efficient business community is dependent to a large extent on reliable estimates of consumer demand including indicators of the ability to pay, as well as on the local availability of labour. Thus, accurate information, at the desired level of disaggregation, is required on the size of the population and its distribution, at least by age and sex, since these characteristics heavily influence the demand for housing, household furnishings, food, clothing, recreational facilities, medical supplies and the like. Data on labour force characteristics are also required since this may be important in the location and organization of business enterprises and for the determination of products to be considered for production.

Data from the housing census provide the only statement on the stock of housing in the country. When cross classified with data from the population census, they may be used to provide estimates of present and future housing needs. Housing census data are useful in the formulation and/or evaluation of housing policy and programmes and provide objective criteria on which they may be based. They also provide some of the statistical inputs required for the computation of the indicators for the housing component in the measurement of levels of living.

## 3. Historical Perspective of Demographic Growth in Barbados

Like all New World societies, the English-speaking societies of the Caribbean including Barbados, owe their origin to migration. (Roberts, 1974). Almost at every stage of its history, external migration of some sort has dominated the demographic, economic and social position of the island. Roberts (no date) identifies three waves of migration extending from the fifteenth to the early twentieth century as follows:
(i) The introduction of the Europeans;
(ii) The slave trade which surpassed in scale all other movements into the island and which has provided the majority of its present population;
(iii) The introduction of indentured workers which, although small in scale when compared to the slave trade, had important cultural, social and economic consequences for the country.

Barbados was not affected by this third wave and was, in fact, the only territory which did not participate in the indenture movement as a means of sustaining an adequate supply of plantation workers. Instead, the island became involved in migration schemes which were designed to rid itself of an excess supply of labour. Population movements for the intercensal intervals since 1844 are shown in Table (i). The first broad period of historical growth since the inception of censuses, can be considered as extending from 1844-1891. During this period the population moved from 122,198 in 1844 to 182,867 in 1891, an overall increase of 60,669 and an average annual rate of growth of 0.9 per cent.

The dominant feature of the next broad interval, covering the period 1891-1921 was migration. This period was a particularly significant one for Caribbean history because it marked the first period in which there was large-scale emigration from the region. One factor causing this was the depressed economic conditions in most territories. Another important stimulus to the outflow witnessed during this period was the demand for labour in Central America on the construction of the Panama Canal, and on the banana industry in Costa Rica. In addition, labour was also needed in the sugar industry in Cuba. All of these projects required substantial numbers of unskilled and semi-skilled workers. There was easy communication between these areas and Barbados, and the attraction of relatively high level of wages in addition to freedom of entry into the country up to 1921. The easy access made possible by existing shipping links, unfavourable economic conditions in the island associated with disastrous hurricanes, World War I and the severe depression in the sugar industry, all resulted in considerable exodus to various destinations.

Table (i) Population Size and Growth: 1844-2000

| Census Year | Total | Population |  | Sex <br> Ratio | Population Growth |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |  | Total Increase | Average Annual Rate of Growth (\%) |
| 1844 | 122,198 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1851 | 135,939 | 62,272 | 73,667 | 84.5 | 13,741 | 1.53 |
| 1861 | 152,727 | 70,799 | 81,928 | 86.4 | 16,788 | 1.17 |
| 1871 | 162,042 | 73,452 | 88,590 | 82.9 | 9,315 | 0.59 |
| 1881 | 171,860 | 77,253 | 94,607 | 81.7 | 9,818 | 0.59 |
| 1891 | 182,867 | 81,657 | $\begin{array}{r} 101,21 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 80.7 | 11,007 | 0.62 |
| 1911 | 172,337 | 70,577 | $\begin{array}{r} 101,76 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 69.4 | -10,530 | -0.30 |
| 1921 | 156,774 | 63,421 | 93,353 | 67.9 | -15,563 | -0.94 |
| 1946 | 192,800 | 85,727 | $\begin{array}{r} 107,07 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 80.1 | 36,026 | 0.83 |
| 1960 | 232,327 | $\begin{array}{r} 105,51 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 126,80 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 83.2 | 39,527 | 1.34 |
| 1970 | 236,891 | $\begin{array}{r} 111,42 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 125,46 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | 88.8 | 4,564 | 0.19 |
| 1980 | 247,129 | $\begin{array}{r} 117,23 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 129,89 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 90.3 | 10,238 | 0.42 |
| 1990 | 260,491 $*$ | $\begin{array}{r} 124,57 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 135,92 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 91.7 | 13,362 | 0.53 |
| 2000 | 268,792 $*$ | 129,24 | $\begin{array}{r} 139,55 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | 92.6 | 8,301 | 0.31 |

* adjusted

Source: 1844-1980: 1980-81 Population Census of the Commonwealth Caribbean, Barbados, Volume 3 CARICOM Secretariat

It is estimated that between 1881 and 1921, 46,000 persons emigrated from Barbados to the United States of America. The result of the total outflow of approximately 80,000 persons or about 2,000 a year between 1881 and 1921, together with the prevailing high mortality of the
period, was a reduction of growth rates from 1.3 per cent between 1861 and 1881 , to 0.6 per cent between 1881 and 1891 and then to an almost 1 per cent decline ( 0.9 per cent) between 1911 and 1921.

Much of the period after 1921 is covered by the longest intercensal interval since the establishment of census taking in the islands, as it was twenty-five years before another census was conducted in 1946. The year 1921 signalled two important changes in the demographic history of Barbados. In the first place, it marked the end of the era of unrestricted emigration to the United States and Latin America. The passing of the Quota Acts in the United States in 1921 and 1924 severely restricted migration from Barbados. In the case of Latin America, the movement was halted by the completion of major construction and agricultural expansion programmes, which had previously attracted large numbers of Barbadian workers.

In the second place, the year 1921 marked the emergence of an era of mortality control in Barbados and many Caribbean territories. Measures to improve public health, sanitation, housing and medical facilities to control specific important diseases, led to the end of a long period of high and stationary mortality and the opening of an era of declining mortality. Between 1921 and 1946, population growth in Barbados was 0.8 per cent, the highest increase since 1871. The addition of just over 36,000 to the population during these twenty-five years came after two successive intercensal periods of decline. High fertility and the continued decline in mortality resulted in a high growth rate of 1.3 per cent between 1946 and 1960, despite the resurgence of emigration after World War 11. Average annual natural increase for the period was 4,170, compared to average annual emigration of 1,350 .

The low rates of growth which have been observed for Barbados since 1960 are mainly indicative of the decreasing levels of fertility and continued emigration. Decreasing fertility itself may be attributed to new, large scale emigration after 1960, following restrictions imposed by the receiving countries. Growing knowledge about contraceptives and much greater availability of contraceptive supplies have also had its impact. Table (ii) which presents the components of
growth for the period since 1960 , shows intercensal birth rates falling by 50 per cent in the thirty years since 1970, from 27.6 per 1000 in that year to 13.8 per 1000 in 2000.

Table (ii) Components of Population Change: 1960-2000

| Census Year | Census Population | Births, Deaths and Migration in Intercensal Period |  |  | Crude Rates a/ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Births | Deaths | Migration | Births | Deaths |
| 1960 | 232,300 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 236,900 ${ }^{1}$ | 64,700 | 21,400 | -37,200 | 27.58 | 9.12 |
| 1980 | 247,100 | 47,400 | 21,300 | -15,800 | 19.59 | 8.80 |
| 1990 | 260,500* | 41,600 | 21,100 | -7,100 | 16.39 | 8.31 |
| 2000 | 268,800* | 36,400 | 22,200 | -5,900 | 13.75 | 8.39 |

* adjusted,
a/ : Crude rates are average annual rates calculated on the intercensal data for each $\mathbf{1 0}$ year period.
Source: 1960-1980: 1980-81 Population Census of the Commonwealth Caribbean, Barbados, Volume 3 CARICOM Secretariat 1990-2000 Barbados Statistical Services

Table (iii) Components of Population Change: 1990-2000

| Item | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Population* at Census 1990 | 260,491 | 124,571 | 135,920 |
| Population* at Census 2000 | 268,792 | 129,241 | 139,551 |
| Intercensal Increase | 8,301 | 4,670 | 3,631 |
| Births 1990-2000 | 36,400 | 18,492 | 17,908 |
| Deaths 1990-2000 | 22,229 | 11,094 | 11,135 |
| Natural Increase 1990-2000 | 14,171 | 7,398 | 6,773 |
| Implied Net Migration 1990-2000 | $-5,870$ | $-2,728$ | $-3,142$ |

[^0]
## Source: Barbados Statistical Services, * adjusted,

 Changes for the most recent intercensal period, 1990-2000 for males and females respectively, are presented in Table (iii).
## 4. The Conduct of the 2000 Population and Housing Census

### 4.1 Coverage, Design and Methodology

Censuses of population are generally conducted on either a 'de facto' or 'de jure' basis. A 'de facto' census seeks to determine the number and characteristics of the population present in an area at the time of the census. The 'de jure' census identifies the population usually resident in the area whether physically present or not, at the time of the census. The 2000 census was conducted on a 'de jure' basis. The 'de jure' count includes all persons, Barbadians and nonBarbadian residents who were present in the country on census day May 1, 2000 and also persons who were abroad but who were expected to return to Barbados within six months of their departure. Foreign diplomats resident in Barbados were excluded. Information was also collected for the following groups who were expected to be away for a period exceeding six months:
(i) Diplomatic personnel who were stationed in Barbados Missions abroad;
(ii) Barbadian workers on contract in foreign countries;
(iii) Foreign students studying or expected to be studying in Barbados for periods in excess of six months

### 4.2 The Institutional Population

For purposes of the census, persons resident in the institutions listed were regarded as the institutional population and were canvassed for age and sex data only:

- Children's Homes
- Nursing Homes or Homes for the Aged
- Correctional Facilities
- District Hospitals


### 4.3 The Scope of the Census

The topics included on the census questionnaire were as follows:
a. Individual Questionnaire

- Age
- Sex
- Relationship to Head of Household
- Religious Affiliation
- Ethnic Origin
- Marital Status
- Fertility and Union Status
- Educational Attainment
- Disability
- Birthplace and Residence
- Technical and Vocational Training
- Economic Activity
b. Household questionnaire
- Type of Dwelling Unit
- Material of Outer Walls
- Roofing Material
- Year of Construction
- Occupancy Status
- Number of Rooms
- Number of Bedrooms
- Land Tenure
- Type of Toilet Facilities
- Source of Water
- Type of Lighting
- Source of Energy for cooking
- Availability of specific appliances/household equipment
- Availability of Internet Access
- Availability of Motor Vehicles


## 5. Geographic Division of Barbados for Census Purposes

Barbados was divided into geographic units called Enumeration Districts (EDs) for the purpose of data collection during the 2000 Population Census. Each ED is an independent unit which shares common boundaries with contiguous EDs. Enumeration Districts or EDs were grouped into parishes.

The parish is an established legal division and there are a total of eleven parishes. These eleven parish boundaries were established in 1645 , an improvement from the six boundaries which existed from 1629 to 1645 , and remained unchanged until 1990. Until 1990, the boundaries followed straight imaginary lines, which in some cases cut across houses. The 1990 Boundaries Act established identifiable features, in most cases, roads, on the ground, to demarcate parishes.

## 6. Main Census Findings

The 2000 census of Barbados counted 252,620 persons as usual residents. This comprised 250,010 in private households and 2,610 in the institutions as defined for the census. Evaluation of the census count based on pre-census listings identified an undercount of 6 per cent, showing the total population therefore, as 268,792.

Table (iv) Summary of Population Count for Barbados: 2000

| Item | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Resident Population of which: | $\mathbf{2 6 8 , 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 9 , 2 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 9 , 5 5 1}$ |
| Non-Institutional Enumerated | 250,010 | 119,926 | 130,084 |
| Institutional | 2,610 | 1,542 | 1,068 |
| Non-Enumerated | 16,172 | 7,773 | 8,399 |
| Estimated Undercount (\%) | 6.02 | 6.01 | 6.02 |

Table (v) Summary of Population Count for Parishes: 2000

| Parish | Resident <br> Population | Enumerated Population <br> Estimated <br> Undercount |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{~ T o t a l ~}$ |  | Institutional | (\%) |  |  |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{2 5 2 , 6 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 0 2}$ |
| St. Michael | 91,025 | 85,618 | 83,684 | 1,934 | 5.94 |
| Christ | 52,922 | 49,705 | 49,497 | 208 | 6.08 |
| Church |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. George | 19,048 | 17,890 | 17,868 | 22 | 6.08 |
| St. Philip | 24,566 | 23,090 | 22,864 | 226 | 6.01 |
| St. John | 9,448 | 8,873 | 8,873 | 0 | 6.09 |
| St. James | 24,270 | 22,802 | 22,741 | 61 | 6.05 |
| St. Thomas | 13,260 | 12,460 | 12,397 | 63 | 6.03 |
| St. Joseph | 7,244 | 6,805 | 6,805 | 0 | 6.06 |
| St. Andrew | 5,613 | 5,274 | 5,254 | 20 | 6.04 |
| St. Peter | 11,405 | 10,714 | 10,699 | 15 | 6.06 |
| St. Lucy | 9,991 | 9,389 | 9,328 | 61 | 6.03 |

Note: The enumerated non-institutional population of $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ represents the total population on which the census tabulations and analyses are based.

Tables (iv) and (v) show the distribution of the population and identify the enumerated and nonenumerated institutional and non-institutional population for the country as a whole, and for the parishes. The level of undercount is fairly consistent between the parishes.

Only one parish, St. Michael, showed an undercount which is less than 6 percent.

## CHAPTER 1

## NATIONAL POPULATION TRENDS: SIZE, DISTRIBUTION, GROWTH, SEX AND AGE COMPOSITION

### 1.1 Geographic Distribution

Based on the enumerated non-institutional population of 250,010 at census 2000 and 247,288 at 1990, the numerical increase in the population of Barbados in the ten years since the 1990 census was 2,722 . Tables 1.1 and 1.2 present the distribution of the population by parish for the two censuses and show the changes and the rates of growth for the ten year period.

More than 50 per cent of the population of Barbados lives in the contiguous parishes of St Michael and Christ Church. Historically, these two parishes have been the most populous. What is now evident however is a declining rate of growth for the most populous parish of St Michael. St Michael, in which the capital Bridgetown is located, has been the focus of urban and commercial activity since its development in the eighteenth century. By 1921, this parish (including Bridgetown) contained 34.7 per cent of the total population of Barbados, a proportion which increased to 41.5 per cent in 1970. In 1990, 36.3 per cent of the population of Barbados was to be found in the parish of St Michael, but by 2000, this had declined to 33.5 per cent. (Table 1.2).

Overall, the population of St Michael declined at a rate of 0.7 per cent annually for the ten years between 1990 and 2000. For Christ Church which contained one fifth of the population of Barbados in 2000, the annual rate of growth in the most recent intercensal period was 0.5 per cent. Occurring simultaneously with these declines in the traditionally most populous parishes were marked increases in the parishes of St Thomas (1.5 per cent per annum) and St Philip (1.08 per cent per annum). Growth in these parishes far exceeded the annual rate of 0.11 per cent growth for the country (Table 1.2).

Table 1.1 Population by Sex and Parish: 1990 and 2000

| Parish | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 , 7 3 2}$ |
| St Michael | 83,684 | 40,153 | 43,531 | 89,840 | 42,892 | 46,948 |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church | 49,497 | 23,213 | 26,284 | 46,943 | 22,065 | 24,878 |
| St George | 17,868 | 8,628 | 9,240 | 16,718 | 8,140 | 8,578 |
| St Philip | 22,864 | 11,063 | 11,801 | 20,540 | 10,052 | 10,488 |
| St John | 8,873 | 4,424 | 4,449 | 9,640 | 4,754 | 4,886 |
| St James | 22,741 | 10,669 | 12,072 | 20,771 | 9,798 | 10,973 |
| St Thomas | 12,397 | 6,013 | 6,384 | 10,676 | 5,124 | 5,552 |
| St Joseph | 6,805 | 3,385 | 3,420 | 7,204 | 3,581 | 3,623 |
| St Andrew | 5,254 | 2,646 | 2,608 | 5,624 | 2,792 | 2,832 |
| St Peter | 10,699 | 5,175 | 5,524 | 10,055 | 4,874 | 5,181 |
| St Lucy | 9,328 | 4,557 | 4,771 | 9,277 | 4,484 | 4,793 |

Table 1.2 Distribution of the Total Population and Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Parish | 2000 |  | 1990 |  | Change 1990-2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Absolut <br> Change | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percentag } \\ \text { e } \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | Annual Rate of Growth (\%) |
|  | No. | \% |  |  |  | No. | \% |
|  | 250,01 |  | 247,28 |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 100.0 | 8 | 100.0 | 2,722 | 1.10 | 0.11 |
| St Michael | 83,684 | 33.47 | 89,840 | 36.33 | -6,156 | -6.85 | -0.71 |
| Christ Church | 49,497 | 19.80 | 46,943 | 18.98 | 2,554 | 5.44 | 0.53 |
| St George | 17,868 | 7.15 | 16,718 | 6.76 | 1,150 | 6.88 | 0.67 |
| St Philip | 22,864 | 9.15 | 20,540 | 8.31 | 2,324 | 11.31 | 1.07 |
| St John | 8,873 | 3.55 | 9,640 | 3.90 | -767 | -7.96 | -0.83 |
| St James | 22,741 | 9.10 | 20,771 | 8.40 | 1,970 | 9.48 | 0.91 |
| St Thomas | 12,397 | 4.96 | 10,676 | 4.32 | 1,721 | 16.12 | 1.49 |
| St Joseph | 6,805 | 2.72 | 7,204 | 2.91 | -399 | -5.54 | -0.57 |
| St Andrew | 5,254 | 2.10 | 5,624 | 2.27 | -370 | -6.58 | -0.68 |
| St Peter | 10,699 | 4.28 | 10,055 | 4.07 | 644 | 6.40 | 0.62 |
| St Lucy | 9,328 | 3.73 | 9,277 | 3.75 | 51 | 0.55 | 0.05 |

### 1.2 Sex Composition

Age and sex are the most basic and most important of all demographic variables. Separate data for males and females and for different age groups, are important in themselves for the analysis of other variables, and for the evaluation of the completeness and accuracy of census counts. The sex composition of a population is generally determined by the sex ratio at birth and the different patterns in mortality and migration of men and women. The sex ratio, which is the measure of the sex composition of a population, is also referred to as the male to female ratio, and is usually expressed as the number of males for every 100 females. The sex ratio at birth is biologically stable and ranges between 103 and 106 male births to every 100 female births. Whereas in European societies sex ratios at birth are normally about 106, in the case of West Indian
populations these are usually about 103 or less. (Roberts, 1974). The low sex ratio coupled with the lower death rates among women produces an excess of females, especially at the older ages.

The severe limitations of data for the early slave period makes it impossible to estimate the extent of any imbalance between the sexes for that period, but the preponderance of males among the European colonisers and the African slaves would suggest the existence of a high sex ratio. With the end of the slave trade and the ensuing policy of stimulating reproduction as the only means of maintaining the labour force, a reduction in the preponderance of males was inevitable. The decline was steady, with females gradually outnumbering men. The excess of females that emerged in the last days of slavery has distinguished the Barbadian population ever since.

The 2000 census results show that of the enumerated population of 250,010 , females numbered 130,084 and males, 119,926. Between 1990 and 2000, the male population grew slightly faster ( 1.2 per cent) than the female population ( 1.1 per cent). The excess of females over males dropped only slightly to 10,158 in 2000 , compared to 10,176 in 1990 . This resulted in a small increase in the sex ratio, from 92.1 in 1990 to 92.2 in 2000.

Table 1.3 Sex Composition of the Population: 1990 and 2000

| Item | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | No of Persons | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of Total | No of <br> Persons | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of Total |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Male | 119,926 | 47.97 | 118,556 | 47.94 |
| Female | 130,084 | 52.03 | 128,732 | 52.06 |
| Excess of | 10,158 |  | 10,176 |  |
| Females/Males | 92.19 |  | 92.10 |  |
| Sex Ratio |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Item | Change between 1990 and 2000 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$

The sex ratios presented for broad age groups in Table 1.4 below show the preponderance of males below age 30. The ratio drops fairly significantly after age 29 and at the higher ages the considerable excess of females is evident.

Table 1.4 Sex Ratio by Specified Age Groups: 1990 and 2000

| Age Group | Sex Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 2 . 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 1 0}$ |
| $0-4$ | 103.39 | 102.16 |
| $5-14$ | 102.42 | 102.26 |
| $15-29$ | 100.11 | 100.13 |
| $30-44$ | 92.56 | 93.89 |
| $45-59$ | 90.01 | 83.53 |

(Contd.) Table 1.4

| Age Group | Sex Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |
| $60+$ | 71.14 | 70.19 |
| $65+$ | 68.59 | 68.68 |
| $75+$ | 61.32 | 61.41 |

At the parish level, the female population outnumbered the male population in all but one parish in 2000. The parish of Christ Church had the largest excess of females ( 6.2 per cent) and consequently showed the lowest sex ratio, 88.3. St. James (88.4) was the only other parish with a ratio lower than the national ratio. On the other hand, the largest excess of males over females was seen for St. Andrew ( 0.7 per cent), with a sex ratio of 101.5.

Table 1.5 Analysis of Sex Distribution by Parish: 1990 and 2000

| Parish | 2000 |  |  | 1990 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Masculinity Prop. | $\%$ <br> Excess/ <br> Deficit of Males over Females | Sex <br> Ratio | Masculinity Prop. | \% Excess/ <br> Deficit of <br> Males over <br> Females | Sex <br> Ratio |
| Total | 47.97 | -4.06 | 92.19 | 47.94 | -4.12 | 92.10 |
| St Michael | 47.98 | -4.04 | 92.24 | 47.74 | -4.51 | 91.36 |
| Christ <br> Church | 46.90 | -6.20 | 88.32 | 47.00 | -5.99 | 88.69 |
| St George | 48.29 | -3.43 | 93.38 | 48.69 | -2.62 | 94.89 |
| St Philip | 48.39 | -3.23 | 93.75 | 48.94 | -2.12 | 95.84 |
| St John | 49.86 | -0.28 | 99.44 | 49.32 | -1.37 | 97.30 |
| St James | 46.92 | -6.17 | 88.38 | 47.17 | -5.66 | 89.29 |
| St Thomas | 48.50 | -2.99 | 94.19 | 48.00 | -4.01 | 92.29 |
| St Joseph | 49.74 | -0.51 | 98.98 | 49.71 | -0.58 | 98.84 |
| St Andrew | 50.36 | 0.72 | 101.46 | 49.64 | -0.71 | 98.59 |
| St Peter | 48.37 | -3.26 | 93.68 | 48.47 | -3.05 | 94.07 |
| St Lucy | 48.85 | -2.29 | 95.51 | 48.33 | -3.33 | 93.55 |

## Note: see Technical Notes

### 1.3 Age Structure

The age structure, like the sex structure of the population, has been largely determined by the high fertility patterns of the past. Patterns of migration have also had an impact, as not only were past migratory practices sex selective, but they were also age selective. The distribution of the population by age and sex is presented in Appendix Tables 1.1-1.6. Table 1.6 below summarises the data for broad age groups and presents the comparative proportions for 1990 and 2000.

The evidence of the continued declining fertility is clearly seen with the decreased proportions in the youngest age groups among males and females. In 1990, just about a quarter of the male
population was less than 15 years old. By 2000 this had declined to 23 per cent. Declining proportions are also evident for the 15-29 years group. While more than one quarter of both the male and female populations was between the ages of 15 and 29 years in 1990, the proportion declined by 4 percentage points for women in the 10 years, from 26 per cent to 22 per cent. For men, the fall was by 5 percentage points from 28 per cent to 23 per cent. Occurring simultaneously with this decline in the proportion of the younger age groups has been the increasing proportion in the older groups. In 1990, more than one third, ( 34 per cent) of the total population was between the ages of 30 and 59 years old. By 2000, this proportion had increased to 40 per cent. Overall, the proportion of the population 60 years and older remained almost unchanged between 1990 and 2000 at 15 per cent, while the 65 years and older group declined minimally from 11.9 per cent to 11.7 per cent.

An effective way of showing the age structure of the population, and any shifts between periods, is by means of age pyramids, as depicted in Figure (i). By showing numbers or proportions of males and females in each age group, the pyramid gives a vivid "picture" of the age and sex structure of the population. The pyramid at Figure (i) shows the age sex structure of the Barbadian population at 2000. Each horizontal bar represents the size of an age-sex group. The bottom bar shows the number of males and females who were under five years of age at the census; that is, persons born in the five years preceding the census. The bar located at ages 40-44 represents persons born between 1956 and 1960 and so forth. At the top of the pyramid, very brief bars show the small number of surviving members of the birth cohorts of 1915 and earlier. Each year, a new cohort is born and is placed at the bottom of the pyramid while those above move up. As the cohorts age, they inevitably lose members because of death and migration.

Table 1.6 Percentage Distribution of the Population by Sex and Specific Age Groups: 1990 and 2000

| Age Group | 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| Total | 250,010 |  | 119,926 |  | 130,084 |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 0-4 | 17239 | 6.90 | 8763 | 7.31 | 8476 | 6.52 |
| 5-14 | 37362 | 14.94 | 18904 | 15.76 | 18458 | 14.19 |
| 15-29 | 56178 | 22.47 | 28105 | 23.44 | 28073 | 21.58 |
| 30-44 | 60900 | 24.36 | 29273 | 24.41 | 31627 | 24.31 |
| 45-59 | 39995 | 16.00 | 18946 | 15.80 | 21049 | 16.18 |
| 60-64 | 8925 | 3. 57 | 3969 | 3.31 | 4956 | 3.81 |
| 65+ | 29411 | 11.76 | 11966 | 9.98 | 17445 | 13.41 |
|  | 1990 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| Total | 247,288 |  | 118,556 |  | 128,732 |  |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| 0-4 | 18625 | 7.53 | 9412 | 7.94 | 9213 | 7.16 |
| 5-14 | 40962 | 16.57 | 20710 | 17.47 | 20252 | 15.74 |
| 15-29 | 66895 | 27.06 | 33470 | 28.24 | 33425 | 25.97 |
| 30-44 | 54686 | 22.12 | 26482 | 22.34 | 28204 | 21.91 |
| 45-59 | 28227 | 11.42 | 12847 | 10.84 | 15380 | 11.95 |
| 60-64 | 8571 | 3.47 | 3696 | 3.12 | 4875 | 3.79 |
| 65+ | 29322 | 11.86 | 11939 | 10.01 | 17383 | 13.50 |

Most countries fall into one of three general types of pyramids: (i) Expansive - a broad base, indicating a high proportion of children and a rapid rate of population growth; (ii) Constrictive a base that is narrower than the middle of the pyramid, usually the result of a recent rapid decline in fertility; (iii) Stationary - a narrow base and roughly equal numbers in each age group, tapering off at the older ages, indicating a moderate proportion of children and a slow or zero rate of growth.

The pyramid for Barbados shows a narrowing base and obvious narrowing in the lower age ranges as fertility decline takes effect. The increases in the middle age ranges are very evident as the pyramid takes on a more rectangular appearance.

Figure (i) Population Pyramid for Barbados: 2000


Declines in fertility and improvements in levels of mortality, has led to an ageing of the population. The decline in the proportion under 15 years old, previously discussed, is evidence of this. Further evidence of ageing is seen when changes in the median age over time are observed.

The median age is that age which divides a population into numerically equal parts of younger and older persons. Populations with medians under 20 years may be described as "young" while those with medians 30 or over, as "old". Median ages of between 20-29 years reflect a population of intermediate age.

The median ages shown in Table 1.7 below depict an ageing population; with the median age increasing by 4 years between 1990 and 2000 from approximately 29 years to 33 years. Of note,
is the difference of about 3 years between the age for men and women. The higher proportions of women in the older age groups, discussed earlier, have resulted in a higher average age for women. It should be noted that the Median age is one of the approaches in accessing whether a population is ageing or not.

Table 1.7 Median Age (in years) of the Population by Sex: 1990 and 2000

| Sex | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | Years Added 1990- <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 33.13 | 28.88 | 4.25 |
| Male | 31.69 | 27.58 | 4.11 |
| Female | 34.49 | 30.15 | 4.34 |

An examination of the median ages by parish for 2000 (Table 1.8) shows Christ Church (34.5 years) and St James ( 34 years) as the parishes with the oldest populations, both with average ages exceeding the country total, and St. Andrew (31 years) as the parish with the youngest.

Table 1.8 Median Age (in Years) of the Population by Parish: 1990 and 2000

| Parish | Median Age |  | Years Added |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 5}$ |
| St Michael | 32.55 | 28.88 | 3.67 |
| Christ Church | 34.45 | 30.05 | 4.40 |
| St George | 32.55 | 28.43 | 4.12 |

National Census Report 2000, Barbados

| Parish | Median Age |  | Years Added <br> $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ <br>  <br> $\mathbf{1 9 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |
| St. John | 32.31 | 28.88 | 28.00 |
| St James | 34.31 | 28.95 | 4.31 |
| St Thomas | 31.87 | 27.89 | 5.36 |
| St Joseph | 32.38 | 27.50 | 3.98 |
| St Andrew | 30.87 | 26.33 | 4.88 |
| St Peter | 33.20 | 28.00 | 4.54 |
| St Lucy | 33.27 | 28.29 | 5.20 |

Table 1.9 Percentage Distribution of the Total Population by Broad Age Groups and Dependency Ratios by Parish: 1990 and 2000

| Parish | Percentage of Total Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 15 Years |  | 15-64 Years |  | 65+ Years |  |
|  | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 |
| Total | 21.84 | 24.10 | 66.40 | 64.06 | 11.76 | 11.84 |
| St Michael | 22.35 | 24.19 | 65.35 | 63.34 | 12.30 | 12.47 |
| Christ Church | 20.88 | 23.37 | 66.68 | 65.21 | 12.45 | 11.42 |
| St George | 22.10 | 23.70 | 66.81 | 64.47 | 11.09 | 11.83 |
| St. Philip | 22.16 | 24.55 | 67.02 | 64.19 | 10.82 | 11.26 |
| St. John | 22.25 | 23.89 | 65.66 | 63.80 | 12.09 | 12.31 |
| St James | 20.86 | 24.18 | 68.96 | 66.27 | 10.18 | 9.55 |
| St Thomas | 23.67 | 24.78 | 66.06 | 63.75 | 10.27 | 11.47 |
| St Joseph | 21.59 | 24.46 | 65.55 | 62.27 | 12.86 | 13.27 |
| St Andrew | 22.48 | 25.23 | 66.90 | 62.66 | 10.62 | 12.11 |
| St. Peter | 21.24 | 24.47 | 66.74 | 63.48 | 12.02 | 12.05 |
| St. Lucy | 21.16 | 24.64 | 66.81 | 62.73 | 12.03 | 12.63 |
|  | Dependency Ratios: 1990 and 2000 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  | Youth |  | Aged |  |
|  | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 | 2000 | 1990 |
| Total | 50.61 | 56.10 | 32.89 | 37.62 | 17.72 | 18.48 |
| St Michael | 53.02 | 57.87 | 34.20 | 38.18 | 18.82 | 19.69 |
| Christ Church | 49.98 | 53.35 | 31.31 | 35.83 | 18.66 | 17.51 |
| St George | 49.69 | 55.10 | 33.08 | 36.76 | 16.60 | 18.35 |
| St. Philip | 49.21 | 55.79 | 33.07 | 38.25 | 16.15 | 17.54 |
| St. John | 52.30 | 56.73 | 33.88 | 37.45 | 18.42 | 19.28 |
| St James | 45.01 | 50.90 | 30.25 | 36.49 | 14.76 | 14.41 |
| St Thomas | 51.37 | 56.87 | 35.82 | 38.88 | 15.54 | 17.99 |
| St Joseph | 52.54 | 60.59 | 32.93 | 39.28 | 19.61 | 21.31 |
| St Andrew | 49.47 | 59.59 | 33.60 | 40.27 | 15.87 | 19.32 |
| St. Peter | 49.85 | 57.52 | 31.83 | 38.55 | 18.01 | 18.98 |
| St. Lucy | 49.68 | 59.41 | 31.68 | 39.29 | 18.00 | 20.12 |

The variations in the proportions of children, aged persons and persons of "working age" are both taken account of in the age dependency ratio. The age dependency ratio represents the ratio of the combined child population and aged population, the "dependent ages" (under 15 and 65 years and over) to the population of the "economically productive" ages (15-64 years). Where more detailed data are lacking, the age-dependency ratio is often used, as an indicator of the economic burden the productive portion of a population must carry, even though some persons defined as "dependent" are producers and some persons in the "productive" ages are economically dependent.

Table 1.9 shows the percentage distribution of each of the three age groups identified for Barbados and the eleven parishes and the dependency ratios for 1990 and 2000. Movements in the age groups between the two periods, which declined in the younger groups and increased among the older cohorts, have already been discussed.

In 1990, for every 100 persons of working age, there were approximately 56 in the "dependent" groups. By 2000, this ratio had fallen to 51 . The youth dependency ratio which relates the under 15 years to the 15-64 years, fell from 38 per 100 in 1990 to 33 per 100 in 2000. The smallest movement is observed for the old age ratio, which moved by a relatively small 1 per cent from 18.5 per 100 to 17.7 per 100 in the ten years.

An examination of the data for the parishes shows St Thomas as the parish with the highest youth dependency ratio in 2000 ( 36 per 100). However, the parishes of St. Michael and St. Joseph have the highest overall dependency ratio, 53 per 100. The table shows St James as the parish with the lowest dependency ratios in 2000, 30 per 100 and 15 per 100 for the youth and aged respectively, with an overall ratio of 45 per 100 . Table 1.10 which presents the changes over the ten years, shows decline in all parishes. The parishes of St Andrew and St Lucy experienced decreases in total dependency ratios of more than 15 per cent. The ratio for St.

Andrew moved by 17 per cent from 60 per 100 in 1990 to 50 per 100 in 2000. For St. Lucy, the fall was by 16 per cent from 59 per 100 in 1990 to 50 per 100 in 2000.

Table 1.10 Percentage Change in Total Dependency Ratios by Parish: 1990 and 2000

| Parish | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ | Percentage Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 50.6 | 56.1 | -9.80 |
| St Michael | 53.0 | 57.9 | -8.38 |
| Christ Church | 50.0 | 53.3 | -6.31 |
| St. George | 49.7 | 55.1 | -9.83 |
| St. Philip | 49.2 | 55.8 | -11.80 |
| St John | 52.3 | 56.7 | -7.81 |
| St James | 45.0 | 50.9 | -11.57 |
| St Thomas | 51.4 | 56.9 | -9.68 |
| St Joseph | 52.5 | 60.6 | -13.28 |
| St. Andrew | 49.5 | 59.6 | -16.98 |
| St. Peter | 49.8 | 57.5 | -13.34 |
| St. Lucy | 49.7 | 59.4 | -16.38 |

## CHAPTER 2

## NATIONAL POPULATION TRENDS: SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

### 2.1 Nativity

### 2.1.1 The Foreign Born Population

The 2000 census found that 23,485 or 9 per cent of the total population of Barbados, reported the place of birth as a foreign country. Over 15,000 of these persons were from other CARICOM states, representing more than 60 per cent of the total foreign born. The main countries of origin were Guyana, with 4,349 or 19 per cent of all foreign born and St Vincent and the Grenadines with 3,791 or 16 per cent of all foreign born. The largest single group from a country outside the region came from the United Kingdom. A total of 3,109 persons or 13 per cent of the foreign born came from the United Kingdom while 4 per cent originated in Canada.

Table 2.1 The Foreign-born Population by Country of Birth: 2000

|  | Number | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 3 , 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| CARICOM | $\mathbf{1 5 , 1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 6 8}$ |
| Guyana | 4,349 | 18.52 |
| St. Vincent \& The Grenadines | 3,791 | 16.14 |
| Other CARICOM | 7,050 | 30.02 |
| Other Countries | $\mathbf{7 , 4 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 3}$ |
| United Kingdom | 3,109 | 13.24 |
| United States of America | 1,272 | 5.42 |
| Canada | 853 | 3.63 |
| India | 507 | 2.16 |
| Other Countries | 1,688 | 7.19 |
| Not Stated | $\mathbf{8 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 9}$ |

The 23,485 persons represented an increase of 2,141 or 10 per cent over the 1990 total of 21,344 . The annual rate of growth of 0.96 per cent of the foreign born exceeded the 0.06 per cent growth rate for the local born and the 0.11 per cent for the total population over the same period. As stated in Chapter 1, the total population of Barbados increased by 2,722 between 1990 and 2000. This means that the foreign born population accounted for more than three quarters of this increase. Table 2.2 presents a summary of the population of Barbados by sex and birthplace at the 1990 and 2000 censuses. The table shows that there was an excess of females over males among the foreign and local born. The sex ratio for this foreign born group was 73 per 100, compared to 94 per 100 among the local born in 2000.

Table 2.2 Population by Sex and Nativity: 1990 and 2000

| Type | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ |
| Male | 119,926 | 118,556 |
| Female | 130,084 | 128,732 |
| Local Born | $\mathbf{2 2 4 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 3 , 5 3 1}$ |
| Male | 109,172 | 108,284 |
| Female | 115,788 | 115,247 |
| Foreign Born | $\mathbf{2 3 , 4 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 3 4 4}$ |
| Male | 9,886 | 8,946 |
| Female | 13,599 | 12,398 |
| Not Stated | $\mathbf{1 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 4 1 3}$ |
| Male | 868 |  |
| Female | 697 | 1,326 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |
| Local Born | 89.98 |  |
| Foreign Born | 9.39 | 90.39 |
| Not Stated | 0.63 |  |
| Sex Ratio |  |  |
| Total Population | 92.19 | 0.63 |
| Local Born | 94.29 |  |
| Foreign Born | 72.70 | 93.96 |

Table 2.3 Population by Sex and Nativity- Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type | Changes between 1990 and 2000 |  | Annual Rate of <br> Growth (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute Change | Percentage <br> Change |  |
| Male | 1,370 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ | 0.11 |
| Female | 1,352 | 1.16 | 0.11 |
| Local Born | $\mathbf{1 , 4 2 9}$ | 1.05 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 6}$ |
| Male | 888 | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4}$ | 0.08 |
| Female | 541 | 0.82 | 0.05 |
| Foreign Born | $\mathbf{2 , 1 4 1}$ | 0.47 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 6}$ |
| Male | 940 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 3}$ | 1.00 |
| Female | 1,201 | 10.51 | 0.92 |

Table 2.4 Parish Distribution and Change in the Foreign Born Population: 1990 and 2000

| Parish of Residence | Per cent Distribution |  | Annual Rate of <br> Growth (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |
| St Michael | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | -0.12 |
| Christ Church | 36.62 | 40.78 | 0.59 |
| St George | 26.49 | 27.47 | 3.59 |
| St Philip | 5.14 | 3.95 | 2.24 |
| St John | 6.99 | 6.15 | 1.81 |
| St James | 1.96 | 1.80 | 1.35 |
| St Thomas | 11.56 | 11.11 | 3.70 |
| St Joseph | 3.47 | 2.64 | 2.42 |
| St Andrew | 1.21 | 1.04 | -0.77 |
| St Peter | 0.74 | 0.88 | 4.01 |
| St Lucy | 3.58 | 2.64 | 4.72 |

In considering the parish distribution of the foreign born as shown in Table 2.4, 37 per cent of the total foreign born population was resident in St Michael in 2000, 26 per cent was resident in Christ Church and 12 per cent in St James. Less than 1 per cent resided in St Andrew. In terms of rates of growth, between 1990 and 2000, however, the parishes of St Lucy and St Peter experienced the highest rates. The annual rates of growth for these parishes were 5 per cent and 4 per cent respectively. St Andrew and St Michael were the only two parishes which saw declining rates of growth; 0.77 per cent and 0.12 per cent respectively.

Table 2.5 Total Local Born Population by Parish of Birth: 2000

| Parish of Birth | Total Population | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 4 , 9 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ |
| St Michael | 85,427 | 37.97 |
| Christ Church | 28,982 | 12.88 |
| St George | 17,266 | 7.68 |
| St Philip | 18,276 | 8.12 |
| St John | 11,327 | 5.04 |
| St James | 13,146 | 5.84 |
| St Thomas | 10,332 | 4.59 |
| St Joseph | 7,892 | 3.51 |
| St Andrew | 7,280 | 3.24 |
| St Peter | 11,050 | 4.91 |
| St Lucy | 9,849 | 4.38 |
| Not Stated | 4,133 | 1.84 |

### 2.2 The Local Born Population

The local-born population numbered 224,960 . Of these, 220,827 persons ( 98 per cent) reported their parish of birth. The largest percentage of the total local born reporting was born in the parish of St Michael. The total of 85,427 ( 38 percent) was almost three times the number for the second largest parish 28,982 (13 percent) persons who reported Christ Church as their parish of birth. St Philip completed the top three parishes. Table 2.5 presents a summary of the local born in relation to parish of birth.

### 2.3 Ethnicity

The racial, cultural and religious diversity of West Indian populations dictates the inclusion of related questions in the census. The main categories included for ethnicity in the Barbadian census are typical for most Caribbean countries with a history of plantation slavery; Black, White, Mixed, East Indian and Others. For census 2000, the question asked was "What is your ethnic origin?" The answer scored was the one given by the respondent. While this was accepted for adults, offspring of parents who responded "Mixed" were automatically scored "Mixed".

Table 2.6 Population by Ethnic Origin: 1990 and 2000

| Ethnic Origin | 2000 |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Change: 1990-2000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Total | Number | \% of <br> Total | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ |
| Black | 232,507 | 93.00 | 228,683 | 92.48 | 3,824 | 1.67 |
| White | 7,982 | 3.19 | 8,022 | 3.24 | -40 | -0.50 |
| Chinese | 118 | 0.05 | 105 | 0.04 | 13 | 12.38 |
| East Indian | 2,581 | 1.03 | 1,879 | 0.76 | 702 | 37.36 |
| Arab | 66 | 0.03 | 105 | 0.04 | -39 | -37.14 |
| Mixed | 6,561 | 2.62 | 5,886 | 2.38 | 675 | 11.47 |
| Other | 195 | 0.08 | 200 | 0.08 | -5 | -2.50 |
| Not Stated | - | - | 2,408 | 0.97 | - | - |

As Barbados never participated in indenture migration, the basic Black dominance established by the slave regime has never been disturbed. Approximately 93 per cent responded "Black", a small increase of 0.5 per cent over 1990. In absolute numbers, the persons who responded "White", just under 8,000, were three times the number classified as "East Indian". A total of 6,561 persons representing 3 per cent of the population were classified as "Mixed".

### 2.4 Religion

The long history of British colonization and the lack of penetration by a variety of racial groups in Barbados have meant that the Anglican religion has been the dominant one for centuries. Anglican clergymen were prominent among the plantocracy, thereby providing the opportunity for the strengthening of this denomination.

Anglicans comprised the largest group in 2000, with more than a quarter of the population, ( 28 per cent), stating this denomination in response to the question which asked, "To which denomination do you belong?" Table 2.7 below presents the population by religion/denomination for 1990 and 2000, and the changes in absolute and percentage terms of each group, during the period. What is evident from the table is that despite the numerical prominence of the Anglicans, there has been a decline both in absolute numbers and proportions, of this group. All the traditional groups, namely, Anglicans, Methodists, Moravians and Roman Catholics have lost prominence in their memberships to the Pentecostals who have increased membership significantly. The largest declines observed from the table are for the Brethren, 28 per cent, followed by the Methodists and Anglicans, 13 per cent each.

The largest increases are evident for the Pentecostals which grew by 15,350 or 49 per cent from 31,376 to 46,726 . Other religious groups showing increases in the ten years between 1990 and 2000 were Seventh Day Adventists (2,592), Rastafarians (1,733), Muslims (610) and Jehovah's Witnesses (517). In percentage terms, the largest increases occurred among the Rastafarians which increased by over 150 per cent, the Muslims, the Pentecostal, the Hindus and the Adventist which increased by 58, 49, 39 and 23 per cent respectively. Of note, is the decline which took place among the population stating that they had no religion. In 1990, 49,829 persons representing 20 per cent of the population gave this response compared to 43,245 or 17 per cent in 2000 .

Table 2.7 Population by Religious Affiliation/Denomination: 1990 and 2000

| Religious <br> Affiliation | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Change: 1990-2000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Total | Number | \% of <br> Total | Absolute <br> Change | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Change |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ |
| Adventist | 13,726 | 5.49 | 11,134 | 4.50 | 2,592 | 23.28 |
| Anglican | 70,705 | 28.28 | 81,500 | 32.96 | $-10,795$ | -13.25 |
| Baptist | 4,689 | 1.88 | 4,415 | 1.79 | 274 | 6.21 |
| Brethren | 1,600 | 0.64 | 2,219 | 0.90 | -619 | -27.90 |
| Church of God | 4,966 | 1.99 | 5,432 | 2.20 | -466 | -8.58 |
| Hindu | 840 | 0.34 | 603 | 0.24 | 237 | 39.30 |
| Jehovah's |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Witness | 4,902 | 1.96 | 4,385 | 1.77 | 517 | 11.79 |
| Methodist | 12,665 | 5.07 | 14,637 | 5.92 | $-1,972$ | -13.47 |
| Moravian | 3,352 | 1.34 | 3,553 | 1.44 | -201 | -5.66 |
| Muslim | 1,657 | 0.66 | 1,047 | 0.42 | 610 | 58.26 |
| Pentecostal | 46,726 | 18.69 | 31,376 | 12.69 | 15,350 | 48.92 |
| Rastafarian | 2,859 | 1.14 | 1,126 | 0.46 | 1,733 | 153.91 |
| Roman Catholic | 10,443 | 4.18 | 10,797 | 4.37 | -354 | -3.28 |
| Salvation Army | 1,057 | 0.42 | 987 | 0.40 | 70 | 7.09 |
| Other | 18,390 | 7.36 | 17,596 | 7.12 | 794 | 4.51 |
| None | 43,245 | 17.30 | 49,829 | 20.15 | $-6,584$ | -13.21 |
| Not Stated | 8,188 | 3.28 | 6,652 | 2.69 | 1,536 | 23.09 |

### 2.5 Marital Status

The recognition that West Indian family formations cannot be studied within the traditional marital status types has long been noted in the censuses and demographic sample surveys conducted in the region. Only legal categories are required in fixing marital status. The definition of marriage adopted for the census was proposed by the Statistical Commission of the United Nations for statistical purposes, "The act, ceremony, or process by which the legal relationship of husband or wife is constituted. The legality of the union may be established by civil, religious or
other means as recognised by the laws of each country." Marriage therefore signifies that a man or woman is in a union established in accordance with existing marriage laws. Marriages of East Indians conducted according to the Hindu custom are included. Marriages may be broken only by procedures laid down in divorce laws or by the death of a partner. A legally married person is therefore still regarded as married whether or not living with the person to whom he or she is married. In general, there is no impediment to re-marriage of divorced or widowed persons. The marital status categories identified for the census were; never married, married, legally separated, divorced and widowed. For the 2000 census of Barbados, all persons less than 16 years old were automatically classified as never married. Table 2.8 shows that in $2000,57.7$ per cent of the population 16 years and over had never been married. The proportion among men was 58.9 per cent exceeding the proportion for women by about 2 per cent. A total of 57,446 persons or 30 per cent of the population in this age group were classified as married. There were 28,647 married men and 28,799 married women. The result is a sex ratio of 99.5 for the married population.

Table 2.8 Population 16 years and over by Sex and Marital Status: 1990 and 2000

| Marital Status | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total |  | Male |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nule |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 5 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 , 3 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 2 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3 , 2 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 , 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 7 , 0 9 1}$ |
| Married | 57,446 | 28,647 | 28,799 | 54,981 | 27,189 | 27,792 |
| Legally Separated | 3,128 | 1,390 | 1,738 | 2,554 | 1,102 | 1,452 |
| Divorced | 7,802 | 3,280 | 4,522 | 4,572 | 1,887 | 2,685 |
| Widowed | 10,314 | 2,297 | 8,017 | 10,379 | 2,480 | 7,899 |
| Never Married | 110,436 | 53,199 | 57,237 | 105,858 | 50,706 | 55,152 |
| Not Stated | 2,429 | 1,506 | 923 | 4,871 | 2,760 | 2,111 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  | Per cent of Total |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Married | 29.99 | 31.72 | 28.45 | 30.01 | 31.57 | 28.62 |
| Legally Separated | 1.63 | 1.54 | 1.72 | 1.39 | 1.28 | 1.50 |
| Divorced | 4.07 | 3.63 | 4.47 | 2.50 | 2.19 | 2.77 |
| Widowed | 5.38 | 2.54 | 7.92 | 5.66 | 2.88 | 8.14 |
| Never Married | 57.65 | 58.90 | 56.54 | 57.78 | 58.88 | 56.80 |
| Not Stated | 1.27 | 1.67 | 0.91 | 2.66 | 3.20 | 2.17 |

In a monogamous society the number of married men should be approximately equal to the number of married women. Allowance has to be made however, for husbands and or wives who are not resident in the country. An important point to note also is the fact that in reporting marital and/or other relationships, respondents frequently introduce several types of biases which may result from conscious efforts on their part to conceal unpleasant facts about difficulties in their relationships. Of course, also, these questions are some of the more personal ones and there is some amount of resistance to responding to them. More than 2,400 persons representing 1.3 per cent of the target population did not respond to the question.

The sex differential for these persons should be noted, 1506 men compared to 923 women. The 28,647 married men represented 32 per cent of all men 16 years and over, while the 28,799 married women represented a lower proportion, 28.5 per cent of all women. Just over 21,000 persons, representing 11 per cent were classified as divorced, legally separated and widowed. There were twice as many women as men in this combined group. The result is a very low sex ratio of 49. The sex differential is especially striking among the widowed as the number of women reporting this status more than tripled the number of men. A total of 8,017 women were widowed compared to 2,297 men. This situation is no doubt reflective of the higher levels of mortality for older men.

Comparisons with 1990 presented in Table 2.9 revealed a marked increase in the number of divorced persons. From 4,572 the number moved by 3,230 or 70.6 per cent to 7,802 . Numerically, the increase was greater for women, from 2,685 to 4,522, an addition of 1837. This compares to the addition of 1,393 for men from 1,887 to 3,280 . The number of persons classified as legally separated increased by over 22 per cent in the ten years from 2,554 to 3,128 , while much smaller increases are observed for the never married and married categories. The latter group rose by about 5 per cent from 54,981 in 1990, to 57,446 in 2000, while the never married moved by 4.3 per cent over the ten year period from 105,858 to 110,436 . The only decline in the marital status categories occurred for the widowed group by a minimal 0.6 per cent. This was actually caused by the decrease in the number of widowed men as the number of widowed women actually increased. The number of widowed men fell by 7.4 per cent from 2,480 in 1990
to 2,297 in 2000 , while the number of widowed women increased by 1.5 per cent during the period, from 7,899 to 8,017 between 1990 and 2000.

Table 2.9 Population 16 years and over by Sex and Marital Status: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Marital Status | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute <br> Change | \% <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | \% <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | \% <br> Change |
| Total | 8,340 | 4.55 | 4,195 | 4.87 | 4,145 | 4.27 |
| Married | 2,465 | 4.48 | 1,458 | 5.36 | 1,007 | 3.62 |
| Legally |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Separated | 574 | 22.47 | 288 | 26.13 | 286 | 19.70 |
| Divorced | 3,230 | 70.65 | 1,393 | 73.82 | 1,837 | 68.42 |
| Widowed | -65 | -0.63 | -183 | -7.38 | 118 | 1.49 |
| Never Married | 4,578 | 4.32 | 2,493 | 4.92 | 2,085 | 3.78 |
| Not Stated | $-2,442$ | -0.13 | $-1,254$ | -5.43 | $-1,188$ | -56.28 |

### 2.6 Education

The central role played by education in the social and economic development of the country makes it a highly recommended topic for inclusion in the census. Prior to the 1970 census, the assessment of educational status in Caribbean censuses was done in terms of the literacyilliteracy dichotomy. The 1970 census saw the introduction of questions designed to collect information regarded as providing more meaningful data on this crucial aspect of socioeconomic development and these questions have been repeated for all censuses since that time.

The census questions make it possible to assess levels of educational attainment, school attendance and qualifications in terms of examinations passed.

The Barbados education system has undergone substantial transformations since the earliest attempts at formal education were introduced in the seventeenth century. The modern education system which had its genesis in the 1930s is structured into tiers with some overlapping at each
one. At the pre-Primary level, children between the ages of 3-4 years are taught in nursery classes in some primary (5-11) and composite (5-16+) schools while at the primary level children between the ages of $5-12+$ are taught at primary and composite schools. At the secondary level, children between the ages of $10+$ to $16+$ are taught in secondary schools and senior schools (11-16+).

As the country with one of the highest levels of educational attainment in the Caribbean, Barbados has consistently shown small numbers of the adult population with no formal schooling.

Perhaps the most critical measure of the educational status of a country is the proportion of the population without schooling. Attention is therefore focused on the highest level of educational attainment of the population, and more specifically, the group 15 years and over, regarded as the adult population. Educational attainment is defined as the highest grade completed within the most advanced level attended. Table 2.10 shows the proportional distribution of the population 15 years old and over, by highest level of education attained. It should be noted that approximately 2 per cent of the population in this age group did not respond to the question. The table shows that almost 100 per cent of the population 15 years and over had received some form of schooling, as in 2000 only 0.22 per cent had indicated that they had received no schooling. The proportion for men was slightly higher at 0.24 per cent, compared to 0.20 per cent of the women. An even smaller proportion, 0.01 per cent, had attended up to the pre-primary level only. While less than 20 per cent ( 17.2 per cent) of the population 15 years and over had attained primary level only, 59.3 per cent had attained secondary level as the highest. It is the proportion of the population with university level training that emphasises the high educational status of the island. Approximately 7 per cent of the population had attained this level. The proportion attaining other tertiary level education was 13 per cent.

Table 2.10 Population 15 years and over by Sex and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 1990 and 2000

| Level of Education | 2000 |  |  | 1990 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | 195,409 | 92,259 | 103,150 | 187,642 | 88,402 | 99,240 |
| Pre-Primary | 24 | 10 | 14 | 30 | 15 | 15 |
| Primary | 33,636 | 14,605 | 19,031 | 35,253 | 15,551 | 19,702 |
| Secondary | 115,857 | 56,645 | 59,212 | 121,827 | 57,996 | 63,831 |
| University | 13,921 | 6,648 | 7,273 | 9,087 | 4,796 | 4,291 |
| Other Tertiary | 25,355 | 11,022 | 14,333 | 13,491 | 6,070 | 7,421 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Institution | 2,266 | 964 | 1,302 | 2,185 | 899 | 1,286 |
| None | 429 | 219 | 210 | 568 | 266 | 302 |
| Not Stated | 3,921 | 2,146 | 1,775 | 5,201 | 2,809 | 2,392 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Pre-Primary | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| Primary | 17.21 | 15.83 | 18.45 | 18.79 | 17.59 | 19.85 |
| Secondary* | 59.29 | 61.40 | 57.40 | 64.93 | 65.60 | 64.32 |
| University | 7.12 | 7.21 | 7.05 | 4.84 | 5.43 | 4.32 |
| Other Tertiary | 12.98 | 11.95 | 13.90 | 7.19 | 6.87 | 7.48 |
| Other Institution | 1.16 | 1.04 | 1.26 | 1.16 | 1.02 | 1.30 |
| None | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| Not Stated | 2.01 | 2.33 | 1.72 | 2.77 | 3.18 | 2.41 |

* includes composite schools

An examination of the differential by gender reveals a higher proportion of women attaining primary level while a higher proportion of men attained secondary level, in 2000. The proportion of men attaining primary level was 16 per cent, compared to 18 per cent of females. The comparative proportions for secondary level attainment were 61 per cent and 57 per cent for men and women respectively. At the tertiary level, men and women were equal at the university level, while women dominated at other tertiary levels. While 7 per cent of each sex, 15 years and over had attained university level education, for the other tertiary levels, there was a difference of almost two percentage points between the sexes; 14 per cent for women compared to 12 per cent for men.

### 2.7 Training

Questions on training in the 2000 census were asked of persons 15 years and over to ascertain information about specialized vocational training, providing skills necessary for a particular occupation. Such training could have been achieved through the following methods: an institution established for the purpose of providing such training, on the job training which is formalized by the establishment or private study leading to formal qualifications.

Table 2.11: Population 15 years and over with Training by Sex and Age Group: 2000

| Age Group | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 2 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 , 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 5 8 3}$ |
| $15-24$ | 10,261 | 5,474 | 4,787 |
| $25-44$ | 35,665 | 18,228 | 17,437 |
| $45-64$ | 20,263 | 10,776 | 9,487 |
| $65+$ | 6,686 | 3,814 | 2,872 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| $15-24$ | 14.08 | 14.30 | 13.84 |
| $25-44$ | 48.94 | 47.60 | 50.42 |
| $45-64$ | 27.81 | 28.14 | 27.43 |
| $65+$ | 9.17 | 9.96 | 8.30 |

The census identified 72,875 persons or 37 per cent of the population 15 years and over, who indicated that they had received vocational training. More males than females had been exposed to training; 52.5 per cent of the total trained were men.

The age distribution reflected in Table 2.11 shows that the proportions of both males and females with training, increase with age up to 44 years and then decline steadily thereafter. Less than 15 per cent of the youngest group, the under 25 years, had been exposed to training. The proportion peaked at 49 per cent between ages 25 and 44 years and by the oldest age group, was less than 10
per cent. The changes among the females were more pronounced than among the males. The proportion of females exposed to training in the 15-24 years group was 14 per cent and this moved to 50 per cent in the 25-44 years group. For men, the comparative proportions were 14 per cent for 15-24 years and 48 per cent for the 25-44 years group.

### 2.8 Economic Activity

The "activity status" classifies the population into persons "economically active" and "not economically active". It is the current or usual relationship of each person to economic activity during a specified period of time.

The census topic relating to economic characteristics concentrates on the economically active population as defined by the International Labour Organization (ILO) where the concept of economic production is established with respect to the System of National Accounts.

The economically active population comprises all persons, men and women who provide or were available to provide the supply of labour for the production of economic goods and services, as defined by the SNA, during a specified time period.

Domestic or personal services provided by unpaid household members for final consumption within the same household are not considered economic activities. Examples of this type of activity are housekeeping, care of children, the sick and the elderly.

The economically active population can be measured in different ways and the ILO recommends two ways. One approach uses the usually active population measured in relation to a long reference period such as a year and the other uses the currently active population or the labour force, measured in relation to a short reference period such as one week.

The focus of the 2000 Census of Barbados was the usual activity. The reference period for measurement of the usually active was the 12 months preceding May 1, 2000. For the 2000 census a person was classified as working, if he or she were engaged in the production or distribution of goods or services for sale. These included employers, employees, self-employed
persons and others receiving a wage or salary, or other form of remuneration. It also included trainees and apprentices whether paid or not and unpaid helpers working on farms and in other enterprises.

Generally speaking, work done outside of Barbados was not included, but contractual work done by Barbadians on farms in the United States of America and Canada, on ships by Barbadian seamen, and on aircraft by Barbadian personnel were treated as exceptions and were therefore included. Barbadian diplomats and their families living in other countries were also included by special enumeration.

The usual activity approach aimed at classifying persons according to their main activity during the 12 months preceding census day, based upon what each person had been engaged in for most of that period.

An important instruction to interviewers in the 2000 census was that for persons 65 years and over, 'looked for work' should not be scored.

When classifying the population by activity status, precedence is given to being economically active; in other words, a student who is looking for work would be classified as looking for work rather than as student. Probing is especially important for persons and for women in particular who are doing house work most of the time but who might have been engaged in economic activity during the reference period.

Table 2.12 Population 15 years and over by Sex and Activity Status: 1990 and 2000

| Activity Status | 2000 |  |  | 1990 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | 195,409 | 92,259 | 103,150 | 187,642 | 88,402 | 99,240 |
| Economically Active | 130,534 | 68,487 | 62,047 | 118,976 | 64,987 | 53,989 |
| Worked/Had a Job | 122,475 | 64,987 | 57,488 | 106,122 | 58,830 | 47,292 |
| Looked for Work | 8,059 | 3,500 | 4,559 | 12,854 | 6,157 | 6,697 |
| Inactive | 57,889 | 20,115 | 37,774 | 63,262 | 20,071 | 43,191 |
| Home Duties | 11,543 | 419 | 11,124 | 20,621 | 663 | 19,958 |
| Student | 14,306 | 6,482 | 7,824 | 14,292 | 6,724 | 7,568 |
| Retired | 29,111 | 11,805 | 17,306 | 25,000 | 11,127 | 13,873 |
| Incapacitated | 2,929 | 1,409 | 1,520 | 3,349 | 1,557 | 1,792 |
| Other and Not Stated | 6,986 | 3,657 | 3,329 | 5,404 | 3,344 | 2,060 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 66.80 | 74.23 | 60.15 | 63.41 | 73.51 | 54.40 |
| Worked/Had a Job | 62.68 | 70.44 | 55.73 | 56.56 | 66.55 | 47.65 |
| Looked for Work | 4.12 | 3.79 | 4.42 | 6.85 | 6.96 | 6.75 |
| Inactive | 29.62 | 21.81 | 36.62 | 33.71 | 22.71 | 43.52 |
| Home Duties | 5.90 | 0.45 | 10.78 | 10.99 | 0.75 | 20.11 |
| Student | 7.32 | 7.03 | 7.59 | 7.62 | 7.61 | 7.62 |
| Retired | 14.90 | 12.80 | 16.78 | 13.32 | 12.59 | 13.98 |
| Incapacitated | 1.50 | 1.53 | 1.47 | 1.78 | 1.76 | 1.81 |
| Other and Not Stated | 3.58 | 3.96 | 3.23 | 2.88 | 3.78 | 2.08 |

The 2000 census found that over two thirds, 66.8 per cent of the 195,409 people aged 15 years and over were usually economically active. Table 2.12 which presents the population 15 years and over by activity status and sex shows that of the 130,534 persons who were economically active, 68,487 were men. The table shows a higher participation by men than women in 2000 .

Just about 74 of every 100 men 15 years and over were economically active compared to 60 out of every 100 women. However, the changes between 1990 and 2000 reflected a higher rate of increase in the participation of women.

The participation rates for women and men in 1990 were 54 percent and 74 percent respectively with the number of women and men economically active increased by 14.93 percent and 5.35 percent respectively.

More than 6 out of ten ( 62.7 per cent) or 122,475 of the economically active, were employed and 8,059 or 4 per cent were seeking work, in 2000.

Table 2.13: Population 15 Years and over by Sex and Activity Status: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Activity Status | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Absolute <br> Change | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | $\boldsymbol{\%}$ <br> Change |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 , 7 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 9 4}$ |
| Economically |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Active | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 3}$ |
| Worked / Had a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Job | 16,353 | 15.41 | 6,157 | 10.47 | 10,196 | 21.56 |
| Looked for Work | $-4,795$ | -37.30 | $-2,657$ | -43.15 | $-2,138$ | -31.92 |
| Inactive | $\mathbf{- 5 , 3 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 , 4 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 . 5 4}$ |
| Home Duties | $-9,078$ | -44.02 | -244 | -36.80 | $-8,834$ | -44.26 |
| Student | 14 | 0.10 | -242 | -3.60 | 256 | 3.38 |
| Retired | 4,111 | 16.44 | 678 | 6.09 | 3,433 | 24.75 |
| Incapacitated | -420 | -12.54 | -148 | -9.51 | -272 | -15.18 |
| Other/ Not Stated | $\mathbf{1 , 5 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 . 6 0}$ |

Employed persons include those 15 years and over who were either at work or were with a job but not at work. Job seekers are those persons who did not have a job during the reference period of the past twelve months but who were actively looking for work.

Table 2.13 which presents the changes in absolute and percentage terms between 1990 and 2000, is derived from Table 2.12. The increase in the number of employed men between 1990 and 2000 was 6,157 or 10.5 per cent. For the women, the increase was over 10,000 or 21.56 percent which would have contributed to the almost 15 per cent increase in the number of economically active women.

The job seeking rate relates job seekers to the economically active population. It is calculated by dividing the number of job seekers by the number of economically active persons. The rate for 2000 was 6.17 per cent in 2000; a very impressive decline from the 10.8 per cent in 1990. (Table 2.14)

The decline of job seeking rate was more substantial for men, from 9.47 per cent to 5.11 per cent between 1990 and 2000, a fall of 43.15 per cent in absolute numbers. For women, the downward move was by 31.92 per cent in absolute numbers from 12.4 per cent to 7.35 per cent over the same period. Indications are also that the gap between the two sexes narrowed in the ten years, from a 3 percentage point differential in 1990, to a differential of 2 percentage points in 2000.

Table 2.14 Main Economic Activity Indicators: 1990 and 2000

| Sex | Participation Rate | Job Seeking Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  |
| Total | 66.80 | 6.17 |
| Male | 74.23 | 5.11 |
| Female | 60.15 | 7.35 |
| $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |  |
| Total | 63.41 | 10.80 |
| Male | 73.51 | 9.47 |
| Female | 54.40 | 12.40 |

The data on the activity status presented in Table 2.12 shows that the total number of inactive women was 37,774 or 65 per cent of the total inactive population of 57,889 in 2000 . Retired
persons represented the principal category for persons not economically active. In 2000, just over 29,000 persons representing 15 per cent of the population 15 years and over, fell into this category. This was approximately 4,000 or 16 per cent more than the number in 1990. The increase in numbers and proportions of retired persons is an indication of the ageing of the population, previously considered. The number of men classified as retired in 2000 was 11,805 , representing 13 per cent of all males 15 years and over. This compared to 11,127 or 13 per cent in 1990. For the females, the number increased from 13,873 in 1990 , to 17,306 in 2000 , with the proportions being 14 per cent and 17 per cent in 1990 and 2000 respectively. The larger increase among the females and the resulting low sex ratio of 68.2 per 100 persons among the retired should also be seen within the context of the low sex ratio of the population at the older ages, another indication of the higher mortality rates for men.

Changes in the involvement in Home Duties especially among women should be noted. The number of women in this category fell from 19,958 in 1990 to 11,124 in 2000. This decline would have contributed to the overall decline in inactivity or increase in the participation rate for women over the ten years.

Table 2.15 presents data on the status in employment for the employed members of the economically active population. Employment status refers to the status of the person with respect to his/her employment, which is the contract of employment with other persons or organizations. The major employer in 2000 was private enterprise which employed 70,587 persons, more than a half ( 58 per cent) of the total employed. The next major employer was the government, which employed 26,092 , less than a half of the total in private enterprise and just over one fifth ( 21 per cent) of the total employed. The numbers employed by the top major employer, private enterprises, represented an increase of approximately 21,600 or 44 per cent in the ten years since 1990. The increase in government employees was much smaller at 4,148 or 19 per cent. The number of persons employed in private households in 2000 was a small total of 4,195 representing 3.4 per cent of all employed. When compared with the 3,571 recorded for 1990 however, the increase in percentage terms was a fairly impressive 17.5 per cent.

Table 2.15 Employed Population by Sex and Status in Employment: 1990 and $2000{ }^{2}$

| Employment Status | 2000 |  |  | 1990 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | 122,475 | 64,987 | 57,488 | 106,123 | 58,831 | 47,292 |
| Worked for Employer | 100,910 | 50,997 | 49,913 | 74,523 | 40,153 | 34,270 |
| Government | 26,092 | 13,064 | 13,028 | 21,944 | 12,143 | 9,801 |
| Private Enterprise | 70,587 | 36,993 | 33,594 | 48,954 | 27,108 | 21,846 |
| Private Household | 4,195 | 933 | 3,262 | 3,571 | 877 | 2,594 |
| Unpaid | 36 | 7 | 29 | 54 | 25 | 29 |
| Self Employed | 16,224 | 11,169 | 5,055 | 9,113 | 6,460 | 2,653 |
| With paid help | 5,198 | 3,712 | 1,486 | 2,822 | 2,096 | 726 |
| Without paid help | 11,026 | 7,457 | 3,569 | 6,291 | 4,364 | 1,927 |
| Not Stated / Did not work | 5,341 | 2,821 | 2,520 | 22,587 | 12,218 | 10,369 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Worked for Employer | 82.40 | 78.47 | 86.82 | 70.13 | 68.25 | 72.46 |
| Government | 21.31 | 20.10 | 22.66 | 20.68 | 20.64 | 20.72 |
| Private Enterprise | 57.63 | 56.92 | 58.44 | 46.13 | 46.08 | 46.19 |
| Private Household | 3.43 | 1.44 | 5.67 | 3.27 | 1.49 | 5.49 |
| Unpaid | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Self Employed | 13.24 | 17.19 | 8.80 | 8.59 | 10.98 | 5.61 |
| With paid help | 4.24 | 5.71 | 2.59 | 2.66 | 3.56 | 1.54 |
| Without paid help | 9.00 | 11.48 | 6.21 | 5.93 | 7.42 | 4.07 |
| Not Stated / Did not work | 4.36 | 4.34 | 4.38 | 20.28 | 20.77 | 21.93 |

[^1]The self employed in 2000 was $16,224,13.3$ per cent of the total employed. In terms of change in absolute numbers and percentage, this was 7,111 , a significantly large increase of 78 per cent more than in 1990. What is very noticeable from Table 2.16 which shows the changes over the ten year period is the extent to which the changes for the women surpass those for the men.

The total number of employed persons working for an employer, private enterprise and government, was 74,523 in 1990. Table 2.16 shows that this group increased by 26,387 in 2000. More than one half ( 59 per cent) of this increase was due to the increase in the number of women. In all categories of employees, the increase among women far exceeded the increase for men. Overall increases in percentage terms for female employees of private enterprises, government and private households were 54 per cent, 33 per cent and 26 per cent respectively. The comparative changes for men were 36 per cent, 8 per cent and 6 per cent respectively. For the self employed the change for women was 91 per cent, 18 percentage points more than the figure of 73 per cent for men.

Table 2.16 Employed Population by Sex and Status in Employment: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Employment Status | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute Change | \% Change | Absolute Change | \% Change | Absolute Change | \% Change |
| Total | 16,252 | 15.41 | 6,156 | 10.46 | 10,196 | 21.56 |
| Worked for |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employer | 26,387 | 35.41 | 10,844 | 27.01 | 15,643 | 45.65 |
| Government | 4,148 | 18.90 | 921 | 7.58 | 3,227 | 32.93 |
| Private Enterprise | 21,633 | 44.19 | 9,885 | 36.47 | 11,748 | 53.78 |
| Private Household | 624 | 17.47 | 56 | 6.39 | 668 | 25.75 |
| Unpaid | -18 | -33.33 | -18 | -72.0 | - | - |
| Self Employed | 7,111 | 78.03 | 4,709 | 72.89 | 2,402 | 90.54 |
| With paid help | 2,376 | 84.20 | 1,616 | 77.10 | 760 | 104.68 |
| Without paid help | 4,735 | 75.27 | 3,093 | 70.88 | 1,642 | 85.21 |
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### 2.9 Household Relationships and Family Composition

For the purpose of the 2000 census of Barbados, a household was defined as a person or a group of persons living together in a dwelling unit. Where there are several persons living together as a household these persons may comprise a single family, but there are also households where the members were not members of one family.

For purposes of investigating household and family relationships and structure from census information, each household was asked to identify a 'head'. The head of the household is the person, man or woman, whom that household acknowledges to be the head. He or she may or may not be the chief breadwinner.

For the census, a family type is defined as those members of a household who are related by blood, marriage or adoption. Couples living in consensual unions are regarded as married couples. Based on this definition, a family comprises at least two persons. Hence a single person living alone or a group of unrelated persons living together does not constitute a family.

Households comprising families are categorized as nuclear, extended and composite. A nuclear family (household) comprises the head of household, his/her legal spouse or common law partner and the natural or adopted children of the head or spouse.

An extended family (household) comprises the head of household, his/her legal spouse or common law partner, the natural or adopted children of the head or spouse and one or more other relatives of the head or spouse.

A composite family (household) contains members who are related by blood, marriage or adoption, plus one or more non-relatives. These non-relatives are usually boarders or live-in employees.

### 2.10 Household Composition and Relationships

The 2000 census identified 83,026 households. With a population of 250,010 , the average household size was approximately 3 . Household heads therefore comprised one third of the household members, while spouses (wives/husbands) and or common-law partners accounted for 13 per cent (Table 2.17). More than one third, 35 per cent of household members comprised of children of the head and/or the spouse or partner. It is important to note that these represented children of all ages. Grandchildren accounted for 9 per cent of household members and all other relatives, including parents of the head and or the spouse/partner accounted for 7 per cent. The remaining members, non-relatives, accounted for a small 2 per cent of the total.

Table 2.17 presents these findings and includes data on the sex ratio. A sex ratio of more than 100 indicates an excess of men while a ratio of less than 100 is indicative of an excess of women in a particular category.

The table shows a very low sex ratio for spouses and common-law partners. This means that more wives and female partners were present in households than husbands and male partners. To elaborate, a greater proportion of the male-headed households had spouses and partners present, than the female headed ones. The low sex ratio seen for other relatives is an indication of the higher proportion of female relatives in households.

Table 2.17 Percentage Distribution of Population by Sex and Relationship to Head of Household, Sex Ratio: 2000

| Relationship to Head | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  | Sex Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 250,010 |  | 119,926 |  | 130,084 |  | 92.19 |
| Head | 83,026 | 33.21 | 46,098 | 38.44 | 36,928 | 28.39 | 124.83 |
| Spouse / Partner | 33,516 | 13.41 | 5,655 | 4.71 | 27,862 | 21.42 | 20.29 |
| Child of Head /Spouse | 86,350 | 34.54 | 44,216 | 36.87 | 42,134 | 32.39 | 104.94 |
| Grandchild of Head / Spouse | 22,944 | 9.18 | 11,792 | 9.83 | 11,152 | 8.57 | 105.74 |
| Other Relatives | 18,524 | 7.41 | 9,083 | 7.57 | 9,441 | 7.26 | 96.21 |
| Non-Relatives | 5,649 | 2.26 | 3,083 | 2.57 | 2,566 | 1.97 | 120.15 |

Table 2.18 Heads of Households by Sex and Age Group: 2000

| Age Group | Total | Male <br> Headed <br> Households | Female <br> Headed <br> Households |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Under 25 | 1,420 | $\mathbf{8 6 , 0 2 6}$ | 769 |
| $25-44$ | 29,443 | 17,481 | $\mathbf{3 6 , 9 2 8}$ |
| $45-64$ | 30,817 | 17,607 | 651 |
| $65+$ | 21,346 | 10,241 | 11,962 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 11,105 |
| Under 25 | 1.71 | 1.67 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| $25-44$ | 35.46 | 37.92 | 1.76 |
| $45-64$ | 37.12 | 38.19 | 32.39 |
| $65+$ | 25.71 | 22.22 | 35.77 |

Of the 83,026 households identified, those headed by men numbered 46,098 , representing 55.52 per cent while female heads numbered 36,928 (Table 2.18). Female heads were slightly older than male heads. The average age of the former was 47.3 years compared to 47.0 years for the latter.

About a quarter of all households was single person households (Table 2.19). Male single person households outnumbered female single person households, as 12,234 of the 20,512 single person households comprised men. On average, female headed households were larger than male headed households.

Table 2.19 Distribution of Household Heads by Sex and Size of Household: 2000

| Household Size | Total | Male Headed | Female Headed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | 83,026 | 46,098 | 36,928 |
| 1 person | 20,512 | 12,234 | 8,278 |
| 2 persons | 19,065 | 10,486 | 8,579 |
| 3 persons | 15,375 | 8,163 | 7,212 |
| 4 persons | 13,104 | 7,985 | 5,119 |
| 5 persons | 7,095 | 3,913 | 3,182 |
| 6 persons | 3,610 | 1,761 | 1,849 |
| 7 persons | 1,869 | 758 | 1,111 |
| 8 persons | 1,018 | 365 | 653 |
| 9 persons | 549 | 174 | 375 |
| 10+ persons | 829 | 259 | 570 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1 person | 24.71 | 26.54 | 22.42 |
| 2 persons | 22.96 | 22.75 | 23.23 |
| 3 persons | 18.52 | 17.71 | 19.53 |
| 4 persons | 15.78 | 17.32 | 13.86 |
| 5 persons | 8.55 | 8.49 | 8.62 |
| 6 persons | 4.35 | 3.82 | 5.01 |
| 7 persons | 2.25 | 1.64 | 3.01 |
| 8 persons | 1.23 | 0.79 | 1.77 |
| 9 persons | 0.66 | 0.38 | 1.02 |
| 10+ persons | 1.00 | 0.56 | 1.54 |

The average size of the female headed households was 3.2 compared to 2.9 for the male headed households.

Based on the classification of family types described above, it is possible to identify these types from the data. Table 2.20 shows that of the 83,026 households, 61,439 , almost three quarters ( 74 per cent) were family households. They comprised members who were related by blood, marriage or adoption. Approximately, forty-seven per cent of all households, 38,613, were of the nuclear family type comprising: the head of household, his/her legal spouse or common law partner and the natural or adopted children of the head or spouse. Extended and composite families accounted for almost 24 per cent and 4 per cent respectively of all households.

Table 2.20 Distribution of Households by Family Type: 2000

| Family Type | Total | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Total Households | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Family Households | $\mathbf{6 1 , 4 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 0 0}$ |
| Nuclear | 38,613 | 46.51 |
| Extended | 19,780 | 23.82 |
| Composite | 3,046 | 3.67 |
| Non Family Households | $\mathbf{2 1 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 . 0 0}$ |

## CHAPTER 3

## POPULATION REDISTRIBUTION AND PATTERNS OF MIGRATION

### 3.1 Introduction

Migration is one of the three components of population change, the others being births and deaths. In demographic literature, two broad types of migration are identified: international and internal migration. While international migration is a movement across national boundaries, internal migration represents movement across defined administrative boundaries within the national borders. For most Caribbean countries, these defined administrative boundaries are known as parishes.

Of the three components of change, migration is the most difficult to measure and there are serious limitations in this regard. Population censuses have provided an invaluable source for many years. Census data on place of birth, year of immigration (for the foreign born) and place of residence have provided some of the data required for analysis of migration patterns. Migration has played a very important role in the demographic history of the Caribbean, and the inclusion of relevant questions in Caribbean censuses over time is an indication that this importance has been recognized.

### 3.2 Inter-parish Movements and Internal Migration

As internal migration represents a redistribution of population over the parishes, it has no direct effect on the size of the population of the entire country as the sum of the net losses and gains experienced by all parishes amount to zero. The specific census questions used as the basis for the study of inter-parish movements and internal migration relate to parish of birth, current parish of residence, parish of residence at some time in the past, previous parish of residence and duration of residence in current parish of residence. In the 2000 census of Barbados, the questions included parish of birth, current parish of residence and parish of residence 5 years before. The concept of migration involves a change of parish of residence and a migration is
operationally defined as a change of residence from one parish to another. The study of internal migration focuses therefore on the local born population, only. The level of internal mobility of the population is shown by the extent to which people move out of their parish of birth.

### 3.3 Lifetime Migration

Table 3.1 presents a summary of the local born population of Barbados in relation to the parish of birth and the parish of residence at the time of the 2000 census. Just over three out of ten of the local born residents of Barbados were living outside of their parish of birth in 2000. This represented an increase of 5.4 per cent or 3,517 persons in the ten years since the 1990 census. The slightly higher proportion for females at both dates is evident from the table.

Table 3.1 Summary of Birthplace and Place of Residence of Local-born Population* 1990 and 2000

| Item | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Local Born Population | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 8 , 3 2 1}$ |
| Male | 107,046 | 105,637 |
| Female | 113,781 | 112,684 |
| Resident in Parish of Birth | $\mathbf{1 5 2 , 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 1 5 3}$ |
| Male | 74,499 | 74,513 |
| Female | 77,643 | 78,640 |
| Resident Outside Parish of Birth | $\mathbf{6 8 , 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 , 1 6 8}$ |
| Male | 32,547 | 31,124 |
| Female | 36,138 | 34,044 |
| Proportion(\%) of Lifetime Migrants | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 8 5}$ |
| Male | 30.40 | 29.46 |
| Female | 31.76 | 30.21 |

## *Excludes population not reporting parish of birth.

In the study of internal migration, a person who at the time of the enquiry (census or survey) is a local born resident who is not living in the place of birth is classified as a lifetime migrant. The parish distribution presented in Table 3.2 shows St Andrew as the parish with the highest
proportion of its population living in other parishes. Of the 7,280 persons reporting their parish of birth as St Andrew, 3,802 or 52 per cent lived in other parishes. Three other parishes, St Andrew, St. Joseph and St John showed proportions of lifetime migrants in excess of 40 per cent; 52 per cent, 43 per cent and 41 per cent respectively. The parishes of Christ Church ( 20 per cent) and St. Philip ( 22 per cent) showed the lowest proportions of lifetime migrants.

Table 3.2a Summary of Birthplace and Residence of Local-born Population by Parish: 2000

| Parish <br> of Birth | Total <br> Born <br> in Parish | Resident Outside |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Number | Per cent |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 0 , 8 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 1 0}$ |
| St Michael | 85,427 | 26,762 | 31.33 |
| Christ Church | 28,982 | 5,732 | 19.78 |
| St George | 17,266 | 5,851 | 33.89 |
| St Philip | 18,276 | 4,011 | 21.95 |
| St John | 11,327 | 4,595 | 40.57 |
| St James | 13,146 | 3,640 | 27.69 |
| St Thomas | 10,332 | 3,980 | 38.52 |
| St Joseph | 7,892 | 3,429 | 43.45 |
| St Andrew | 7,280 | 3,802 | 52.23 |
| St Peter | 11,050 | 4,158 | 37.63 |
| St Lucy | 9,849 | 2,725 | 27.67 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting parish of birth
Migration rates represent a useful summary to demonstrate the extent of the movement between parishes. The out-migration rate is an indication of the number of persons born in the parish who have left to reside in another parish. Table 3.2 b presents out migration rates for the parishes by sex. St Andrew is the parish with the highest rates for men (504 per 1,000) and women (540 per $1,000)$. For every 1000 person born in this parish more than 500 for each sex resided in another
parish in 2000. Christ Church shows the lowest rates, 194 per 1,000 for men and 201 per 1,000 for women.

The only other parishes with rates of less than 300 were St. Philip, 212 per 1000 and 227 per 1,000, for men and women respectively, St. Lucy, with 262 per 1,000 for men and 290 per 1,000 for women and St James, 272 per 1,000 and 281 per 1,000 for men and women respectively. In all parishes, the rates for women exceeded those for men, indicating a higher level of mobility among women.

Table 3.2b Summary of Birthplace and Residence of Local Born Population by Outmigration Rate, Sex and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Born in Parish |  | Resident in Parish |  | Out-migration Rate* <br> Per 1000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 7 , 0 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 , 7 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 , 5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 1 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 4 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 7 . 6 1}$ |
| St Michael | 41,694 | 43,733 | 12,819 | 13,943 | 307.45 | 318.82 |
| Christ Church | 14,070 | 14,912 | 2,732 | 3,000 | 194.17 | 201.18 |
| St George | 8,370 | 8,896 | 2,818 | 3,033 | 336.68 | 340.94 |
| St Philip | 8,939 | 9,337 | 1,896 | 2,115 | 212.10 | 226.52 |
| St John | 5,450 | 5,877 | 2,099 | 2,496 | 385.14 | 424.71 |
| St James | 6,206 | 6,940 | 1,691 | 1,949 | 272.48 | 280.84 |
| St Thomas | 4,941 | 5,391 | 1,868 | 2,112 | 378.06 | 391.76 |
| St Joseph | 3,811 | 4,081 | 1,612 | 1,817 | 422.99 | 445.23 |
| St Andrew | 3,550 | 3,730 | 1,788 | 2,014 | 503.66 | 539.95 |
| St Peter | 5,352 | 5,698 | 2004 | 2,154 | 374.44 | 378.03 |
| St Lucy | 4,663 | 5,186 | 1,220 | 1,505 | 261.63 | 290.20 |

* (Male/Females Population resident outside parish of birth/Male/Female parish of birth) x1000


### 3.4 Current Migration

Patterns of current migration are generally studied on the basis of movement within a specified time. This time period may be fixed as one, five or ten years. If the period is made to coincide with the intercensal period, the data provided may be used in measuring population change due to internal migration, during the period. The 2000 census of Barbados included a question which asked the person to indicate the place of residence five years before the census; this question of course, being restricted to the population 5 years and older. The response categories were; at present address, elsewhere in same parish, in another parish or abroad. Of the total population 5 years and older of 208,635, 18,175 ( 9 per cent) were not living in the same residence as in 1995 (Table 3.3): Approximately 7 per cent lived in a different parish and 2 per cent was living outside of the country.

Table 3.3 Local Born Population 5 years and older by Type of Move: 1985-1990 and 1995-2000

| Type of Movement | 2000 |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent | Number | Per cent |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 8 , 6 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 , 7 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Resident in same parish |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ (non-mover) | 190,084 | 91.11 | 185,837 | 90.32 |
| Resident in another |  |  |  |  |
| parish |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ (mover) | 14,907 | 7.15 | 15,126 | 7.35 |
| Resident Abroad | 3,268 | 1.56 | 2,180 | 1.06 |
| Not Stated | 376 | 0.18 | 2,600 | 1.26 |

Table 3.4 Net Gain (+) or Loss (-) to Parishes as a result of Internal Migration: 1995-2000

| Parish | Movements <br> Into (+) | Movements <br> Out of (-) | Net <br> Movement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| St Michael | 3,529 | 4,584 | $-1,055$ |
| Christ Church | 3,058 | 2,324 | 734 |
| St George | 1,396 | 998 | 398 |
| St Philip | 1,573 | 788 | 785 |
| St John | 424 | 562 | -138 |
| St James | 1,928 | 1,288 | 640 |
| St Thomas | 1,306 | 696 | 610 |
| St Joseph | 297 | 340 | -43 |
| St Andrew | 319 | 433 | -114 |
| St Peter | 689 | 502 | 187 |
| St Lucy | 388 | 355 | 33 |
| Not Stated | - | 2,037 | $-2,037$ |

Note: The 2,037 are movers who did not indicate their parish of residence in 1995.

The focus of the analysis of internal migration is the 7 per cent who changed parish of residence in the 5 years. The number of persons who changed parish of residence, the internal mover between 1995 and 2000 was 14,907 , reflecting an annual movement of about 2,980. The volume of movement was lower by 1.4 per cent than for the 1985-1990 period as reported in the 1990 census, when the number of movers was 15,126 .

Table 3.4 presents estimates of net gain or loss to parishes for 1995-2000 for both men and women combined. Only four parishes showed net losses to other parishes; St Michael, St John, St Andrew and St Joseph.

By far the greatest loss was from St Michael which showed a net loss of 1,055 persons to other parishes in the five years. St John, St Andrew and St Joseph lost 138, 114 and 43 respectively, during the same period. The parishes showing the largest net gains, in excess of 100 persons annually for the five years were St Philip (785), Christ Church (734), St James (640) and St Thomas (610).

Table 3.5 Movement between St. Michael and Other Parishes: 1995-2000

| Parish | From St <br> Michael <br> To (-) | To St Michael <br> From (+) | Net <br> Gain/Loss |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 , 0 5 5}$ |
| Christ Church | 1,382 | 960 | -422 |
| St George | 581 | 318 | -263 |
| St Philip | 471 | 224 | -247 |
| St John | 118 | 125 | +7 |
| St James | 809 | 485 | -324 |
| St Thomas | 546 | 241 | -305 |
| St Joseph | 95 | 106 | +11 |
| St Andrew | 89 | 58 | -31 |
| St Peter | 175 | 105 | -70 |
| St Lucy | 67 | 66 | -1 |
| Not Stated | 251 | 841 | +590 |

The movement between St Michael and the other parishes is shown in Table 3.5.The heaviest net losses from St Michael were to the adjoining parishes of Christ Church (422), St James (324), St Thomas (305) and St George (263) while the loss to St Philip was 247.

### 3.5 International Migration

### 3.5.1 Returning Residents

The migration of Barbadians to other countries within and outside of the region, from as far back as the nineteenth century, has already been described in the Introduction. The Barbadian population has experienced the type of mobility which has always been a feature of the Caribbean demographic history. One aspect of the mobility of the Barbadian population, which has attained prominence over the past ten years, is that related to returning migrants. Table 3.3 shows an appreciable increase in the number of Barbadians who returned to reside in Barbados from overseas in the five year period preceding the censuses of 1990 and 2000. At the 1990, census a total of 2,180 persons indicated that they were resident abroad 5 years before the census. At the 2000 census the number of persons reporting residence overseas 5 years prior, had moved approximately 50 per cent to 3,268 .

Table 3.6 Returning Overseas Migrants by Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Age Group | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 4 8}$ |
| $5-9$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 37 | 35 |
| $10-14$ | 91 | 56 | 35 |
| $15-19$ | 138 | 61 | 77 |
| $20-24$ | 206 | 99 | 107 |
| $25-29$ | 383 | 196 | 187 |
| $30-34$ | 424 | 214 | 210 |
| $35-39$ | 547 | 278 | 269 |
| $40-44$ | 652 | 301 | 351 |
| $45-49$ | 803 | 385 | 418 |
| $50-54$ | 931 | 420 | 511 |
| $55-59$ | 1239 | 609 | 630 |
| $60-64$ | 1493 | 716 | 777 |
| $65-69$ | 1528 | 773 | 755 |
| $70-74$ | 1245 | 672 | 573 |
| $75-79$ | 665 | 364 | 301 |
| $80-84$ | 390 | 209 | 181 |
| 85 and over | 242 | 111 | 131 |

For purposes of the census, a returning migrant was determined on the basis of a question which asked, "Did you ever leave Barbados for a continuous period of 5 years or more to reside abroad?" A total of 11,049 persons responded in the affirmative. Table 3.6 below shows that just over one half of returning migrants were women and that the number of migrants increased with age, with 70 per cent being 50 years old and over. The average age of the migrants of both sexes was about 57 years.

Table 3.7 Returning Overseas Residents by Country of Origin: 2000

| Country | Number | Per cent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| United Kingdom | 4,888 | 44.24 |
| United States of America | 2,557 | 23.14 |
| Canada | 1,167 | 10.56 |
| Caribbean Country | 1,011 | 9.15 |
| Latin America | 36 | 0.33 |
| Rest of the World | 431 | 3.90 |
| Not stated | 959 | 8.68 |

The country of origin for the majority of returning residents was the United Kingdom. Nearly 5,000 persons representing 44 per cent of these persons came from the UK. The United States of America, Canada and the Caribbean accounted for 23 per cent, 11 per cent and 9 per cent respectively as the country of origin of migrants (Table 3.7).

In the ten years between the censuses of 1990 and 2000, there was on average, 469 returning residents each year. Table 3.8 shows the parishes to which these residents returned. The four parishes of St Michael, Christ Church, St Philip and St James received more than three quarters (78 per cent) of returning migrants for the period 1990-2000.

Table 3.8 Returning Overseas Migrants in the period 1990-2000 by Parish

| Parish of Residence | Number | Per Cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 , 6 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| St Michael | 1,052 | 22.44 |
| Christ Church | 1,383 | 29.49 |
| St George | 267 | 5.69 |
| St Philip | 659 | 14.05 |
| St John | 105 | 2.24 |
| St James | 549 | 11.71 |
| St Thomas | 196 | 4.18 |
| St Joseph | 69 | 1.47 |
| St Andrew | 51 | 1.09 |
| St Peter | 220 | 4.69 |
| St Lucy | 138 | 2.94 |

### 3.5.2 Foreign Born Population

Census data on the foreign born provided by population census are an invaluable source of migration statistics for many countries. The characteristics of the foreign born population of Barbados derived from the 2000 census have already been described in Chapter 2 as part of the discussion on nativity.

Table 3.9 The Foreign Born Population entering Barbados between 1990 and 2000 by Country of Origin

| Country | Number | Per Cent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Guyana | 2,061 | 28.98 |
| St Vincent and the Grenadines | 628 | 8.83 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 541 | 7.61 |
| Jamaica | 390 | 5.48 |
| St Lucia | 281 | 3.95 |
| Other CARICOM Countries | 292 | 4.1 |
| United Kingdom | 960 | 13.49 |
| United States of America | 693 | 9.74 |
| Canada | 415 | 5.84 |
| All other countries | 851 | 11.98 |

As an important aspect of migration within a specific time period, this discussion will focus on the immigration of the foreign born into Barbados between 1990 and 2000.

Just over 7,200 of the approximately 23,485 foreign born residents of Barbados at the time of the 2000 census (Table 2.2) went to live there between 1990 and 2000.

The country of origin for more than a quarter ( 29 per cent) of these immigrants was Guyana. More than 8 per cent ( 8.83 per cent) came from St Vincent and the Grenadines. Table 3.9 shows that the other countries providing the immigrants during that period were; The United Kingdom (14 per cent), Trinidad and Tobago ( 7.6 per cent), United States of America ( 9.7 per cent), Canada ( 5.8 per cent) and St Lucia ( 3.9 per cent) (Table 3.9). Just as for the returning overseas migrants, the three parishes of St Michael, Christ Church

Table 3.10 The Foreign Born Population Entering in the Period 1990-2000 by Parish of Residence in 2000

| Parish | Number | Per Cent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{7 , 1 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| St Michael | 2,223 | 31.26 |
| Christ Church | 1,934 | 27.19 |
| St George | 336 | 4.72 |
| St Philip | 514 | 7.23 |
| St John | 129 | 1.81 |
| St James | 1,058 | 14.88 |
| St Thomas | 274 | 3.85 |
| St Joseph | 97 | 1.36 |
| St Andrew | 49 | 0.69 |
| St Peter | 308 | 4.33 |
| St Lucy | 190 | 2.67 |

and St James received the majority of the foreign born entering Barbados between 1990 and 2000. Of the over 5,000 persons representing 73 per cent of the total that migrated to the three parishes, 2,223 or 31 per cent went to St. Michael, while 1,934 (27 per cent) and 1,058 (15 per cent) went to Christ Church and St James respectively.

## CHAPTER 4

## EDUCATION AND TRAINING

### 4.1 Introduction

The Education System of Barbados has been previously described in Chapter 2 as being structured into tiers with some overlapping in each tier. It begins at age 3 years at the preprimary level. At the pre-primary level, children are usually between the ages of 3-4 years and they are taught in nursery classes in some primary and composite schools while at the primary level children between the ages of 5-12+ are taught at primary and composite schools. At the secondary level, children between the ages of $10+$ to $16+$ are taught in secondary schools and senior schools (11-16+). Due to the overlapping, it is not always possible to adequately assign, especially, the older members of the population who have completed schooling, to the correct level. The primary and secondary levels are the most affected as attendance at composite schools qualifies as either of these, depending on the grades completed. The challenge of the census for this very important topic, is always to satisfactorily make provisions for all members of the population, including those who would have been educated at a time when the educational system was much different than it is now.

For purposes of discussing the educational status of the Barbadian population based on the findings of the 2000 census, three age groups may be identified; 3-4 years, 5-14 years and 15 years and over. The discussion will focus on current attendance and the highest level of educational attainment.

### 4.2 Current Attendance

An important aspect of education relates to the numbers of children attend in school. This does not however reflect the true degree of attendance at the school. Table 4.1 below presents attendance at school for children between 3 and 4 years for the parishes. The table shows that a total of 4,367 children out of the total of 6,659 in the age group, were attended in school, resulting in an attendance rate of 66 per cent. The rate was slightly higher for girls at 66 per cent
compared to 65 per cent for boys. Among the parishes, the highest rate for boys is seen for St James, 72 per cent, and for girls, St James St Thomas and St Peter (74 per cent).

Table 4.1 Population Under 5 Years Old by Sex, School Attendance and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total (3-4) Years |  |  | Number Attending School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | 6,659 | 3,332 | 3,327 | 4,367 | 2,170 | 2,197 |
| St Michael | 2,256 | 1,114 | 1,142 | 1,458 | 725 | 733 |
| Christ Church | 1,244 | 630 | 614 | 822 | 419 | 403 |
| St George | 554 | 267 | 287 | 348 | 168 | 180 |
| St Philip | 618 | 317 | 301 | 419 | 214 | 205 |
| St John | 262 | 146 | 116 | 141 | 73 | 68 |
| St James | 576 | 281 | 295 | 421 | 202 | 219 |
| St Thomas | 347 | 186 | 161 | 248 | 129 | 119 |
| St Joseph | 165 | 80 | 85 | 97 | 43 | 54 |
| St Andrew | 141 | 72 | 69 | 87 | 47 | 40 |
| St Peter | 268 | 136 | 132 | 180 | 82 | 98 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  | Male |  | ale |
| Total |  | 65.58 |  | 65.13 |  |  |
| St Michael |  | 64.63 |  | 65.08 |  |  |
| Christ Church |  | 66.08 |  | 66.51 |  |  |
| St George |  | 62.82 |  | 62.92 |  |  |
| St Philip |  | 67.80 |  | 67.51 |  |  |
| St John |  | 53.82 |  | 50.00 |  |  |
| St James |  | 73.09 |  | 71.89 |  |  |
| St Thomas |  | 71.47 |  | 69.35 |  |  |
| St Joseph |  | 58.79 |  | 53.75 |  |  |
| St Andrew |  | 61.70 |  | 65.28 |  |  |
| St Peter |  | 67.16 |  | 60.29 |  |  |
| St Lucy |  | 64.04 |  | 66.02 |  |  |

Enrollment among the 5-14 years old is especially important as this age group is regarded as the school age population. Shown in Table 4.2 are the numbers of male and female students between the ages of 5 and 14 years and the proportions which they represent of the total in this age group,
for all parishes. The total number of children in this age group in 2000 was 37,362 and of these 36,261 or 97 per cent were attending school.

Table 4.2 Population 5-14 Years old by Sex, School Attendance and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total 5-14 Years |  |  | Number Attending School |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | 37,362 | 18,904 | 18,458 | 36,261 | 18,323 | 17,938 |
| St Michael | 12,747 | 6,421 | 6,326 | 12,346 | 6,229 | 6,117 |
| Christ <br> Church | 7,068 | 3,574 | 3,494 | 6,876 | 3,481 | 3,395 |
| St George | 2,614 | 1,306 | 1,308 | 2,535 | 1,263 | 1,272 |
| St Philip | 3,497 | 1,820 | 1,677 | 3,400 | 1,762 | 1,638 |
| St John | 1,347 | 702 | 645 | 1,296 | 671 | 625 |
| St James | 3,296 | 1,619 | 1,677 | 3,208 | 1,571 | 1,637 |
| St Thomas | 2,050 | 1,034 | 1,016 | 2,018 | 1,015 | 1,003 |
| St Joseph | 1,000 | 537 | 463 | 966 | 515 | 451 |
| St Andrew | 797 | 401 | 396 | 770 | 389 | 381 |
| St Peter | 1,598 | 823 | 775 | 1,545 | 783 | 762 |
| St Lucy | 1,348 | 667 | 681 | 1,301 | 644 | 657 |
| Attendance Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total |  | Male |  | male |
| Total |  | 97.05 |  | 96.93 |  | . 18 |
| St Michael |  | 96.85 |  | 97.01 |  | .70 |
| Christ Church |  | 97.28 |  | 97.40 |  | . 17 |
| St George |  | 96.98 |  | 96.71 |  | 7.25 |
| St Philip |  | 97.23 |  | 96.81 |  | 7.67 |
| St John |  | 96.21 |  | 95.58 |  | 6.90 |
| St James |  | 97.33 |  | 97.04 |  | 7.61 |
| St Thomas |  | 98.44 |  | 98.16 |  | 8.72 |
| St Joseph |  | 96.60 |  | 95.90 |  | 7.41 |
| St Andrew |  | 96.61 |  | 97.01 |  | 6.21 |
| St Peter |  | 96.68 |  | 95.14 |  | 8.32 |
| St Lucy |  | 96.51 |  | 96.55 |  | 6.48 |

St Thomas was the parish with the highest attendance rate for the 5-14 years old, for both sexes; 99 per cent for girls and 98 per cent for boys. There is not much variation among the parishes in relation to the rates and there is no consistent pattern to the sex differential. In terms of absolute numbers, the largest number of children in this age group attending school, 12,346, was found in St Michael. The next largest number, just over a half of that amount $(6,876)$ was to be found in Christ Church.

Table 4.3 Percentage of Population 5-14 Years Old Attending School Full-Time By Sex, Single Years of Age and Type of School Being Attended: 2000

| Age | Total Attending Full-time | \% <br> Total | Nursery/ Infants | Primary | Secondary | Not Stated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 17,041 | 100.0 | 1.77 | 68.96 | 28.20 | 1.07 |
| 5 | 1,618 | 100.0 | 11.93 | 86.22 | - | 1.85 |
| 6 | 1,563 | 100.0 | 5.18 | 93.35 | - | 1.47 |
| 7 | 1,764 | 100.0 | 1.53 | 97.39 | - | 1.08 |
| 8 | 1,826 | 100.0 | - | 98.90 | - | 1.10 |
| 9 | 1,774 | 100.0 | - | 99.38 | - | 0.62 |
| 10 | 1,876 | 100.0 | - | 99.04 | - | 0.96 |
| 11 | 1,672 | 100.0 | - | 98.21 | 1.20 | 0.60 |
| 12 | 1,623 | 100.0 | - | 6.84 | 91.81 | 1.36 |
| 13 | 1,625 | 100.0 | - | 0.00 | 98.89 | 1.11 |
| 14 | 1,700 | 100.0 | - | 0.00 | 99.29 | 0.71 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 16,628 | 100.0 | 1.56 | 68.38 | 29.05 | 1.01 |
| 5 | 1,517 | 100.0 | 10.68 | 87.41 | - | 1.91 |
| 6 | 1,541 | 100.0 | 4.35 | 94.55 | - | 1.10 |
| 7 | 1,724 | 100.0 | 1.74 | 97.04 | - | 1.22 |
| 8 | 1,745 | 100.0 | - | 99.54 | - | 0.46 |
| 9 | 1,807 | 100.0 | - | 98.84 | - | 1.16 |
| 10 | 1,771 | 100.0 | - | 99.15 | - | 0.85 |
| 11 | 1,592 | 100.0 | - | 98.24 | 1.07 | 0.69 |
| 12 | 1,538 | 100.0 | - | 4.62 | 94.41 | 0.98 |
| 13 | 1,686 | 100.0 | - | 0.00 | 99.29 | 0.71 |
| 14 | 1,707 | 100.0 | - | 0.00 | 98.89 | 1.11 |

Table 4.3 presents attendance at infant, primary and secondary schools by single years of age for the 5-14 years' group by the type of school attended.

The proportion of children attending infant school was highest for the 5 year olds; 12 per cent of boys at this age were in infant schools compared to 11 per cent of girls. For primary schools, the proportion was highest at age 9 years for boys and 8 years for girls.

Table 4.4a Population 15 Years Old and Older by Sex, School Attendance and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total (15 Years \& over) |  |  | Number Attending School |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 5 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 4 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 4 2 2}$ |
| St Michael | 64,982 | 30,693 | 34,289 | 6,882 | 2,842 | 4,040 |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church | 39,163 | 18,000 | 21,163 | 4,388 | 1,881 | 2,507 |
| St George | 13,918 | 6,655 | 7,263 | 1,506 | 629 | 877 |
| St Philip | 17,795 | 8,411 | 9,384 | 2,146 | 894 | 1,252 |
| St John | 6,898 | 3,407 | 3,491 | 555 | 256 | 299 |
| St James | 17,996 | 8,309 | 9,687 | 2,538 | 1,044 | 1,494 |
| St Thomas | 9,462 | 4,525 | 4,937 | 1,025 | 400 | 625 |
| St Joseph | 5,336 | 2,609 | 2,727 | 461 | 204 | 257 |
| St Andrew | 4,072 | 2,039 | 2,033 | 404 | 177 | 227 |
| St Peter | 8,424 | 4,017 | 4,407 | 851 | 388 | 463 |
| St Lucy | 7,354 | 3,592 | 3,762 | 676 | 295 | 381 |

For secondary schools, the proportion was highest at age 14 years for boys and age 13 years for girls. The boys dominate slightly at age 14 level with a 99.3 per cent attendance at secondary school full-time compared to the 98.9 per cent attendance for girls.

Table 4.4a shows that among the third group, the adult population, defined as the population 15 years and over, just over 21,400 persons were attending an educational institution. The resulting rate of attendance was 11 per cent. Attendance was higher for women, 12 per cent, compared to

10 per cent for men. In relation to the parishes, attendance rates were highest for St James, for men and women, 13 per cent and 15 per cent respectively.

Table 4.4b Population 15 Years and Old by Sex, School Attendance Rate and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Attendance Rate |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 . 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 4}$ |
| St Michael | 10.59 | 9.26 | 11.78 |
| Christ Church | 11.20 | 10.45 | 11.85 |
| St George | 10.82 | 9.45 | 12.07 |
| St Philip | 12.06 | 10.63 | 13.34 |
| St John | 8.05 | 7.51 | 8.56 |
| St James | 14.10 | 12.56 | 15.42 |
| St Thomas | 10.83 | 8.84 | 12.66 |
| St Joseph | 8.64 | 7.82 | 9.42 |
| St Andrew | 9.92 | 8.68 | 11.17 |
| St Peter | 10.10 | 9.66 | 10.51 |
| St Lucy | 9.19 | 8.21 | 10.13 |

### 4.3 Educational Attainment

The highest level of educational attainment for the population 15 years and over for the country as a whole and for all persons, attending and not attending school, has already been described in chapter two. With respect to the parishes, the Table (4.5) shows St James and Christ Church as the parishes with the highest proportion of the population of both sexes with the highest level of attainment.

Table 4.5 Percentage Distribution of Population 15 Years and Over by Highest Level of Educational Attainment, Parish and Sex: 2000

| Parish | Total | Level of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | None | Primary | Composite | Sec'dary | Univ'sity | Other <br> Tertiary | Not Stated |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 92,257 | 100 | 0.25 | 15.83 | 9.12 | 52.28 | 7.21 | 11.95 | 3.36 |
| St Michael | 30,693 | 100 | 0.34 | 12.64 | 7.72 | 59.59 | 5.18 | 9.8 | 4.73 |
| Christ Church | 18,000 | 100 | 0.13 | 15.68 | 9.51 | 47.14 | 10.36 | 14.36 | 2.82 |
| St George | 6,655 | 100 | 0.32 | 15.46 | 10.02 | 52.23 | 7.05 | 11.8 | 3.12 |
| St Philip | 8,411 | 100 | 0.21 | 12.75 | 8.07 | 53.43 | 7.98 | 14.62 | 2.94 |
| St John | 3,407 | 100 | 0.23 | 27.18 | 9.19 | 49.72 | 3.29 | 7.98 | 2.41 |
| St James | 8,309 | 100 | 0.17 | 12.38 | 11.11 | 42.99 | 13.14 | 17.2 | 3.01 |
| St Thomas | 4,525 | 100 | 0.15 | 22.96 | 9.55 | 45.68 | 7.29 | 11.91 | 2.46 |
| St Joseph | 2,609 | 100 | 0.23 | 20.54 | 7.17 | 60.02 | 3.72 | 6.86 | 1.46 |
| St Andrew | 2,039 | 100 | 0.39 | 23.79 | 16.14 | 47.57 | 3.29 | 7.45 | 1.37 |
| St Peter | 4,017 | 100 | 0.32 | 26.31 | 10.41 | 41.6 | 5.28 | 13.72 | 2.36 |
| St Lucy | 3,592 | 100 | 0.17 | 20.32 | 10.77 | 54.06 | 4.04 | 8.18 | 2.46 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 103,143 | 100 | 0.22 | 18.45 | 8.78 | 48.62 | 7.05 | 13.9 | 2.98 |
| St Michael | 34,289 | 100 | 0.3 | 16.1 | 8.04 | 54.07 | 5.32 | 12.11 | 4.06 |
| Christ Church | 21,163 | 100 | 0.15 | 16.72 | 9.72 | 45.77 | 9.17 | 16.14 | 2.33 |
| St George | 7,263 | 100 | 0.28 | 19.76 | 9.43 | 46.54 | 6.17 | 15.04 | 2.78 |
| St Philip | 9,384 | 100 | 0.1 | 15.61 | 6.82 | 51.14 | 7.74 | 15.8 | 2.79 |
| St John | 3,491 | 100 | 0.17 | 30.42 | 5.7 | 48.12 | 3.84 | 9.57 | 2.18 |
| St James | 9,687 | 100 | 0.18 | 13.41 | 10.69 | 40.11 | 13.39 | 19.27 | 2.95 |
| St Thomas | 4,937 | 100 | 0.26 | 25.3 | 9.11 | 43.53 | 6.97 | 12.94 | 1.89 |
| St Joseph | 2,727 | 100 | 0.4 | 26.33 | 5.87 | 54.82 | 3.45 | 7.81 | 1.32 |
| St Andrew | 2,033 | 100 | 0.1 | 27.94 | 13.08 | 45.79 | 3.25 | 8.21 | 1.63 |
| St Peter | 4,407 | 100 | 0.09 | 27.37 | 9.44 | 40.66 | 5.47 | 14.23 | 2.74 |
| St Lucy | 3,762 | 100 | 0.19 | 25.76 | 10.53 | 48.22 | 4.25 | 9.12 | 1.93 |

Table 4.6 Percentage Distribution of Population 15 Years and Over by Highest Level of Educational Attainment, Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Age Group | Total | Level of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | None | Primary | Composite | Sec'dary | Univ'sity | Other <br> Tertiary | Not Stated |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 92,257 | 100.0 | 0.25 | 15.83 | 9.12 | 52.28 | 7.21 | 11.95 | 3.36 |
| 15-19 | 9,433 | 100.0 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 1.49 | 84.17 | 1.36 | 9.89 | 2.45 |
| 20-24 | 8,913 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 1.55 | 3.35 | 67.63 | 7.37 | 17.01 | 2.99 |
| 25-29 | 9,758 | 100.0 | 0.13 | 2.84 | 6.15 | 65.55 | 7.86 | 14.31 | 3.16 |
| 30-34 | 9,574 | 100.0 | 0.19 | 5.39 | 6.06 | 61.61 | 8.06 | 15.51 | 3.18 |
| 35-39 | 10,155 | 100.0 | 0.18 | 7.57 | 5.62 | 61.3 | 7.67 | 14.79 | 2.87 |
| 40-44 | 9,544 | 100.0 | 0.18 | 11.58 | 7.4 | 55.02 | 8.83 | 14.18 | 2.81 |
| 45-49 | 8,007 | 100.0 | 0.06 | 15.39 | 9.25 | 49.03 | 10.03 | 12.53 | 3.71 |
| 50-54 | 6,563 | 100.0 | 0.24 | 21.97 | 11.76 | 41.35 | 10.18 | 10.79 | 3.71 |
| 55-59 | 4,376 | 100.0 | 0.41 | 29.52 | 14.85 | 33.14 | 9.69 | 8.52 | 3.87 |
| 60-64 | 3,969 | 100.0 | 0.35 | 38.95 | 18.01 | 21.79 | 8.57 | 7.36 | 4.97 |
| 65+ | 11,965 | 100.0 | 0.78 | 52.11 | 22.08 | 12.82 | 3.9 | 3.85 | 4.46 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 103,143 | 100.0 | 0.22 | 18.45 | 8.78 | 48.62 | 7.05 | 13.9 | 2.98 |
| 15-19 | 9,202 | 100.0 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.53 | 83.71 | 2.16 | 11.43 | 1.90 |
| 20-24 | 8,889 | 100.0 | 0.07 | 0.66 | 0.98 | 62.16 | 12.63 | 21.14 | 2.36 |
| 25-29 | 9,980 | 100.0 | 0.08 | 1.68 | 2.91 | 62.04 | 10.95 | 19.73 | 2.61 |
| 30-34 | 10,013 | 100.0 | 0.11 | 3.23 | 3.6 | 61.07 | 9.68 | 20.02 | 2.29 |
| 35-39 | 11,101 | 100.0 | 0.14 | 5.49 | 4.01 | 60.49 | 8.54 | 18.54 | 2.79 |
| 40-44 | 10,510 | 100.0 | 0.1 | 9.93 | 5.77 | 55.78 | 9.51 | 16.4 | 2.51 |
| 45-49 | 8,767 | 100.0 | 0.11 | 15.43 | 8.46 | 49.78 | 8.63 | 15.18 | 2.41 |
| 50-54 | 7,074 | 100.0 | 0.2 | 22.36 | 11.8 | 43.06 | 7.04 | 12.5 | 3.04 |
| 55-59 | 5,206 | 100.0 | 0.31 | 32.06 | 15.06 | 33.6 | 5.36 | 10.3 | 3.31 |
| 60-64 | 4,956 | 100.0 | 0.24 | 44.31 | 20.24 | 20.62 | 3.47 | 7.55 | 3.57 |
| 65+ | 17,445 | 100.0 | 0.67 | 57.37 | 22.13 | 10.65 | 1.35 | 2.99 | 4.84 |

The proportion attaining tertiary (university and other tertiary) level education for St James was 33 per cent for females and 30 per cent for males, while for Christ Church it was 25 per cent for both males and females. On the other hand, St Andrew reflected the lowest proportion of tertiary educational attainment for males ( 11 per cent), while St Joseph showed the lowest proportion of tertiary educational attainment for females (11 per cent). The highest proportion of the
population in any age group, attaining secondary level education was to be found among the 1519 years' group. More than 8 out of 10 ( 84 per cent for men and women) of the persons in this age group had attained this level as the highest.

The lowest levels of attainment were shown for the five year cohorts over 50 years old. The proportions attaining primary level as the highest level of education attained, exceeded the 16 per cent for the primary level group as a whole among men and the 18 per cent among women. Among men, the proportions ranged from 22 per cent for the $50-54$ years' group to 52 per cent for the 65 years and over and among women, from 22 per cent to 57 per cent among the two age groups, respectively.

### 4.4 Qualifications

For the 2000 census, qualification was measured in terms of generally acceptable and recognizable public examinations passed. Respondents were asked to indicate all general acceptable public examinations passed and not just the highest level. The interviewer's manual explained that the intention was to make it possible to develop an 'educational history and pattern'. That level of analysis is beyond the scope of this report. For purposes of the analysis the population reporting that they had passed no exams will be examined separately while those not responding to the question will be excluded. Due to the fact that multiple responses were accepted for persons who had passed examinations, the data shown in the tables represent total per category responses. The data described for the population passing examinations relate to the population 15 years and over who were not currently attending an educational institution.

The most significant aspect of the data as revealed in Table 4.7 is the substantial number of the population who failed to attain any qualification at any of the levels of formal education. About 109,000 persons, more than a half of the population 15 years and over had passed no public examinations.

Table 4.7 Population 15 Years and Over with No Examinations Passed by Age Group and Sex*: 2000

| Age <br> Group | Population |  |  |  | No Examination Passed |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 5 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 , 2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 3 , 1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 , 1 6 7}$ |
| $15-19$ | 18,635 | 9,433 | 9,202 | 10,059 | 5,690 | 4,369 |
| $20-24$ | 17,802 | 8,913 | 8,889 | 6,876 | 4,334 | 2,542 |
| $25-29$ | 19,738 | 9,758 | 9,980 | 7,989 | 4,861 | 3,128 |
| $30-34$ | 19,587 | 9,574 | 10,013 | 8,178 | 4,774 | 3,404 |
| $35-39$ | 21,256 | 10,155 | 11,101 | 9,906 | 5,351 | 4,555 |
| $40-44$ | 20,054 | 9,544 | 10,510 | 10,605 | 5,531 | 5,074 |
| $45-49$ | 16,774 | 8,007 | 87,67 | 9,423 | 4,714 | 4,709 |
| $50-54$ | 13,637 | 6,563 | 7,074 | 8,514 | 4,114 | 4,400 |
| $55-59$ | 9,582 | 4,376 | 5,206 | 6,429 | 2,910 | 3,519 |
| $60-64$ | 8,925 | 3,969 | 4,956 | 6,517 | 2,800 | 3,717 |
| $65+$ | 29,410 | 11,965 | 17,445 | 24,463 | 9,713 | 14,750 |

## *Excludes persons not responding to the question

Women have fared better than men over the ten years since 1990 (see Table 4.8). In numerical terms, the number of men 15 years and over who had no examination passes increased by just over 1,700 in the ten years, while the number of women in the same position, declined by over 2,700. In percentage terms, 59 per cent of males indicated that no examinations were passed, in the 2000 census, a decline by 1 percentage point since 1990. For women, the proportions moved from 57 per cent to 53 per cent, a fall by 4 percentage points.

Table 4.8 Population 15 Years and Over with No Examination Passed by Sex: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Item |  | No Examination Passed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total (15 } \\ \text { yrs. \& over) } \end{gathered}$ | Number | Percent |
| 2000 |  |  |  |
| Total | 195,400 | 108,959 | 55.76 |
| Male | 92,257 | 54,792 | 59.39 |
| Female | 103,143 | 54,167 | 52.52 |
| 1990 |  |  |  |
| Total | 187,642 | 110,043 | 58.65 |
| Male | 88,402 | 53,090 | 60.06 |
| Female | 99,240 | 56,953 | 57.39 |
| Change Between 1990-2000 |  |  |  |
|  | No Examinations Passed |  |  |
|  | Absolute Change |  | Percentage Change |
| Total | -1,804 |  | -0.99 |
| Male | 1,702 |  | 3.21 |
| Female | -2,786 |  | -4.89 |

A close look at the age data in Table 4.9 shows the highest proportions with no exams among the youngest and the oldest age groups. About 54 per cent of the population aged 15-19 years, which would include persons still in school, had passed no examinations. By age 65 years, eight out of ten persons were in this category. The position of the women is more favourable for all ages up to age 54 years. In fact, the differential between the sexes in terms of the proportions with no examination passed, declines with age. This differential is most marked at ages 20-24 years, where the table shows the proportion for men as 49 per cent compared to 29 per cent for women, a difference of 20 percentage points. By ages 65 years and over, the position is reversed with the
proportion for women being 85 per cent, 4 percentage points more than the 81 per cent for men.

Table 4.9 Percentage Distribution of Population 15 Years and Over with No Examination Passed by Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Age Group | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 5 . 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 5 2}$ |
| $15-19$ | 53.98 | 60.32 | 47.48 |
| $20-24$ | 38.62 | 48.63 | 28.60 |
| $25-29$ | 40.48 | 49.82 | 31.34 |
| $30-34$ | 41.75 | 49.86 | 34.00 |
| $35-39$ | 46.60 | 52.69 | 41.03 |
| $40-44$ | 52.88 | 57.95 | 48.28 |
| $45-49$ | 56.18 | 58.87 | 53.71 |
| $50-54$ | 62.43 | 62.68 | 62.20 |
| $55-59$ | 67.09 | 66.50 | 67.60 |
| $60-64$ | 73.02 | 70.55 | 75.00 |
| $65+$ | 83.18 | 81.18 | 84.55 |

## Source: Table 4.7

It is possible to assess persons who have successfully completed secondary schooling in a number of ways based on the examinations which are relevant to that level. In the first group are persons who have passed the CXC Basic and General Examinations and/or the General Certificate of Education (GCE). Table 4.10 shows that over 60,000 responses representing 64.3 per cent of the responses from the population 15 years and over, not currently attending school, had attained passes in one or more subjects at this level. In absolute terms there were more female responses for this level, than men. The female responses numbered 35,002 compared to 27,450 for men, a difference of 7,552 . In percentage terms, the differential was less pronounced as the females with this level represented 65 per cent of the female population while for males the corresponding proportion was 63 per cent.

Table 4.10 Population ${ }^{3} 15$ Years and Over Not Attending School by Sex and Examinations Passed: 2000

| Type of Examination | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of Responses |  |  |
| Total Responses | $\mathbf{9 7 , 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 3 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 7 4 6}$ |
| CXC Basic, CXC General /GCE `O' less than 5 subjects & 32,217 & 14,823 & 17,394 \\ CXC General Grades 1-3/GCE `O' 5 + Subjects / Senior | 30,235 | 12,627 | 17,608 |
| Cambridge |  |  |  |
| CXC `A'/ Higher Cambridge & 10,153 & 4,692 & 5,461 \\ Undergraduate Diploma / Certificate & 1,887 & 814 & 1,073 \\ Associate Degree & 2,821 & 1,042 & 1,779 \\ Bachelor's Degree & 8,037 & 3,888 & 4,149 \\ Master's Degree & 1,898 & 1,081 & 817 \\ Doctoral Degree & 482 & 337 & 145 \\ Postgraduate Diploma / Certificate & 1,067 & 520 & 547 \\ Professional Diploma / Certificate & 8,320 & 3,547 & 4,773 \\ Total Persons Reporting & \(\mathbf{7 0 , 9 5 6}\) & \(\mathbf{2 9 , 4 7 1}\) & \(\mathbf{4 1 , 4 8 5}\) \\ Average No. of Exams Passed & \(\mathbf{1 . 3 7}\) & \(\mathbf{1 . 4 7}\) & \(\mathbf{1 . 3 0}\) \\ \hline & \multicolumn{2}{\|c|}{ Percent of Total } \\ \hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Percentage of Responses } & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}\) & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}\) & \(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}\) \\ \hline CXC Basic, CXC General /GCE `O' less than 5 subjects | 33.17 | 34.18 | 32.36 |
| CXC General Grades 1-3 / GCE `O' 5+ Subjects/Senior & 31.13 & 29.11 & 32.76 \\ Cambridge & 10.45 & 10.82 & 10.16 \\ CXC `A'/ Higher Cambridge | 1.94 | 1.88 | 2.00 |
| Undergraduate Diploma / Certificate | 2.90 | 2.40 | 3.31 |
| Associate Degree | 8.28 | 8.96 | 7.72 |
| Bachelor's Degree | 1.95 | 2.49 | 1.52 |
| Master's Degree | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.27 |
| Doctoral Degree | 1.10 | 1.20 | 1.02 |
| Postgraduate Diploma / Certificate | 8.57 | 8.18 | 8.88 |
| Professional Diploma / Certificate |  |  |  |

[^2]In the second group of qualifications of secondary education are persons with the GCE Advanced Level or the Higher Cambridge examinations. There were just over 10,000 responses for this category. In absolute terms the female responses exceeded those for men; 5,461 compared to 4,692.

There was a total of 24,512 responses representing a quarter of the responses from the population 15 years and over, not currently attending school, indicating attainment of university undergraduate and postgraduate certificates, diplomas and degree. This may be interpreted as an indication of the country's success in educational achievements.

### 4.5 Training

As discussed in chapter 2 the census identified a total of 72,875 persons aged 15 years and over who reported exposure to vocational and/or technical training.

Table 4.11 Population 15 years and over by sex and Status of Training: 2000

| Status of Training | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total Exposed | $\mathbf{7 2 , 8 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 , 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 5 8 3}$ |
| Completed Training | 56,544 | 29,928 | 26,616 |
| Did not Complete Training | 2,471 | 1,410 | 1,061 |
| Currently Being Trained | 7,089 | 3,313 | 3,776 |
| Not Stated | 6,771 | 3,641 | 3,130 |
|  | Percentage Distribution |  |  |
| Total Exposed | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Completed Training | 77.59 | 78.16 | 76.96 |
| Did Not Complete Training | 3.39 | 3.68 | 3.07 |
| Currently Being Trained | 9.73 | 8.65 | 10.92 |
| Not Stated | 9.29 | 9.51 | 9.05 |

Of this number, more than three quarters, 56,544 or 78 per cent had completed the training while 10 per cent was currently being trained. A look at the percentage distribution by sex as shown in Table 4.11 shows that while a slightly higher proportion of men had completed training 78 per cent, compared to 77 per cent of women, more women were currently being trained.

A total of 3,776 women 15 years and over reported that they were undergoing training at that time. This represented 11 per cent of all women compared to 3,313 men, 9 per cent of all men exposed to training.

In relation to age, it is the oldest age groups which show the highest proportion of completed training, while the youngest groups reflect the highest proportion of current training. More than eight out of ten ( 86 per cent) of the population 65 years and over had completed training (Table 4.12).

The proportions for men and women were 87 per cent and 86 per cent respectively. With respect to current training 30 per cent of males and females, 15-24 years was undergoing training at the time of the census.

Questions on period of training and occupation for which trained were directed to those persons who had completed training. Tables 4.13 and 4.14 present the findings from the responses. Just about one fifth of all the persons had been trained for less than six months. More than one half, 59 per cent had been trained for less than two years.

Table 4.12 Percentage Distribution of Population 15 Years and Over by Age Group, Sex and Status of Training: 2000

| Age Group | Total Population | Completed Training |  | Currently Trained |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
|  | Both Sexes |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 72,875 | 56,544 | 77.59 | 7,089 | 9.73 |
| 15-24 | 10,261 | 5,616 | 54.73 | 3,054 | 29.76 |
| 25-44 | 35,665 | 27,848 | 78.08 | 3,360 | 9.42 |
| 45-64 | 20,263 | 17,302 | 85.39 | 655 | 3.23 |
| 65+ | 6,686 | 5,778 | 86.42 | 20 | 0.30 |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38,292 | 29,928 | 78.16 | 3,313 | 8.65 |
| 15-24 | 5,474 | 2,922 | 53.38 | 1,622 | 29.63 |
| 25-44 | 18,228 | 14,435 | 79.19 | 1,370 | 7.52 |
| 45-64 | 10,776 | 9,249 | 85.83 | 310 | 2.88 |
| 65+ | 3,814 | 3,322 | 87.10 | 11 | 0.29 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 34,583 | 26,616 | 76.96 | 3,776 | 10.92 |
| 15-24 | 4,787 | 2,694 | 56.28 | 1,432 | 29.91 |
| 25-44 | 17,437 | 13,413 | 76.92 | 1,990 | 11.41 |
| 45-64 | 9,487 | 8,053 | 84.88 | 345 | 3.64 |
| 65+ | 2,872 | 2,456 | 85.52 | 9 | 0.31 |

Table 4.13 Population 15 Years and Over with Completed Training by Duration of Training: 2000

| Duration of Training (in <br> months) | Number of Persons | Per cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 6 , 5 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Under 6 | 11,323 | 20.02 |
| $6-11$ | 10,774 | 19.05 |
| $12-17$ | 3,446 | 6.09 |
| $18-23$ | 7,739 | 13.69 |
| $24-29$ | 2,540 | 4.49 |
| $30-35$ | 4,143 | 7.33 |
| $36-47$ | 3,263 | 5.77 |
| $48-59$ | 2,290 | 4.05 |
| 60 and over | 4,500 | 7.96 |
| Not stated | 6,527 | 11.54 |

Women outnumber men in being in the clerical, sales and service occupations by about seven to one, as 6,053 women had completed training in these occupations compared to 915 men. For the Life Science and Health Associates and Professions, 4,603 persons had completed training, of which 3,695 or 80.27 per cent were women.

The training completed by 76.96 per cent of all women was concentrated in six of the sixteen groups listed.

Clerical, Sales and Service Occupations (23 per cent), Personal and Protective Service Workers (17 per cent), Life Science and Health Associate Technicians and Professionals (14 per cent), Associate Technicians and Professionals, other than Life Science and Health (10 per cent), Teaching Professionals ( 9 per cent) and the group called Other Craft and Related Trades Workers which includes food processing, textile and garment manufacturing (8 per cent).

Table 4.14 Population 15 Years and Over with Completed Training by Occupational Group For Which Trained: 2000

| Occupational Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total | 29,929 | 100.0 | 26,616 | 100.0 |
| Senior Officials and Managers | 1,925 | 6.43 | 1,653 | 6.21 |
| Physical, Computing and Engineering Science Technicians and Professionals | 3,414 | 11.41 | 931 | 3.50 |
| Life Science and Health Associate Technicians and Professionals | 908 | 3.03 | 3,695 | 13.88 |
| Teaching Professionals | 1,105 | 3.69 | 2,435 | 9.15 |
| Other Associate Technicians and Professionals | 2,626 | 8.77 | 2,705 | 10.16 |
| Clerical Workers, Sales and Service Occupations | 915 | 3.06 | 6,053 | 22.74 |
| Personal and Protective Service Workers | 2,905 | 9.71 | 4,412 | 16.58 |
| Shop Assistants and Market Traders | 46 | 0.15 | 238 | 0.89 |
| Skilled Agricultural and Fishery Workers | 240 | 0.80 | 56 | 0.21 |
| Extraction and Building Trades Workers | 7,901 | 26.40 | 207 | 0.78 |
| Metal, Machinery and Electricity Trades Workers | 4,482 | 14.98 | 135 | 0.51 |
| Precision, Handicraft, Printing and Other Related Trades Workers | 386 | 1.29 | 334 | 1.25 |
| Other Craft and Related Trades Workers | 641 | 2.14 | 2,105 | 7.91 |
| Industrial Plant Operators | 29 | 0.10 | 9 | 0.03 |
| Stationary Machinery Operators and Assemblers | 452 | 1.51 | 412 | 1.55 |
| Drivers and Mobile Machinery Operators | 382 | 1.28 | 20 | 0.08 |
| Labourers | 96 | 0.32 | 43 | 0.16 |
| Not Stated | 1,476 | 4.93 | 1,173 | 4.41 |

There were only three groups which showed male dominance of having being formed to any great extent; Extraction and Building Trades Workers (26 per cent), Metal, Machinery and Electricity Trades Workers (15 per cent), and Physical, Computing and Engineering Science Technicians and Professionals ( 11 per cent), all accounted for 52 per cent of all males with completed training.

## CHAPTER 5

## ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

### 5.1 Introduction

The basic concepts related to economic activity have already been outlined in chapter 2 . The census topic relating to economic characteristics concentrate on the economically active population as defined by the International Labour Organization (ILO) where the concept of economic production is established with respect to the System of National Accounts.

The economically active population comprises all persons, men and women who provide or were available to provide the supply of labour for the production of economic goods and services, as defined by the Systems of National Accounts (SNA), during a specified time period. Domestic or personal services provided by unpaid household members for final consumption within the same household are not considered economic activities.

The economically active population can be measured in different ways and the ILO recommends two ways. One approach uses the usually active population measured in relation to a long reference period such as a year and the other uses the currently active population or the labour force, measured in relation to a short reference period such as one week.

The focus of the 2000 census of Barbados was the usual activity. The reference week for measurement of the usually active was the 12 months proceeding May 1, 2000. For Barbados, classification of activity was confined to the population 15 years and over.
The discussion in chapter 2 reviewed the activity status of the Barbadian population at a country level and examined changes in the ten years since 1990. In this chapter, the discussion will focus on the parishes, age and sex distribution in more detail as well as occupation and industry at 2000.

### 5.2 The Parishes

### 5.2.1 Economic Activity

The parishes with the largest number of economically active persons, in 2000, were St Michael, with 42,735 and Christ Church with 26,014 (Table 5.1). In percentage terms however, as indicated by the participation rate which relates the numbers employed to the total population in the relevant age group, there were little differences between the parishes.

Table 5.1 Economically Active Population and Participation Rate by Sex and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Economically Active Population |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  | Participation Rate |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 , 0 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1 5}$ |
| St Michael | 42,735 | 22,516 | 20,219 | 65.76 | 73.35 | 58.97 |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church | 26,014 | 13,224 | 12,790 | 66.42 | 73.47 | 60.44 |
| St George | 9,450 | 5,012 | 4,438 | 67.89 | 75.32 | 61.09 |
| St Philip | 11,864 | 6,150 | 5,714 | 66.66 | 73.10 | 60.89 |
| St John | 4,594 | 2,553 | 2,041 | 66.59 | 74.93 | 58.45 |
| St James | 12,431 | 6,307 | 6,124 | 69.07 | 75.91 | 63.21 |
| St Thomas | 6,567 | 3,491 | 3,076 | 69.40 | 77.15 | 62.29 |
| St Joseph | 3,544 | 1,976 | 1,568 | 66.42 | 75.74 | 57.50 |
| St Andrew | 2,710 | 1,539 | 1,171 | 66.54 | 75.48 | 57.57 |
| St Peter | 5,693 | 3,022 | 2,671 | 67.56 | 75.23 | 60.58 |
| St Lucy | 4,932 | 2,697 | 2,235 | 67.07 | 75.08 | 59.41 |

For males, participation rates range from 77.2 per cent for St Thomas to 73.1 per cent for St Philip, a difference of only 4 percentage points. For the females, the difference was a slightly higher 5 percentage points where in St James 63.2 out of every 100 females 15 years old and over, were economically active, while at the lower end, at St Joseph, 57.5 out of every 100 females were economically active.

In all parishes, participation was higher for men than for women. The sex ratio (males per 100 females) of the economically active ranged from a high of 131 per 100 for St Andrew, to a low of 103 for St James. As described above, the highest male participation rate was 77 per cent (seen for St Thomas); the highest female participation rate was 63 per cent seen for St James. The largest number of economically active women was to be found in St Michael - the 20,219 women almost one third ( 33 per cent) of the total economically active women.

Table 5.2 Employment Rate and Job Seeking Rate by Sex
and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Employment Rate |  |  | Job Seeking Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 3 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 4 . 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 3 5}$ |
| St Michael | 92.80 | 93.64 | 91.85 | 7.20 | 6.36 | 8.15 |
| Christ Church | 94.38 | 95.20 | 93.54 | 5.62 | 4.80 | 6.46 |
| St George | 94.38 | 96.31 | 92.20 | 5.62 | 3.69 | 7.80 |
| St Philip | 94.39 | 95.51 | 93.17 | 5.61 | 4.49 | 6.83 |
| St John | 91.99 | 93.89 | 89.61 | 8.01 | 6.11 | 10.39 |
| St James | 95.89 | 96.46 | 95.30 | 4.11 | 3.54 | 4.70 |
| St Thomas | 94.85 | 96.36 | 93.14 | 5.15 | 3.64 | 6.86 |
| St Joseph | 92.75 | 94.23 | 90.88 | 7.25 | 5.77 | 9.12 |
| St Andrew | 93.43 | 95.78 | 90.35 | 6.57 | 4.22 | 9.65 |
| St Peter | 93.96 | 95.17 | 92.59 | 6.04 | 4.83 | 7.41 |
| St Lucy | 93.39 | 94.73 | 91.77 | 6.61 | 5.27 | 8.23 |

The parish with the highest employment rate for men and women combined was St James (Table 5.2). The 96 per cent rate was 4 per cent higher than the lowest rate of 92 for St John. St James had the highest rate for men and women, 96 per cent and 95 per cent, respectively.

Table 5.3:
Number and Percentage Distribution of the Population 15 Years and Over by Sex and Activity Status: 2000

| Activity Status | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15-24 |  | 25-44 |  | 45-54 |  | 55-64 |  | 65+ |  |
|  | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total <br> Economically Active | 18,34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,96 |  |
|  | 8 | 100.0 | 39,031 | 100.0 | 14,570 | 100.0 | 8,345 | 100.0 | 6 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11052 | 60.24 | 36726 | 94.10 | 13440 | 92.24 | 5961 | 71.43 | 1308 | 10.93 |
| Employed | 9423 | 51.36 | 35380 | 90.65 | 13087 | 89.82 | 5801 | 69.51 | 1296 | 10.83 |
| Seeking Work | 1629 | 8.88 | 1346 | 3.45 | 353 | 2.42 | 160 | 1.92 | 12 | 0.1 |
| Inactive | 6682 | 36.41 | 1430 | 3.66 | 827 | 5.68 | 2191 | 26.26 | 10612 | 88.68 |
| Student | 6120 | 33.35 | 309 | 0.79 | 44 | 0.30 | 7 | 0.08 | 3 | 0.03 |
| Home Duties | 78 | 0.42 | 136 | 0.35 | 85 | 0.58 | 76 | 0.91 | 44 | 0.37 |
| Retired | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 72 | 0.49 | 1450 | 17.38 | 10283 | 85.94 |
| Incapacitated | 90 | 0.49 | 460 | 1.18 | 375 | 2.57 | 331 | 3.97 | 153 | 1.28 |
| Other | 394 | 2.15 | 525 | 1.35 | 251 | 1.72 | 327 | 3.92 | 129 | 1.08 |
| Not Reported | 614 | 3.35 | 875 | 2.24 | 303 | 2.08 | 193 | 2.31 | 46 | 0.39 |
|  | Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total <br> Economically Active | 18,092 | 100.0 | 41,607 | 100.0 | 15,842 | 100.0 | 10,163 | 100.0 | 17,445 | 100.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9260 | 51.18 | 35231 | 84.67 | 12263 | 77.41 | 4654 | 45.79 | 639 | 3.66 |
| Employed | 7526 | 41.60 | 33032 | 79.39 | 11783 | 74.38 | 4519 | 44.47 | 628 | 3.60 |
| Seeking Work | 1734 | 9.58 | 2199 | 5.28 | 480 | 3.03 | 135 | 1.33 | 11 | 0.06 |
| Inactive | 8375 | 46.29 | 5683 | 13.66 | 3342 | 21.09 | 5330 | 52.45 | 16764 | 96.10 |
| Student | 7142 | 39.48 | 570 | 1.37 | 68 | 0.43 | 27 | 0.27 | 16 | 0.09 |
| Home Duties | 929 | 5.13 | 4258 | 10.23 | 2480 | 15.65 | 2354 | 23.16 | 1103 | 6.32 |
| Retired | - | 0.00 | - | 0.00 | 88 | 0.56 | 2039 | 20.06 | 15179 | 87.01 |
| Incapacitated | 45 | 0.25 | 410 | 0.99 | 414 | 2.61 | 395 | 3.89 | 256 | 1.47 |
| Other | 259 | 1.43 | 445 | 1.07 | 292 | 1.84 | 515 | 5.07 | 210 | 1.20 |
| Not Reported | 457 | 2.53 | 693 | 1.67 | 237 | 1.50 | 179 | 1.76 | 42 | 0.24 |

Economic Activity by age as presented in Table 5.3 shows participation in economic activity as indicated by the proportion of the population which is economically active, to be highest for the 25-44 year age group for both men and women.

Among the men participation rates move from 60 per cent for ages between 15 and 24 to the peak of 94 per cent among the 25-44 years group, before steadily declining to only 11 per cent among the group 65 years and over. A similar pattern existed for women but at lower levels. The rates for females were 51 per cent, 85 per cent and 4 per cent for the 15-24 years, 25-44 years and 65 years and over, respectively. The table shows the largest differential between the sexes to be in the 55-64 years age group where the participation rate for women was 26 percentage points less than the rate for the men.

In relation to employment, a similar pattern with respect to age existed, with employment being lowest for the oldest age group. The pattern of employment may be examined in relation to proportion of the total population classified and in terms of rates, which relate the numbers employed to the economically active.

In terms of employment rates, however, which relate the employed to the economically active only, rates were highest for the oldest age groups. For men, rates start at 85 per cent for the under 25 years age group and increase thereafter and at 65 years and over was 99 per cent (Table 5.4). The pattern for the females was similar although the rates were lower, from 81 per cent to 98 per cent for the same age groups. Job seeking rates moved in the opposite direction.

These rates were highest for the youngest age groups and higher for women, and declined with age from 19 per cent for women and 15 per cent for men between the ages of 15 and 24 to 1 per cent for males and about 2 per cent for females in the 65 years aged 65 years and over.

The 65 years and over group, not surprisingly, was the most likely to be inactive. Women were more likely to be inactive than men; approximately 96 per cent of women in this age group was classified as inactive compared to 89 per cent of men (Table 5.3).

Table 5.4 Employment Rate and Job Seeking Rate by Sex and Age Group: 2000

| Age Group | Employment Rate | Job Seeking Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Both Sexes |  |
| Total | 93.83 | 6.17 |
| 15-24 | 83.44 | 16.56 |
| 25-44 | 95.07 | 4.93 |
| 45-54 | 96.76 | 3.24 |
| 55-64 | 97.22 | 2.78 |
| 65+ | 98.82 | 1.18 |
|  | Male |  |
| Total | 94.89 | 5.11 |
| 15-24 | 85.26 | 14.74 |
| 25-44 | 96.34 | 3.66 |
| 45-54 | 97.37 | 2.63 |
| 55-64 | 97.32 | 2.68 |
| 65+ | 99.08 | 0.92 |
|  | Female |  |
| Total | 92.65 | 7.35 |
| 15-24 | 81.27 | 18.73 |
| 25-44 | 93.76 | 6.24 |
| 45-54 | 96.09 | 3.91 |
| 55-64 | 97.10 | 2.90 |
| 65+ | 98.28 | 1.72 |

### 5.3 Occupation

The characteristics of the employed population may be examined in terms of employment status, occupation and industry. Data on occupation (Table 5.5) show a dominance of males in craft and related trades occupations while women dominate the service workers group. More than a third (35 per cent) of employed females was engaged as service workers and shop and market sales
workers compared to 18 per cent of men. While 24 per cent of the male employed was involved as craft workers only 4 per cent of women was engaged in this activity. Involvement in the professional group was higher for women with one fifth of employed women in this group compared to 17 per cent of men. The traditionally male dominated occupations in agriculture and production reflected the sex differential.

Table 5.5 Percentage Distribution of Employed Population by Sex and Occupational Group: 2000

| Occupational Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Per cent <br> of Total | Number | Per cent <br> of Total |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 4 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and | 5,459 | 8.40 | 3,891 | 6.77 |
| Managers | 11,017 | 16.95 | 11,513 | 20.03 |
| Professionals, Technicians and Associate | 3,108 | 4.78 | 12,477 | 21.70 |
| Professionals | 11,697 | 18.00 | 20,347 | 35.39 |
| Clerical and Secretarial Workers | 2,809 | 4.32 | 489 | 0.85 |
| Service Workers and Shop and Market | 15,661 | 24.10 | 2,035 | 3.54 |
| Sales Workers | 6,523 | 10.04 | 1,927 | 3.35 |
| Skilled Agricultural and Fishery Workers | 7,308 | 11.25 | 3,549 | 6.17 |
| Craft and Related Trades Workers | 1,405 | 2.16 | 1,260 | 2.19 |
| Plant and Machine Operators and |  |  |  |  |
| Assemblers |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary Occupations |  |  |  |  |
| Not Stated |  |  |  |  |

The proportion of employed men in agriculture and plant and machine operating and assembling was 4 per cent and 10 per cent compared to 1 per cent and 3 per cent respectively for women.

### 5.4 Industry

In relation to industry, the largest number of persons, about 36,000 comprising 29 per cent of the employed, was engaged in Community, Social and Personal Services (Table 5.6). This group
comprises Public Administration, Education, Health and Personal and Household Services. The sex differential of employment in this industry group was quite marked. The 37 per cent of women involved was 15 percentage points more than the 22 per cent of men.

Table 5.6 Percentage Distribution of Employed Population by Sex and Industrial Group: 2000

| Industrial Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Per cent <br> of Total | Number | Per cent <br> of Total |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 4 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Agricultural Hunting, Forestry and | 3,184 | 4.90 | 1,277 | 2.22 |
| Fishing | 287 | 0.44 | 52 | 0.09 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 6,778 | 10.43 | 5,534 | 9.63 |
| Manufacturing | 1,040 | 1.60 | 240 | 0.42 |
| Electricity Gas and Water | 12,057 | 18.55 | 725 | 1.26 |
| Construction | 14,319 | 22.03 | 17,289 | 30.07 |
| Wholesale and Retail | 5,644 | 8.68 | 2,098 | 3.65 |
| Transport, Storage and Communication | 5,623 | 8.65 | 7,738 | 13.46 |
| Financial, Insurance, Real Estate and |  |  |  |  |
| Business Services | 14,470 | 22.27 | 21,213 | 36.90 |
| Community, Social and Personal |  | 2.44 | 1,322 | 2.30 |
| Services | 1,585 |  |  |  |
| Not Stated |  |  |  |  |

The second largest industrial group was Wholesale and Retail Trade with 31, 608 or just over one quarter of the employed population. The dominance of women was again evident as 30 per cent of the females employed were engaged in this industry compared to 22 per cent of the male population. The third most important industry for women was Financial Services which employed 13 per cent of women compared to 9 per cent of men.

Industries in which the men dominated were Construction (19 per cent) and Transport Storage and Communication ( 9 per cent). The comparative proportions for women in these industries were about 1 per cent and 4 per cent respectively for Construction and Transport Storage and

## Communication.

### 5.5 Status in Employment

The employment status of the employed population was introduced in Chapter 2. As explained previously, employment status refers to the status of the person with respect to his/her employment, which is the contract of employment with other persons or organizations.

Private enterprise and the government were the major employers in 2000, employing over 70,587 and 26,092 persons respectively. The self employed, the third major category, amounted to 16,224 or 13 per cent of the employed. Table 5.7 below presents the percentage distribution of the employed by age, sex and employment status (unpaid workers excluded).

The previous discussion in this chapter, on the age distribution of the employed, identified the 25-44 years age group as containing the highest proportion of the employed. Table 5.7 reflects this pattern as more than 50 per cent of each group is between 25 and 44 years with the average age of employees being 37 years. Private employees were younger than government employees and the self employed. The average age of these employees was 35 years compared to 42 years for both government workers and the self employed

Table 5.7 Percentage Distribution of Employed Population by Sex, Age Group and Employment Status: 2000

| Employment Status | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Average Age |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}+$ |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 . 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 2 2}$ |
| Total Employees | $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 9 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 9 6}$ |
| Male | 50,997 | 16.27 | 54.78 | 27.60 | 1.35 | 36.61 |
| Female | 49,913 | 13.60 | 57.91 | 27.73 | 0.77 | 37.28 |
| Private Enterprise | $\mathbf{7 0 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 6 0}$ |
| Male | 36,993 | 19.47 | 55.98 | 23.04 | 1.51 | 34.65 |
| Female | 33,594 | 17.63 | 60.31 | 21.34 | 0.72 | 34.62 |
| Government | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 . 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 8 0}$ |
| Male | 13,064 | 6.97 | 51.84 | 40.40 | 0.80 | 41.80 |
| Female | 13,028 | 5.83 | 54.44 | 39.44 | 0.30 | 41.81 |
| Private Households | $\mathbf{4 1 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 2 3}$ |
| Male | 933 | 19.4 | 48.45 | 29.26 | 2.89 | 38.11 |
| Female | 3262 | 3.10 | 47.24 | 46.66 | 3.00 | 44.82 |
| Unpaid Worker | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 0 0}$ |
| Male | $\mathbf{7}$ | 14.29 | 57.14 | 0.00 | 28.57 | 42.71 |
| Female | 29 | 17.24 | 37.93 | 31.04 | 13.79 | 41.83 |
| Total Self Employed | $\mathbf{1 6 , 2 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 7 7}$ |
| Male | 11,169 | 5.28 | 53.42 | 36.59 | 4.70 | 41.54 |
| Female | 5,055 | 5.22 | 54.48 | 36.10 | 4.19 | 41.70 |
| Not Stated | $\mathbf{5 , 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 9 2}$ |
| Male | 2,821 | 19.04 | 52.39 | 25.70 | 2.87 | 37.15 |
| Female | 2,520 | 18.85 | 54.48 | 25.32 | 1.35 | 36.66 |

## CHAPTER 6

## HOUSING

### 6.1 Introduction

One of the aims of the population census is to relate the population to its living quarters, thus the inclusion of a housing census becomes a necessary part of the programme. The housing census covers all structures and buildings, residential and non-residential. Such a complete listing is necessary in order that, for the purposes of the population census, occupied buildings may be identified and all living quarters located.

For a proper interpretation and analysis of the census results as they relate to housing, it is important to understand and apply the concepts and definitions employed in the 2000 census of housing for Barbados. The census identified buildings, dwelling units and households and these are defined below:

Building - a permanent physical structure, separate and independent from any other. A building may comprise one or more rooms, or other space, covered by a roof and enclosed within external walls. A building may be used for residential, agricultural, commercial, industrial or cultural purposes or for the provision of services. Detached rooms relating to the main building are treated as part of that building.

Dwelling Unit - a separate building or an independent part of a building used or intended for use as living quarters. The key factors in identifying a dwelling were separateness and independence. Occupiers of a dwelling unit must have free access to the street by their own separate and independent entrances without having to pass through the living quarters of another household. Examples of different types of dwelling units:
(a) A single detached house.
(b) An apartment which comprises part of a building, with a separate and independent entrance. A building may contain several apartments, each with its own separate and independent entrance. Duplexes, flats and condominiums were treated as types of apartments.
(c) Part of a commercial or other non-residential building, for example, part of a church or shop which serves as a dwelling.
(d) Natural shelters such as caves, and other non-conventional shelters such as tree houses or other improvised dwellings.

Institutions, for the purpose of the census were defined as living quarters in which the occupants live collectively for disciplinary, health, educational, religious or other reasons. Such institutions include prisons, reform schools, homes for the aged/district hospitals, convents, monasteries, hotels and guest houses (with six (6) or more separate flats/apartments).

Unoccupied / Vacant Dwelling Unit - a dwelling unit in which no one was living at the time of enumeration. Newly constructed vacant units were included in the listing of units, if construction had reached the point where all windows and exterior doors and roof were installed, and the final usable floors were in place. If unoccupied dwellings had not reached this point, the unit should be listed as 'under active construction'. Vacant units were to be listed as 'dilapidated' if there were positive evidence that the units were to be demolished or if they were condemned for reasons of health or safety. If construction had been discontinued and it had the appearance of an abandoned unit, it was listed as 'under inactive construction'.

Household - For the purpose of the census, a household consisted of a person or group of persons living together in a dwelling unit. As such each dwelling unit contains only one household. A household may consist of one person or a group of persons, related or unrelated. A group of unrelated persons living together was regarded as a group dwelling.
Specific questions directed to the household rather than to individuals, related to the type of unit, type of roofing and the material of outer walls. Questions to determine the arrangements, under
which a household occupied its living quarters and the availability of specific facilities for household use, were asked in relation to tenure, number of rooms occupied, availability and type of bathroom, kitchen and toilet facilities, source of water, type of lighting and type of fuel used for cooking. Such information, in combination with the relevant population parameters, will be useful for evaluating housing conditions, estimating housing stock and formulating housing policies.

### 6.2 Housing Stock

Table 6.1 Number of Dwelling Units by Parish: Changes between: 1990 and 2000

| Parish | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Change 1990-2000 <br> No. of <br> Dwellings |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | \% <br> of Total | No. of <br> Dwellings | \% <br> of Total | Absolute <br> Change | Annual <br> Rate <br> of Growth <br> (\%) |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 1 , 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 6 7}$ |
| St Michael | 29,859 | 32.67 | 29,928 | 36.41 | -69 | -0.023 |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church | 18,815 | 20.58 | 16,702 | 20.32 | 2,113 | 1.198 |
| St George | 6,053 | 6.62 | 4,934 | 6.00 | 1,119 | 2.065 |
| St Philip | 8,422 | 9.21 | 6,664 | 8.11 | 1,758 | 2.369 |
| St John | 3,076 | 3.37 | 2,878 | 3.50 | 198 | 0.668 |
| St James | 9,261 | 10.13 | 7,676 | 9.34 | 1,585 | 1.895 |
| St Thomas | 4,352 | 4.76 | 3,256 | 3.96 | 1,096 | 2.944 |
| St Joseph | 2,417 | 2.64 | 2,244 | 2.73 | 173 | 0.745 |
| St Andrew | 1,780 | 1.95 | 1,622 | 1.97 | 158 | 0.934 |
| St Peter | 3,937 | 4.31 | 3,289 | 4.00 | 648 | 1.815 |
| St Lucy | 3,434 | 3.76 | 3,011 | 3.66 | 423 | 1.323 |

The 2000 census of Barbados reported 91,406 dwelling units. The total number of dwelling units reported in 1990 was 82,204 , which means that the housing stock increased by 9,202 units at an annual rate of 1 per cent over the ten years (Table 6.1).

All parishes, with the exception of St Michael, saw growth in the housing stock. In absolute terms, growth was highest for Christ Church. A total of 2,113 units were added between 1990 and 2000. Growth rates were highest for St Thomas, St Philip and

St George. The number of units in St Thomas increased by a third in the ten years, from 3,256 in 1990 to 4,352 in 2000, increasing annually at a rate of 3 per cent while the numerical increase for St Philip was 1,758 , with an annual growth rate of 2 per cent. St George recorded an annual rate of growth of 2 per cent with the number of units increasing from 4934 in 1990 to 6,053 in 2000.

Table 6.2 Occupancy Rate by Parish: 2000

| Parish | No. of Dwellings | Per Cent <br> Occupied |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 1 , 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 8 3}$ |
| St Michael | 29,859 | 93.38 |
| Christ Church | 18,815 | 90.49 |
| St George | 6,053 | 91.89 |
| St Philip | 8,422 | 89.31 |
| St John | 3,076 | 88.65 |
| St James | 9,261 | 86.01 |
| St Thomas | 4,352 | 92.28 |
| St Joseph | 2,417 | 88.21 |
| St Andrew | 1,780 | 90.84 |
| St Peter | 3,937 | 89.08 |
| St Lucy | 3,434 | 89.40 |

Occupied units numbered 83,026 resulting in a rate of occupancy of 91 per cent (Table 6.2). A dwelling was considered occupied when it is being occupied by a household of one or more persons. Generally, where a dwelling is occupied while being advertised for rent or sale or is
under construction, occupied was given precedence. As by definition, each dwelling unit contained one household only, the number of households was also 83,026 .

The parish of St Michael showed the highest occupancy rate for 2000. Percentage occupancy ranged from 86 for St James to 93 for St Michael. In keeping with the concentration of the population, as discussed in chapter 1, more than a half ( 53 per cent) of the dwelling units was located in the parishes of St Michael and Christ Church where the majority of the population resides.

Table 6.3 Average Household Size by Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total <br> Population | Total Occupied <br> Households | Average <br> Household Size |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 1}$ |
| St Michael | 83,684 | 27,883 | 3.00 |
| Christ Church | 49,497 | 17,025 | 2.91 |
| St George | 17,868 | 5,562 | 3.21 |
| St Philip | 22,864 | 7,522 | 3.04 |
| St John | 8,873 | 2,727 | 3.25 |
| St James | 22,741 | 7,965 | 2.86 |
| St Thomas | 12,397 | 4,016 | 3.09 |
| St Joseph | 6,805 | 2,132 | 3.19 |
| St Andrew | 5,254 | 1,617 | 3.25 |
| St Peter | 10,699 | 3,507 | 3.05 |
| St Lucy | 9,328 | 3,070 | 3.04 |

The one per cent increase in dwellings occurring simultaneously with the one per cent population growth over the ten years, resulted in a small decline in the average number of persons per dwelling (and average household size) from 3.3 in 1990 to 3.0 in 2000 (Table 6.3). Average household size for the parishes ranged from a lower than national average, 2.9 for the parish of St James to a higher than national average of 3.3 for St John and St Andrew

### 6.3 Type of Dwelling

The most common types of occupied units in 2000 were, as for 1990, the separate house and the flat/apartment, which comprised 88 per cent and 11 per cent respectively of all units. This compares with 90 per cent and 9 per cent respectively for 1990 , indicating the increasing development of the flat/apartment (Table 6.4).

Table 6.4 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type: Changes between
1990 and 2000

| Type of Unit | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% <br> of <br> Total | Number | \% <br> of <br> Total | Absolute <br> Number | \% <br> Change |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Separate House | 73,031 | 87.96 | 67,890 | 90.26 | 5,141 | 7.57 |
| Flat/Apartment | 9,319 | 11.22 | 6,415 | 8.53 | 2,904 | 45.27 |
| Part Commercial | 486 | 0.59 | 696 | 0.93 | -210 | -30.17 |
| Building | 122 | 0.15 | 142 | 0.19 | -20 | -14.08 |
| Group Dwelling | 68 | 0.08 | 67 | 0.09 | 1 | 1.49 |
| Other Type | - | - | 3 | 0.00 | -3 | - |
| Not Stated |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 6.5 which presents the parish distribution of units by type, separate house was the dominant type of structure in all parishes in Barbados, accounting for more than 80 per cent in all instances.

Table 6.5 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total | Separate <br> House |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Flat / <br> Apartment | Part | Group | Other |  |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{8 7 . 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{0 . 0 8}$ |
| St Michael |  | 84.30 | 14.52 | 0.79 | 0.34 | 0.05 |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church |  | 81.87 | 17.46 | 0.48 | 0.04 | 0.16 |
| St George | 5,562 | 94.14 | 4.94 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.05 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 95.57 | 3.75 | 0.49 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
| St John | 2,727 | 96.88 | 2.38 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| St James | 7,965 | 84.63 | 14.88 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 0.04 |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 94.20 | 5.38 | 0.40 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 96.15 | 3.19 | 0.61 | 0.00 | 0.05 |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 98.02 | 0.99 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.31 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 95.21 | 4.53 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.03 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 98.47 | 1.01 | 0.33 | 0.07 | 0.13 |

The highest proportion of the flat/apartment type was found in Christ Church (17 per cent), St James and St Michael ( 15 per cent). A total of 5,141 units of the separate house type were added between 1990 and 2000, while the increase in flats/apartments amounted to about 2,900 (Table 6.4).

### 6.4 Year of Construction

Year of construction is not only an indicator of the level of construction activity which has taken place over the years, but it is also an indicator of the age of the housing stock. This information allows for an assessment of the expected rate of replacement of units.

From the data shown in Table 6.6, established patterns of construction activity are observed. Of the total stock of units existing in 2000, 58 per cent was built before and including 1980, indicating that more than a half of the existing units were over 20 years old. Another 19 per cent of units were built in the 1980s with more than one fifth, 22 per cent being built in the 1990s.

Table 6.6 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Year of Construction and Parish: 2000

| Year of Construction | 2000 |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Per Cent of Total |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| 2000 | 1,069 | 1.29 |
| 1999 | 2,279 | 2.74 |
| 1998 | 2,058 | 2.48 |
| $1994-1997$ | 6,612 | 7.96 |
| $1990-1993$ | 7,164 | 8.63 |
| $1981-1989$ | 15,627 | 18.82 |
| 1980 and Before | 48,217 | 58.07 |

The parish with the highest proportion of oldest structures was St Michael. More than two thirds ( 69 per cent) of the units in this parish were built in 1980 or earlier. St Joseph also had a high proportion of the older homes with 63 per cent built twenty years earlier. The newest structures were found in St Philip and St Thomas where, in each case, more than 30 per cent of the units were built in the ten years between 1990 and 2000.

Table 6.7 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Year of Construction

| Parish | Total | Year of Construction |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% of Total | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0 - 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 1 - 1 9 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ and <br> Before |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 8 . 0 7}$ |
| St Michael |  | 100.0 | 16.49 | 14.44 | 69.07 |
| Christ Church | 17,025 | 100.0 | 23.44 | 20.35 | 56.21 |
| St George | 5,562 | 100.0 | 28.98 | 19.40 | 51.62 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 100.0 | 31.99 | 22.66 | 45.35 |
| St John | 2,727 | 100.0 | 27.61 | 17.79 | 54.60 |
| St James | 7,965 | 100.0 | 25.15 | 25.83 | 49.02 |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 100.0 | 31.15 | 18.68 | 50.17 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 100.0 | 19.56 | 17.40 | 63.04 |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 100.0 | 26.65 | 19.54 | 53.81 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 100.0 | 26.35 | 20.87 | 52.78 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 100.0 | 25.96 | 20.91 | 53.13 |

### 6.5 Material of Outer Walls

The response categories in the 2000 census may be ranked in descending order of durability as follows: concrete block, concrete, stone, wood and concrete block and wood. The dominant material used in construction of the outer walls of dwelling units in 2000 was concrete blocks (Table 6.8).

A total of 36,819 units representing 44 per cent of the total number were made of concrete blocks. Wooden structures accounted for more than a quarter, with 27 per cent. Comparisons with 1990 show marked changes as then it was the wooden structures which were predominant, accounting for the majority of units, 30,004 or 40 per cent. In numerical terms, the number of occupied wooden structures declined by over 7,600 and in 2000, numbered 22,358.

Table 6.8 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Material of Outer Walls: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type of Material | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage Change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of Total | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of Total | Absolute <br> Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Wood | 22,358 | 26.93 | 30,004 | 39.89 | $-7,646$ | -25.48 |
| Concrete Block | 36,819 | 44.35 | 25,529 | 33.94 | 11,290 | 44.22 |
| Wood and Concrete |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Block | 18,842 | 22.69 | 15,090 | 20.06 | 3,752 | 24.86 |
| Stone | 1,518 | 1.83 | 2,401 | 3.19 | -883 | -36.78 |
| Concrete | 1,554 | 1.87 | 1,150 | 1.53 | 404 | 35.13 |
| Wood and Concrete | 1,797 | 2.16 | 907 | 1.21 | 890 | 98.13 |
| Other Material | 138 | 0.17 | 115 | 0.15 | 23 | 20.00 |
| Not Stated | - | - | 15 | 0.02 | - | - |

On the other hand, structures made of concrete blocks increased by over 11,000. More than half of the occupied dwellings in the three parishes of Christ Church, St James and St Philip were made from concrete blocks (Table 6.9). The proportions constructed with this material in these parishes were 58 per cent, 56 per cent and 51 per cent respectively. The use of wood and concrete was highest for St Andrew and St Joseph. Approximately 37 per cent and 31 per cent of dwelling units in these parishes respectively, were made of wood and concrete.

Table 6.9 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Material of Outer Walls and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total | Material of Outer Walls |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wood | Concrete Blocks | Wood and Concrete Blocks | Stone | Concrete | Wood and <br> Concrete | Other <br> Material |
| Total | 83,026 | 26.93 | 44.35 | 22.69 | 1.83 | 1.87 | 2.16 | 0.17 |
| St Michael | 27,883 | 28.78 | 37.94 | 27.55 | 1.48 | 2.35 | 1.76 | 0.14 |
| Christ <br> Church | 17,025 | 20.02 | 58.38 | 14.00 | 3.52 | 1.91 | 2.03 | 0.13 |
| St George | 5,562 | 29.61 | 42.07 | 25.62 | 1.40 | 0.52 | 0.41 | 0.36 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 28.58 | 50.97 | 16.60 | 1.14 | 1.30 | 1.22 | 0.17 |
| St John | 2,727 | 35.83 | 32.56 | 26.95 | 2.24 | 1.43 | 0.73 | 0.26 |
| St James | 7,965 | 16.60 | 56.40 | 17.31 | 1.34 | 1.77 | 6.47 | 0.11 |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 31.70 | 36.45 | 22.19 | 1.07 | 2.74 | 5.70 | 0.15 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 37.90 | 29.22 | 30.96 | 0.94 | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.33 |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 39.52 | 22.26 | 36.92 | 0.56 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.37 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 31.74 | 36.70 | 26.75 | 1.17 | 2.48 | 1.08 | 0.09 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 32.44 | 33.03 | 29.41 | 1.95 | 1.69 | 1.27 | 0.20 |

### 6.6 Type of Roofing Material

The dominant type of roofing material as reflected in the 2000 Census used was corrugated metal sheeting or Galvanized Zinc, which was utilized in 77 per cent of all cases. As the main type in 1990 also, corrugated metal sheeting accounted for 80 per cent of the total then, indicating a decline of 3 percentage points in the ten years (Table 6.10).

Table 6.10 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Roofing Material: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type of Roofing Material | 2000 |  | 1990 |  | Percentage Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | $\%$ <br> of <br> Total | Number |  <br> $\%$ <br> of <br> Total | Absolute <br> Number | \% |
| Total | 83,026 | 100.0 | 82,204 | 100.0 | 822 | 1.00 |
| Wooden Shingles | 464 | 0.56 | 667 | 0.81 | -203 | 30.43 |
| Asphalt Shingles | 4,997 | 6.02 | 4,344 | 5.28 | 653 | 15.03 |
| Roofing Tiles | 1,124 | 1.35 | 1,678 | 2.04 | -554 | 33.02 |
| Corrugated Metal Sheets | 63,628 | 76.64 | 65,554 | 79.75 | -1,926 | -2.94 |
| Other Corrugated Sheets | 9,911 | 11.94 | 6,752 | 8.21 | 3,159 | 46.79 |
| Concrete | 1,121 | 1.35 | 1,231 | 1.50 | -110 | -8.94 |
| Other Material | 1,781 | 2.15 | 1,458 | 1.77 | 323 | 22.15 |
| Not Stated | - | - | 520 | 0.63 | - | - |

Note: Total number of occupied dwellings units for $1990(82,204)$ does not tally with corresponding figure for the same year in all other Tables $\mathbf{( 7 5 , 2 1 1 )}$ under Housing.

The largest increase was in the use of other corrugated material. The number of units using this material for roofing, increased by just less than 50 per cent ( 47 per cent) from 6,752 to 9,911 between 1990 and 2000. It was the parish of St John that had the highest proportion of roofs made of other corrugated material (Table 6.11).

One fifth of all the roofs in that parish were made from this material. St Andrew (89 per cent) followed by St Joseph ( 85 per cent) were the parishes with the highest proportions of roofs made of corrugated metal sheeting. St. James had the lowest proportion of roofs made of corrugated metal sheeting, 58 per cent, followed by St. Philip ( 64 per cent). In these parishes, asphalt
shingle was utilised to a greater extent than in the other parishes. Approximately 17 per cent of units in St. James and 13 per cent in St. Philip had roofs made of asphalt shingle.

Table 6.11 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Roofing Material and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total | Type of Roofing Material |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wooden Shingles | Asphalt <br> Shingles | Roofing Tiles | Corrugated <br> Metal <br> Sheets | Other Corrugated Sheets | Concrete | Other <br> Material |
| Total | 83,026 | 0.56 | 6.02 | 1.35 | 76.64 | 11.94 | 1.35 | 2.15 |
| St <br> Michael | 27,883 | 0.40 | 2.20 | 0.68 | 83.64 | 9.96 | 0.88 | 2.25 |
| Christ <br> Church | 17,025 | 0.63 | 6.93 | 3.04 | 73.59 | 11.25 | 1.30 | 3.27 |
| St <br> George | 5,562 | 0.65 | 4.84 | 1.10 | 80.20 | 11.56 | 1.04 | 0.61 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 1.06 | 13.08 | 1.58 | 63.85 | 16.31 | 0.72 | 3.39 |
| St John | 2,727 | 0.26 | 2.09 | 0.70 | 73.41 | 20.94 | 1.25 | 1.36 |
| St James | 7,965 | 0.60 | 16.56 | 1.69 | 58.29 | 16.30 | 5.03 | 1.52 |
| St <br> Thomas | 4,016 | 0.42 | 5.58 | 0.87 | 84.09 | 7.05 | 1.17 | 0.82 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 0.66 | 1.83 | 0.23 | 84.99 | 10.65 | 0.89 | 0.75 |
| St <br> Andrew | 1,617 | 0.06 | 1.18 | 0.31 | 89.30 | 7.79 | 0.25 | 1.11 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 0.51 | 5.85 | 0.66 | 80.04 | 11.78 | 0.71 | 0.46 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 0.78 | 2.90 | 0.49 | 79.19 | 14.07 | 0.39 | 2.18 |

### 6.7 Type of Tenure of Dwelling

The 2000 census showed that almost three quarters of the dwellings were owner-occupied (Table 6.12). Owner-occupied units included those with mortgages as well as those owned free and clear. The majority of the remaining occupied units ( 22 per cent) were rented. Comparisons with

1990 show a decline in the proportion of units owned, occurring simultaneously with an increase in rentals. In 2000, 75 per cent of all dwelling units were owner-occupied, representing a decline of just less than 2 percentage points since 1990 when the proportion was 76 per cent.

The proportion of dwellings occupied under rental arrangements moved from 20 per cent to 22 per cent during the period with private rental arrangements moved from 16 per cent to 18 per cent from 1990 to 2000.

Table 6.12 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Tenure: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type of Tenure | 2000 |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage <br> Change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% <br> of Total | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of <br> Total | Absolute <br> Numbers | $\mathbf{\%}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 7,815 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Owned | 61,904 | 74.56 | 57,252 | 76.12 | 4,652 | 8.13 |
| Private Rented | 14,535 | 17.51 | 11,693 | 15.55 | 2,842 | 24.31 |
| Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rented | 3,751 | 4.52 | 3,665 | 4.87 | 86 | 2.35 |
| Rent Free | 2,203 | 2.65 | 1,937 | 2.58 | 266 | 13.73 |
| Other | 633 | 0.76 | 339 | 0.45 | 294 | 86.73 |
| Not Stated | - | - | 325 | 0.43 | - | - |

Table 6.13 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Tenure and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Type of Tenure |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  | Owned |  |  |  |
| Rented |  | Other |  |  |  |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{7 4 . 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 6}$ |
| St Michael | 27,883 | 64.99 | 31.36 | 2.89 | 0.76 |
| Christ Church | 17,025 | 70.06 | 27.14 | 2.16 | 0.65 |
| St George | 5,562 | 87.09 | 9.44 | 2.75 | 0.72 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 85.71 | 10.89 | 2.73 | 0.68 |
| St John | 2,727 | 89.00 | 6.67 | 3.48 | 0.84 |
| St James | 7,965 | 73.03 | 24.42 | 2.05 | 0.50 |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 84.71 | 11.40 | 2.76 | 1.12 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 87.15 | 8.82 | 3.19 | 0.84 |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 90.23 | 4.89 | 3.34 | 1.55 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 83.75 | 12.95 | 2.91 | 0.40 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 86.84 | 8.86 | 2.54 | 1.76 |

For the parishes, in 2000, ownership was highest for St Andrews with 90 per cent of dwelling units being owner-occupied and lowest for St Michael with less than two thirds ( 65 per cent) of the units being in this category. Private rental arrangements were highest for St Michael (31 per cent), Christ Church ( 27 per cent) and St James (24 per cent) (Table 6.13).

### 6.8 Number of Rooms Occupied

A room as defined for the census was taken to include those used for general living purposes such as living rooms, bedrooms, dining rooms, sewing rooms, libraries, servant rooms- attached to or detached from the main building. Excluded were bathrooms, toilets, kitchens, pantries, galleries, porches and laundry rooms.

Table 6.14 Number of Dwelling Units by Number of Rooms Occupied: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Number of <br> Rooms | 2000 |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage <br> Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% <br> of Total | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of Total | Absolute <br> Number | \% |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| 1 | 1,954 | 2.35 | 1,107 | 1.47 | 847 | 76.51 |
| 2 | 6,013 | 7.24 | 5,960 | 7.92 | 53 | 0.89 |
| 3 | 11,538 | 13.90 | 9,200 | 12.23 | 2,338 | 25.41 |
| 4 | 27,839 | 33.53 | 24,821 | 33.00 | 3,018 | 12.16 |
| 5 | 24,910 | 30.00 | 23,798 | 31.64 | 1,112 | 4.67 |
| 6 | 6,976 | 8.40 | 6,555 | 8.72 | 421 | 6.42 |
| $7+$ | 3,796 | 4.57 | 3,492 | 4.64 | 304 | 8.71 |
| Not Stated | - | - | 278 | 0.37 | - | - |

Units with four and five rooms comprised more than 60 per cent of all units in 2000 (Table 6.14). Four room units comprised 34 per cent of the total while 30 per cent were five room structures. This was not much different from the situation in 1990. The average number of rooms occupied by a household in 2000 was only a slightly lower percentage of 4.25 compared to 4.29 ten years earlier.

One and two room units were more likely to be found in St Lucy, accounting for 5 per cent (1 room) and 17 per cent ( 2 rooms) respectively of all the dwellings in that parish (Table 6.15). On the other hand, units of seven and more rooms were more likely to be found in St George making up 8 per cent of the units in that parish.

Table 6.15 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Number of Rooms and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total | Number of Rooms |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ | $\mathbf{7 +}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 . 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 7}$ |
| St Michael | 27,883 | 1.65 | 7.04 | 14.63 | 36.92 | 29.95 | 6.74 | 3.08 |
| Christ Church | 17,025 | 4.03 | 8.01 | 13.79 | 31.65 | 28.75 | 8.62 | 5.15 |
| St George | 5,562 | 1.31 | 6.04 | 12.39 | 31.68 | 30.35 | 10.16 | 8.07 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 2.84 | 5.40 | 12.26 | 29.71 | 33.58 | 10.72 | 5.49 |
| St John | 2,727 | 0.99 | 6.75 | 16.06 | 35.02 | 29.23 | 8.36 | 3.59 |
| St James | 7,965 | 2.35 | 7.04 | 13.45 | 32.59 | 29.49 | 9.30 | 5.78 |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 0.95 | 5.80 | 14.82 | 33.52 | 29.66 | 8.79 | 6.47 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 0.61 | 6.75 | 17.50 | 35.60 | 25.14 | 10.27 | 4.13 |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 4.39 | 6.62 | 18.61 | 32.47 | 26.84 | 8.16 | 2.91 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 0.60 | 5.45 | 11.80 | 33.50 | 34.87 | 9.78 | 3.99 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 5.37 | 17.04 | 10.03 | 26.12 | 30.03 | 7.95 | 3.45 |

### 6.9 Main Source of Water Supply

Questions on water supply in the census related to the main source for domestic purposes. In instances where the household obtained water from more than one source, the main source was required. In general, precedence was given to the source for cooking and drinking over the source for bathing, washing and other uses.

In 2000, about 97 per cent of households had access to piped water (Table 6.16). An estimated 91 per cent of all dwellings had water piped into the unit, while 6 per cent had it piped into the yard, and an additional 1 per cent was receiving water from the standpipe.

Table 6.16 Number of Occupied Dwellings by Source of Water Supply: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Source of Water <br> Supply | 2000 |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage <br> Change |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% <br> of Total | Number | \% <br> of <br> Total | Absolute <br> Number | \% |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 8 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Piped into Dwelling | 75,494 | 90.93 | 60,392 | 80.29 | 15,102 | 25.01 |
| Piped into Yard | 4,636 | 5.58 | 10,301 | 13.70 | $-5,665$ | 54.99 |
| Public Standpipe | 614 | 0.74 | 1,383 | 1.84 | -769 | 55.60 |
| Stream/Spring / Well | 8 | 0.01 | - | - | - | - |
| Other | 1,711 | 2.06 | 2,142 | 2.85 | -431 | 20.12 |
| Not stated | 563 | 0.68 | 993 | 1.32 | -430 | 43.30 |

An examination of the changes which have occurred since 1990 reveals that an increase in the proportion with access to water piped into the dwelling occurred simultaneously with a decline in the proportions obtaining water from pipes in the yard and from the standpipe. In 1990, water piped into the dwelling unit was the main source for 80 per cent of dwellings and by 2000 this had risen to 91 per cent. The proportions receiving water from pipes in the yard and from standpipes fell quite dramatically from 14 per cent to 6 per cent and from 2 per cent to 1 per cent respectively from these two sources.

Of note also, are the proportions of dwellings in the parishes of St John (13 per cent) and St Joseph ( 10 per cent) which reported in 2000, that water piped into the yard was the main source (Table 6.17).

Table 6.17 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwellings Units by Main Source of Water Supply and Parish: 2000

| Parish |  | Source of Water Supply |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Piped <br> into <br> Dwelling | Piped <br> into <br> Yard | Public <br> Standpipe | Stream/ <br> Spring/ <br> Well | Other | Not <br> Stated |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8}$ |  |
| St Michael | 27,883 | 92.06 | 4.52 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 1.62 | 0.81 |  |
| Christ Church | 17,025 | 94.37 | 3.58 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.37 | 0.53 |  |
| St George | 5,562 | 86.80 | 7.96 | 1.06 | 0.00 | 3.56 | 0.61 |  |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 88.71 | 7.59 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 2.88 | 0.48 |  |
| St John | 2,727 | 81.88 | 12.80 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 3.23 | 1.10 |  |
| St James | 7,965 | 95.18 | 2.85 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 1.08 | 0.59 |  |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 89.02 | 6.97 | 0.77 | 0.02 | 2.61 | 0.60 |  |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 83.54 | 10.32 | 1.97 | 0.05 | 3.80 | 0.33 |  |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 83.06 | 9.34 | 3.15 | 0.25 | 3.77 | 0.43 |  |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 89.02 | 6.70 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 2.85 | 0.80 |  |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 85.44 | 9.48 | 1.04 | 0.00 | 2.90 | 1.14 |  |

### 6.10 Type of Toilet Facilities

Table 6.18 presents the data as it relates to type of facilities and reflects the changes since 1990 . Eighty-two per cent of all dwellings had access to water closets. This reflects an increase in proportion by 16 percentage points since 1990 . Use of the pit was reduced by about 50 per cent, from use by 32 per cent of households in 1990, to 16 per cent of households in 2000. There was a very small rise of 0.2 per cent in the proportion of households with no access to facilities which moved from 0.4 per cent in 1990 to 0.6 per cent in 2000.

Table 6.18 Number of Occupied Dwellings by Type of Toilet Facilities: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type of Toilet <br> Facilities | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Total | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ of <br> Total |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Water Closet | 67,939 | 81.83 | 49,833 | 66.26 | 36.33 |
| Pit | 13,684 | 16.48 | 24,138 | 32.09 | -43.31 |
| Other | 453 | 0.54 | 288 | 0.38 | 57.29 |
| None | 487 | 0.59 | 271 | 0.36 | 79.70 |
| Not Stated | 463 | 0.56 | 681 | 0.91 | -32.01 |

The importance of the pit in 2000 was reflected in six parishes; St Andrew ( 33 per cent), St. Joseph ( 27 per cent), St. John ( 25 per cent), St George ( 22 per cent) St Thomas ( 21 per cent) and St Lucy (20 per cent) (Table 6.19).

Table 6.19 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwellings by Type of Toilet Facilities and Parish: 2000

|  |  | Type of Toilet Facilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parish | Total | Water <br> Closet | Pit | Other | None | Not <br> Stated |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 6}$ |  |
| St Michael | 27,883 | 79.92 | 18.32 | 0.67 | 0.42 | 0.67 |  |
| Christ Church | 17,025 | 89.42 | 9.44 | 0.22 | 0.46 | 0.46 |  |
| St George | 5,562 | 76.00 | 21.54 | 0.90 | 1.10 | 0.47 |  |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 81.47 | 16.64 | 0.68 | 0.86 | 0.35 |  |
| St John | 2,727 | 71.54 | 25.49 | 1.06 | 0.88 | 1.03 |  |
| St James | 7,965 | 91.20 | 7.67 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 0.53 |  |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 77.42 | 20.89 | 0.60 | 0.72 | 0.37 |  |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 71.20 | 27.16 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.23 |  |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 65.86 | 32.65 | 0.74 | 0.56 | 0.19 |  |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 80.01 | 18.45 | 0.26 | 0.63 | 0.66 |  |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 76.97 | 20.20 | 0.78 | 1.14 | 0.91 |  |

Usage of the pit was lowest in St James (8 per cent) and Christ Church, ( 9 per cent). Slightly more than 1 per cent of households in St. Lucy and St. George and slightly less than 1 percent of households in St. John had no toilet facilities.

### 6.11 Main Source of Lighting

Ninety-seven percent of occupied dwelling units were receiving electricity in 2000, compared to 93 per cent in 1990. Use of kerosene for lighting on the other hand dropped by one third, from 7 per cent of households in 1990 to 2 per cent in 2000 (Table 6.20).

Table 6.20 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Main Source of Lighting: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type of <br> Lighting | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% <br> of Total | Number | \% <br> of Total |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Electric | 80,126 | 96.51 | 69,630 | 92.58 | 15.07 |
| Kerosene | 1,807 | 2.18 | 5,210 | 6.93 | -65.32 |
| Other | 534 | 0.64 | 241 | 0.32 | 121.58 |
| Not Stated | 559 | 0.67 | 130 | 0.17 | - |

Table 6.21 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Lighting and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total | Type of Lighting |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Electric | Kerosene | Other | Not <br> Stated |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{9 6 . 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 7}$ |
| St Michael |  | 96.87 | 1.84 | 0.55 | 0.74 |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church | 17,025 | 97.65 | 1.47 | 0.41 | 0.47 |
| St George | 5,562 | 95.33 | 2.77 | 1.15 | 0.76 |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 95.91 | 2.59 | 0.90 | 0.60 |
| St John | 2,727 | 93.73 | 4.03 | 1.17 | 1.06 |
| St James | 7,965 | 97.88 | 1.19 | 0.31 | 0.62 |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 96.02 | 2.76 | 0.75 | 0.47 |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 93.95 | 4.60 | 0.89 | 0.56 |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 94.43 | 4.14 | 0.62 | 0.80 |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 95.58 | 2.91 | 0.71 | 0.80 |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 94.01 | 3.58 | 1.27 | 1.14 |

From Table 6.21, it can be observed that 94 per cent or more of occupied dwelling units in all parishes used electricity for lighting in 2000.

### 6.12 Type of Fuel used for Cooking

The 2000 census reported that the majority of Barbadian households were using Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) for cooking (Table 6.22). Over 65,000 households, more than three quarters of the total used this type of fuel. This compares with 55,279 households reporting usage of LPG in 1990. At the same time there was a marked decline among users of kerosene as the main source of fuel for cooking from 8 per cent of households in 1990 to 2 per cent in 2000. About 13 per cent of occupied dwelling units in 2000 used Natural gas for cooking compared 11 percent in 1990.

Table 6.22 Number of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Fuel Used for Cooking: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Type of Fuel | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  | Percentage <br> Change |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ <br> of Total | Number | \% <br> of Total |  |
|  | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 3 9}$ |
| Natural Gas | 10,697 | 12.88 | 8,286 | 11.02 | 29.10 |
| LPG | 65,172 | 78.50 | 55,279 | 73.50 | 17.90 |
| Electricity | 2,491 | 3.00 | 1,526 | 2.03 | 63.24 |
| Wood/Charcoal | 72 | 0.09 | 111 | 0.15 | -35.14 |
| Kerosene | 1,883 | 2.27 | 6,008 | 7.99 | -68.66 |
| Other/NA | 454 | 0.55 | 504 | 0.67 | -9.92 |
| Not Stated | 2,257 | 2.72 | 3,497 | 4.65 | -35.46 |

Table 6.23 Percentage Distribution of Occupied Dwelling Units by Type of Fuel Used for Cooking and Parish: 2000

| Parish | Total |  | Type of Fuel used for Cooking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Natural <br> Gas | LPG | Electricity | Wood/ <br> Charcoal | Kerosene | Other | Not <br> Stated |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 7 2}$ |  |  |
| St Michael | 27,883 | 22.48 | 69.77 | 1.62 | 0.07 | 2.60 | 0.57 | 2.90 |  |  |
| Christ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Church | 17,025 | 17.57 | 73.65 | 4.80 | 0.09 | 1.33 | 0.24 | 2.31 |  |  |
| St George | 5,562 | 0.23 | 89.95 | 3.40 | 0.09 | 2.98 | 0.88 | 2.46 |  |  |
| St Philip | 7,522 | 1.99 | 89.30 | 2.98 | 0.16 | 2.02 | 0.69 | 2.86 |  |  |
| St John | 2,727 | 0.07 | 90.76 | 1.43 | 0.00 | 2.31 | 0.66 | 4.77 |  |  |
| St James | 7,965 | 12.69 | 77.19 | 6.06 | 0.05 | 1.33 | 0.31 | 2.36 |  |  |
| St Thomas | 4,016 | 2.54 | 88.72 | 2.99 | 0.12 | 2.64 | 0.90 | 2.09 |  |  |
| St Joseph | 2,132 | 0.19 | 90.57 | 0.89 | 0.09 | 4.78 | 0.94 | 2.53 |  |  |
| St Andrew | 1,617 | 6.86 | 86.33 | 0.93 | 0.12 | 2.78 | 0.74 | 2.23 |  |  |
| St Peter | 3,507 | 0.91 | 90.33 | 2.59 | 0.00 | 2.71 | 0.46 | 2.99 |  |  |
| St Lucy | 3,070 | 0.42 | 90.49 | 1.37 | 0.26 | 3.16 | 0.81 | 3.49 |  |  |

There was a small increase in the use of electricity from 2 per cent of occupied dwelling units in 1990 to 3 per cent in 2000. The occupied dwelling units used natural gas for cooking purposes increased by 29 per cent from 8,286 in 1990 to 10,697 in 2000.

It was the parishes of St Michael (22 per cent), Christ Church (18 per cent) and St James (13 per cent) that reported the highest usage of natural gas (Table 6.23).

## CHAPTER 7

## DISABILITY

### 7.1 Introduction

In keeping with the growing international recognition of the rights of persons with disabilities as highlighted by the United Nations, 'Decade of Disabled Persons' (1983-1992), many countries have been including in their censuses, questions aimed at collecting information on the disabled.

Although the census is a valuable source of information on the disabled, the limitations should be recognized. Census results are intended to provide baseline data on prevalence only. The medical issues and considerations, which can arise, are best left to detailed sample surveys using specially trained interviewers. Data users have sought to use the census to obtain information regarding levels (mild, moderate, severe, profound) and detailed breakdowns on each disability type. It may not be possible to collect such details in a census.

In addressing issues of definitions which present difficulties as they relate to standardization and cross country comparisons, the UN is guided by the World Health Organization's (WHO) International Classification of Impairments, Disabilities and Handicaps (ICIDH) which promotes a common framework and definitions of disability-related issues.

The ICIDH distinguishes three dimensions that can be studied to monitor the situation of people with disability: impairment (organ and body dimension), disability (individual dimension) and handicap (social dimension). Impairment refers to any loss or deviation of physiological, neurological or anatomical structure or function of an organ or body part. Disability reflects any limitation in learning, speaking, walking or some other activity. Handicap concerns constraints on the relationship between the person with a disability and the social and physical environment, for example in the areas of education, occupation or communication.

The UN recommends that for the census, owing to the limited capcilty available, the focus should be on only one of the three ICIDH dimensions with the other dimensions left to a
household survey. For the census, a disability-oriented approach is recommended. By focusing on people's experiences in participating in daily life activities, this approach is considered as more relevant for determining and guiding policy.

In order to measure the disability dimension, a person with disability is defined as a person who is limited in the kind or amount of activities that he or she can do because of ongoing difficulties due to a long-term physical or mental condition or health problem. Only disabilities lasting for more than six months should be included.

For the 2000 census of Barbados, the approach adopted was a combination of disability/impairment and the question asked was "Do you have any of the following disabilities or major impairments? The response categories were as follows: hearing, speech, sight, upper limb, lower limb, neck/spine, intellectual, mental, other and none. The interviewers were instructed to indicate as many as are applicable. Respondents were then asked to indicate the aid (wheelchair, cane etc) required and if the condition had been diagnosed by a doctor.

Barbados defined disability or major impairment as a condition characterised by the complete or almost complete ( $75 \%$ or more) absence of a body function. For example, complete blindness or near blindness was classified as disability/major impairment but the condition short-sightedness was classified as a minor impairment and excluded from the scope of the definition.

The enumeration manual elaborated that "disability/major impairment may be congenital or may result from trauma (e.g. accident), disease or other factors. It is manifested by the individual's inability to function normally. In cases where medical diagnosis has been made, the classification of the condition should not present any difficulty. In other cases, some difficulty may be encountered in determining whether the extent of the disability/impairment is enough to warrant its inclusion". In general, the instructions concluded, "a disability is a permanent condition which is not usually correctable."

The response categories are described below:

- Hearing - deafness and near deafness. Wearing of hearing aid was not considered an indication if worn well.
- Speech - included dumbness and near dumbness. Conditions such as 'tied tongue' lisp in speech or stuttering were excluded from the classification.
- Sight - included blindness, near blindness and the loss of one eye. Short-sightedness and other minor impairments evidenced by the wearing of spectacles were excluded.
- Disability of the Upper limb - included was absence of arm or hand. Loss of one or more fingers was however excluded.
- Disability of the Lower Limb - this category included the absence of an entire leg or foot but not one or more toes.
- Neck/spine Impairment - included deformity or damage to the spine which renders the individual immobile or unable to stand and or/walk without an aid. Temporary injury resulting from an accident was excluded.
- Intellectual Disability - this included mental retardation, the learning disabled, dyslexia and minimal brain injury. Mental ill-health was not included.
- Mental, Psychiatric impairments or mentally ill-health - included schizophrenia, mental derangement and manic depressive.
- Other - referred to any other disability or major impairment.
- None - referred to no known disability or major impairment.


### 7.2 Characteristics of the Disabled

### 7.2.1 Age and Sex

A total of 13,142 persons, 6,182 males and 6,960 females, responded 'yes' to the question on whether there was a disability or major impairment. More than one third of these persons were 65 years and over while 13 per cent were less than 15 years old. (See Table 7.1).

Table 7.1 Population Reporting Disability by Sex and Age Group: 2000

| Age Group | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 1 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 6 0}$ |
| Under 15 | 1,664 | 904 | 760 |
| $15-24$ | 1,069 | 603 | 466 |
| $25-44$ | 2,806 | 1,459 | 1,347 |
| $45-64$ | 2,889 | 1,370 | 1,519 |
| $65+$ | 4,714 | 1,846 | 2,868 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Under 15 | 12.66 | 14.62 | 10.92 |
| $15-24$ | 8.13 | 9.75 | 6.70 |
| $25-44$ | 21.35 | 23.60 | 19.35 |
| $45-64$ | 21.98 | 22.16 | 21.82 |
| $65+$ | 35.87 | 29.86 | 41.21 |

From this 13 per cent the proportion falls to 8 per cent for the 15-24 years group before rising to 21 per cent and 22 per cent for the 25-44 years and 45-64 years group respectively and to 36 per cent for the 65 years and over group. More females reported the presence of a disability than males: 6,960 verses 6,182 .

### 7.2.2 Type of Disability

The question on the type of disability allowed for multiple responses and the data presented in Table 7.2 showing the type of disability by sex reflects responses. In the first place, 3,149 persons representing 6 per cent of persons reporting disability did not indicate the type. The table shows that there were 11,595 responses from the 9,993 respondents indicating an average of 1.2 disabilities.

Table 7.2 Type of Disability Reported by Sex: 2000

| Type of Disability | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{y y y}$ | Number of Valid Responses |  |
| Sight | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 7 2}$ |
| Hearing | 2,446 | 1,027 | 1,419 |
| Speech | 1,220 | 510 | 710 |
| Upper Limb | 559 | 285 | 274 |
| Lower Limb | 654 | 273 | 381 |
| Neck/Spine | 2,098 | 867 | 1,231 |
| Intellectual | 534 | 218 | 316 |
| Mental | 857 | 505 | 352 |
| Other | 1,096 | 588 | 508 |
|  | 2,131 | 950 | 1,181 |
| Total | $\mathbf{y y y y}$ |  |  |
| Sight | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | Per cent of Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Hearing | 21.10 | 19.66 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Speech | 10.52 | 9.76 | 22.27 |
| Upper Limb | 4.82 | 5.46 | 11.14 |
| Lower Limb | 5.64 | 5.23 | 4.30 |
| Neck/Spine | 18.09 | 16.60 | 5.98 |
| Intellectual | 4.61 | 4.17 | 19.32 |
| Mental | 7.39 | 9.67 | 4.96 |
| Other | 9.45 | 11.26 | 5.52 |

The largest number of responses, 2,446 , more than one fifth ( 21 per cent), reported sight. Impairment of the lower limb, 2,098 (18 per cent), hearing, 1,220 (11 per cent) and mental
disability, 1096 (10 per cent) were the next largest named single types. A total of 2,131 responses or 18 per cent of the total reported 'other types' of disabilities.

Table 7.3 presents the age distribution of the responses by types of disability. Impairments of sight, lower limb and hearing were most prevalent among the oldest group, the 65 years and over. Sight, lower limb and hearing were responses reported by 31 per cent, 25 per cent and 15 per cent respectively of the 65 years and over age group. Disabilities related to intellect and speech were most prevalent in the youngest age group. Approximately 23 per cent and 12 per cent of the less than 15 years old group reporting the type of disability, indicated intellect and speech.

Table 7.3 Type of Disability Reported by Age Group: 2000 (Number of Valid Responses)

| Type of <br> Disability | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Under 15 | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 2 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 4 2}$ |
| Sight | 21.10 | 5.83 | 9.88 | 10.54 | 19.05 | 30.82 |
| Hearing | 10.52 | 9.88 | 8.78 | 6.88 | 5.37 | 15.11 |
| Speech | 4.82 | 11.55 | 9.60 | 7.64 | 3.55 | 2.47 |
| Upper | 5.64 | 2.50 | 5.08 | 7.19 | 7.94 | 4.38 |
| Limb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | 18.09 | 6.67 | 7.00 | 10.85 | 17.28 | 25.11 |
| Limb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neck/Spine | 4.61 | 3.69 | 2.74 | 7.32 | 8.02 | 2.08 |
| Intellectual | 7.39 | 23.45 | 22.91 | 12.24 | 4.57 | 1.91 |
| Mental | 9.45 | 5.36 | 8.92 | 18.62 | 12.29 | 4.75 |
| Other | 18.38 | 31.07 | 25.10 | 18.71 | 21.93 | 13.38 |

The incidence of mental illness was highest among the 25-44 years old persons ( 19 per cent), followed by the $45-64$ years group ( 12 per cent). Just about 5 per cent of the 65 years and over reported mental disability. The prevalence of disabilities related to the lower and upper limbs
combined, increased with age from 9 per cent for the under 15 years, rising to 18 per cent in the 25-44 years group and reaching the peak of 29.5 per cent for the 65 years and over. The combinations of other types of disability are most prevalent at the younger ages; 31 per cent for the under 15 years and 25 per cent for the 15-24 years.

### 7.2.3 Use of Aids

Persons who reported that they had a disability were asked if they were required to use any aids and if the disability or impairment had ever been diagnosed by a doctor. Thirty one per cent of all the 11,595 valid responses for disability type indicated use of aids.

Table 7.4 Type of Disability Reported by Use of Aid: 2000 (Number of Valid Responses)

| Type of <br> Disability | Total <br> Responses | Number <br> Reporting <br> Use | Per cent <br> Reporting <br> Use | Number <br> Reporting <br> No Use | Per cent <br> Reporting <br> No Use | Not <br> stated |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 3 7}$ |
| Sight | 2,446 | 665 | 27.19 | 1,011 | 41.33 | 770 |
| Hearing | 1,220 | 328 | 26.89 | 471 | 38.61 | 421 |
| Speech | 559 | 125 | 22.36 | 231 | 41.32 | 203 |
| Upper | 654 | 224 | 34.25 | 220 | 33.64 | 210 |
| Limb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lower | 2,098 | 1,358 | 64.73 | 423 | 20.16 | 317 |
| Limb |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Neck/Spine | 534 | 204 | 38.20 | 213 | 39.89 | 117 |
| Intellectual | 857 | 65 | 7.58 | 439 | 51.23 | 353 |
| Mental | 1,096 | 65 | 5.93 | 488 | 44.53 | 543 |
| Other | 2,131 | 513 | 24.07 | 715 | 33.55 | 903 |

Note: Excludes 'not stated' type

Table 7.4 presents the responses for the use of aids by disability type. The largest proportions of persons using aids had physical impairments; the lower limbs ( 64.7 per cent), the neck/spine (38.2 per cent) and the upper limb (34.3 per cent).

### 7.2.4 Diagnosis

Approximately 84 per cent or 9,718 of the 11,595 responses indicated that the impairment had been diagnosed (Table 7.5). The highest proportions of diagnoses were to be found for impairments related to the neck/spine ( 93 per cent), sight ( 92 per cent) and lower limb ( 91 per cent). Of all the stated types, diagnosis was lowest for those related to intellect which was 74 per cent while the 'other' types reflected the lowest of all, 68 per cent.

Table 7.5 Type of Disability Reported - Status of Diagnosis: 2000 (Number of Valid Responses)

| Type of Disability | Total Responses | Number Reporting <br> Diagnosis | Per cent Reporting <br> Diagnosis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 . 8 1}$ |
| Sight | 2,446 | 2,254 | 92.15 |
| Hearing | 1,220 | 1,029 | 84.34 |
| Speech | 559 | 499 | 89.27 |
| Upper Limb | 654 | 558 | 85.32 |
| Lower Limb | 2,098 | 1,908 | 90.94 |
| Neck/Spine | 534 | 497 | 93.07 |
| Intellectual | 857 | 636 | 74.10 |
| Mental | 1,096 | 894 | 81.57 |
| Other | 2,131 | 1,443 | 67.71 |

## Note: Excludes 'not stated' type

Data on diagnosis by age are based on the 13,142 reporting disability. Table 7.6 shows that the proportion diagnosed increased steadily with age, being lowest for the youngest and highest for
the oldest. Less than one third, ( 32 per cent) of the persons under 15 years old who reported a disability had been diagnosed. This proportion increased to 54 per cent between the ages of 25 and 44 years and for ages 65 years and over, 80 per cent of the persons reporting had been diagnosed.

Table 7.6 Population Reporting Disability by Diagnosis Status and Age Group: 2000

| Age Group | Total Reporting | Number Reporting <br> Diagnosis | Per cent Reporting <br> Diagnosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 , 1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 6 1}$ |
| Under 15 | 1,664 | 525 | 31.55 |
| $15-24$ | 1,069 | 463 | 43.31 |
| $25-44$ | 2,806 | 1,513 | 53.92 |
| $45-64$ | 2,889 | 1,951 | 67.53 |
| $65+$ | 4,714 | 3,776 | 80.10 |

## CHAPTER 8

## CHILDREN

### 8.1 Introduction

This Chapter will focus on the population of children defined by the United Nations to be between the ages of 0 and 14 years. The analysis will examine to the extent that is possible with the 2000 census data, the demographic and social characteristics of the children and the adults responsible for them. Childhood is a period of dependence, as children must rely on others for the provision of the essentials of life. Additionally, emotional and social and psychological guidance is required for the nurturing of their bodies and minds. Vulnerability to disease for children under five years stresses the need for clinics, public health and immunization programmes. School attendance for the 5-14 year olds, determines the need for schools, teachers and educational equipment.

Global policies and population development plans are very conscious of the fact that children, adolescents and youth represent the world's future human resources. Accordingly, the stated objectives of these policies and plans are generally to promote to the fullest extent, the health, well-being and potential of these groups.

Declining mortality levels and persistent high fertility levels mean that a large number of developing countries continue to have larger proportions of children and young people in their populations. For the less developed regions, as a whole, about 30 per cent of the population is under age 15 .

### 8.2 Characteristics of the Children

### 8.2.1 Age and Sex

Children numbered 54,601 and represented 21.84 per cent of the total population of Barbados in 2000. In 1990 the population $0-14$ years old was 59,587 . The decline of 4,986 or 8.37 per cent is
evidence of the falling fertility levels previously discussed. Tables 8.1 and 8.2 present the $0-14$ years age group by five-year groups for 1990 and 2000, with the changes which have taken place during the period. The largest change occurred among the 10-14 years group which declined by 9.7 per cent.

Table 8.1 Population 0-14 Years by Age Group and Sex: 1990 and 2000

| Age <br> Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5 4 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 5 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 , 1 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 6 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-4$ | 17,239 | 8,763 | 8,476 | 18,625 | 9,412 | 9,213 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5-9$ | 18,749 | 9,479 | 9,270 | 20,350 | 10,229 | 10,121 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-14$ | 18,613 | 9,425 | 9,188 | 20,612 | 10,481 | 10,131 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per cent of Total Population |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 1 . 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 8 9}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $0-4$ | 6.90 | 7.31 | 6.52 | 7.53 | 7.94 | 7.16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5-9$ | 7.50 | 7.90 | 7.13 | 8.23 | 8.63 | 7.86 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-14$ | 7.44 | 7.86 | 7.06 | 8.34 | 8.84 | 7.87 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8.2 Population 0-14 Years by Age Group and Sex: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Age <br> Group | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change |
| Total | $\mathbf{- 4 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 , 5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 5 9}$ |
| $0-4$ | $-1,386$ | -7.44 | -649 | -6.90 | -737 | -8.00 |
| $5-9$ | $-1,601$ | -7.87 | -750 | -7.33 | -851 | -8.41 |
| $10-14$ | $-1,999$ | -9.70 | $-1,056$ | -10.08 | -943 | -9.31 |

### 8.2.2 Relationship to Head by Household

Approximately 61 per cent of all children were living with at least one parent, 29.2 per cent were in households where a grandparent was head while 7.6 per cent were other relatives of the head. Only 2.4 per cent of all children were living in households where they were not related to the head (Table 8.3). Data on household size as indicated by the number of persons in the household presented in Table 8.4 show that more than a quarter ( 25.8 per cent) of all children numbering 14,056, lived in 4 person households. Another 20 per cent lived in households of 5 persons while approximately 3,700 children, an estimated 7 per cent, were in large households of 10 and more persons.

Table 8.3 Population 0-14 Years by Relationship to Head of Household: 2000

| Relationship to <br> Head | Number of Children | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Child | 33,179 | 60.76 |
| Grandchild | 15,941 | 29.20 |
| Other Relatives | 4,155 | 7.61 |
| Non-Relative | 1,326 | 2.43 |

Table 8.4 Population 0-14 Years by Household Size: 2000

| Size of Household | Number of Children | Per cent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 , 5 9 0 *}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| 1 Person | 3 | 0.01 |
| 2 Persons | 2,205 | 4.04 |
| 3 Persons | 8,501 | 15.57 |
| 4 Persons | 14,056 | 25.75 |
| 5 Persons | 10,682 | 19.57 |
| 6 Persons | 6,737 | 12.34 |
| 7 Persons | 4,211 | 7.71 |
| 8 Persons | 2,780 | 5.09 |
| 9 Persons | 1,744 | 3.19 |
| 10+ Persons | 3,671 | 6.73 |

## *Excludes 11 persons not accounted for.

### 8.2.3 Disability

A total of 1,664 children representing 3 per cent of all children were reported as being disabled. Five hundred and twenty five of these cases, representing 32 per cent, had actually been diagnosed. In relation to the type of disability, reported as responses to a question that allowed for multiple answers, there were 840 valid responses. These are shown in Tables 8.5 a and 8.5 b below. The majority of responses, 261 , representing 31 per cent, reported the combined 'other' types, while 23 per cent of responses related to intellectual disability. Speech, hearing and disability of the lower limb accounted for about 12 per cent, 10 per cent and 7 per cent respectively, the top five types of disability.

Table 8.5a Population 0-14 Years Old Reporting Disability: 2000

| Item | Number of Persons | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total (0-14) years | 54,601 | - |
| Reporting Disability | 1,664 | $3.05^{*}$ |
| Of which Diagnosed | 525 | $31.55^{* *}$ |

*\% of all children
$* * \%$ of children reporting disability

Table 8.5b Population 0-14 Years Old by Type of Disability: 2000

| Type of Disability | Number of <br> Responses | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| All Types | $\mathbf{8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Sight | 49 | 5.83 |
| Hearing | 83 | 9.88 |
| Speech | 97 | 11.55 |
| Upper Limb | 21 | 2.50 |
| Lower Limb | 56 | 6.67 |
| Neck/Spine | 31 | 3.69 |
| Intellectual | 197 | 23.45 |
| Mental | 45 | 5.36 |
| Other | 261 | 31.07 |

### 8.3 Characteristics of Household Heads

### 8.3.1 Age and Sex

Tables 8.6-8.12 relate the children to the characteristics of the head of households in which they live. Just slightly more children ( 51 per cent), lived in female-headed households than maleheaded ones (Table 8.6). In relation to age, as seen from Table 8.7, more than one half, i.e. 54 per cent of children lived in households with heads between 25 and 44 years old. This pattern was evident for both male and female headed households, but the proportion among male headed
households was 57 per cent, 7 percentage points more than the 50 per cent for female headed households. More children lived in households headed by the oldest women than those headed by the oldest men. In absolute terms, there were just over 4,000 children living in households headed by females 65 years and over, compared to about 2, 700 living in households headed by men of similar age.

In percentage terms, the proportion in households headed by the oldest females was approximately 15 per cent compared to 10 per cent in households headed by the oldest men.

Table 8.6 Population 0-14 Years Old by Age Group and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| Age Group of <br> Children | Total Children | Sex of Head |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5 4 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 3 8}$ | Female |  |
| $0-4$ | 17,239 | 8,645 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 6 3}$ |  |
| $5-9$ | 18,749 | 9,223 | 8,594 |  |
| $10-14$ | 18,613 | 9,070 | 9,526 |  |
|  |  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total (0-14) | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 6 6}$ |  |
| $0-4$ | 100.0 | 50.15 | 49.85 |  |
| $5-9$ | 100.0 | 49.19 | 50.81 |  |
| $10-14$ | 100.0 | 48.73 | 51.27 |  |

Table 8.7 Population 0-14 Years Old by (Age Group and Sex) of Head: 2000

| Age Group of <br> Head | Total Children | Sex of Head |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{5 4 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 3 8}$ | Female |
| Under 25 | 830 | 321 | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 6 3}$ |
| $25-44$ | 29,314 | 15,477 | 509 |
| $45-64$ | 17,605 | 8,426 | 13,837 |
| $65+$ | 6,852 | 2,714 | 9,179 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 4,138 |
| Under 25 | 1.52 | 1.19 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| $25-44$ | 53.69 | 57.45 | 1.84 |
| $45-64$ | 32.24 | 31.28 | 50.02 |
| $65+$ | 12.55 | 10.07 | 33.18 |

### 8.3.2 Marital Status

Data on marital status of the head (Table 8.8 ) show that 67 per cent $(18,062)$ of the children living in households headed by men were in households where the male head was married, while 27 per cent lived with male heads who were never married. On the other hand, 63 per cent $(17,347)$ of the children living with female heads were in households where the female head was not married. Only 15 per cent of children lived with female heads who were married. Ten per cent of children lived with widowed female heads compared to 2 per cent who lived in households headed by widowed males. The comparative proportions for children living in households headed by divorcees were 8 per cent and 2 per cent for female heads and male heads respectively.

Table 8.8 Population 0-14 Years Old by Marital Status and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| Marital Status of <br> Head | Sexal Children | Sex Head |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male |  |
|  |  | Number of Persons |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 4 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 9 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 6 6 3}$ |
| Never married | 24,512 | 7,165 | 17,347 |
| Married | 22,187 | 18,062 | 4,125 |
| Legally | 1,447 | 293 | 1,154 |
| separated |  |  |  |
| Divorced | 2,726 | 630 | 2,096 |
| Widowed | 3,357 | 641 | 2,716 |
| Not stated | 372 | 147 | 225 |
|  |  | Percent of Total |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 26.60 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Never married | 44,89 | 67.05 | 62.71 |
| Married | 40.63 | 1.09 | 14.91 |
| Legally | 2.65 |  | 4.17 |
| separated |  | 2.34 | 7.58 |
| Divorced | 4.99 | 2.38 | 9.82 |
| Widowed | 6.15 | 0.55 | 0.81 |
| Not stated | 0.68 |  |  |

### 8.3.3 School Attendance

The analysis of attendance at school from Chapter 4 showed high attendance rates for Barbadian children of school age. More than 90 per cent of the children 3-14 years old were attending school. The Tables 8.9-8.11 present data on background characteristics of the heads of households for the children of ages 3-14 years who were not attending school.

Table 8.9 shows that more than 2,400 children or 5.6 per cent of the approximately 44,000 children of school age were not attending school. The non-responses to the question, 922 , or 2.1
per cent should be noted. More than one half, 1308, or 53 per cent of the 2,471 children reported not attending school were in female headed households with 47 per cent $(1,163)$ in male headed households.

Table 8.9 Population 3-14 Years Old by School Attendance and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| School Attendance | Total Children <br> 3-14 Years | Male | Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 1 , 6 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 3 9 8}$ |
| Attending | 40,626 | 20,041 | 20,585 |
| Not attending | 2,471 | 1,163 | 1,308 |
| Not stated | 922 | 417 | 505 |
|  | Per cent of Total Household |  |  |
| Total 3-14 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Attending | 92.29 | 92.69 | 91.91 |
| Not attending | 5.61 | 5.38 | 5.84 |
| Not stated | 2.09 | 1.93 | 2.25 |

Table 8.10 presents data on school attendance in relation to the highest level of educational attainment of the heads.

Non-attendance rates were lowest among children in households headed by persons with tertiary level education, an estimated 4 per cent for both male and female heads. Whereas among male headed households non-attendance rates were highest for heads with secondary level education (6 per cent), among female headed households the highest rates were observed for those with primary level education (7 per cent).

Table 8.10 Population (3-14) Years by School Attendance by Highest Level of Educational Attainment and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| Sex and Educational Level of Head | Total Children 3-14 Years | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attending School |  | Not Attending School |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total (3-14) | 41,840 | 39,473 | 94.34 | 2,367 | 5.66 |
| Primary | 9,054 | 8,464 | 93.48 | 590 | 6.52 |
| Secondary | 24,588 | 23,114 | 94.01 | 1,474 | 5.99 |
| Tertiary | 8,198 | 7,895 | 96.30 | 303 | 3.70 |
| Male Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total (3-14) | 20,596 | 19,493 | 94.64 | 1,103 | 5.36 |
| Primary | 3,534 | 3,328 | 94.17 | 206 | 5.83 |
| Secondary | 11,605 | 10,907 | 93.99 | 698 | 6.01 |
| Tertiary | 5,457 | 5,258 | 96.35 | 199 | 3.65 |
| Female Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total (3-14) | 21,244 | 19,980 | 94.05 | 1,264 | 5.95 |
| Primary | 5,520 | 5,136 | 93.04 | 384 | 6.96 |
| Secondary | 12,983 | 12,207 | 94.02 | 776 | 5.98 |
| Tertiary | 2,741 | 2,637 | 96.21 | 104 | 3.79 |

Note: Excludes number of persons reporting no education (68) and the $\mathbf{1 , 1 8 9}$ persons not reporting information.

In relation to economic activity, in terms of absolute numbers, the majority of children not attending school, 1,707, were from households with working heads (Table 8.11). In percentage terms, non-attendance was highest among children of heads who were either seeking work or were inactive ( 7 percent each). About 7 per cent of the children in households headed by job seeking females and 8 per cent of those in households headed by inactive female heads were not attending school. The comparative percentage for male heads of similar economic activity status (job seeking and inactive) was 6 per cent.

Table 8.11 Population 3-14 Years Old by School Attendance by Economic Activity Status* and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| Sex and Economic Activity of Head | Total Children 3-14 Years | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attending School |  | Not Attending School |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total 3-14 | 43,099 | 40,628 | 94.27 | 2,471 | 5.73 |
| Worked | 32,564 | 30,857 | 94.76 | 1,707 | 5.24 |
| Looked for Work | 1,148 | 1,066 | 92.86 | 82 | 7.14 |
| Inactive | 8,922 | 8,284 | 92.85 | 638 | 7.15 |
| Not Stated | 465 | 421 | 80.54 | 44 | 9.46 |
| Male Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total 3-14 years | 21,205 | 20,042 | 94.52 | 1,163 | 5.48 |
| Worked | 18,268 | 17,290 | 94.65 | 978 | 5.35 |
| Looked for Work | 221 | 207 | 93.67 | 14 | 6.33 |
| Inactive | 2,554 | 2,399 | 93.93 | 155 | 6.07 |
| Not Stated | 162 | 146 | 90.12 | 16 | 9.88 |
| Female Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total 3-14 years | 21,894 | 20,586 | 94.03 | 1,308 | 5.97 |
| Worked | 14,296 | 13,567 | 94.90 | 729 | 5.10 |
| Looked for Work | 927 | 859 | 92.66 | 68 | 7.34 |
| Inactive | 6,368 | 5,885 | 92.42 | 483 | 7.58 |
| Not Stated | 303 | 275 | 90.76 | 28 | 9.24 |

*Activity Status in the $\mathbf{1 2}$ months preceding the census.

Data on occupational groups of heads are presented in Table 8.12. The pattern seen is the same for both male and female headed households. The highest proportions of non-attendance by children of school age were to be found in households headed by persons in elementary occupations; 7 per cent among male heads and a lower 6 per cent among female heads. At the other end, the lowest percentages can be seen among professionals; 3 per cent for both men and women.

Table 8.12 Population 3-14 Years Old by School Attendance and Occupational Group and Sex of Head of Household: 2000 (Based on Working Heads Reporting Occupation)

| Sex and Occupational Group of Head | Total Children (3-14) | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Attending School |  | Not Attending School |  |
|  |  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Heads |  | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |
| Total Children (3-14) years | 32,037 | 30,357 | 94.76 | 1,680 | 5.24 |
| Legislator/Managers | 3,103 | 2,978 | 95.97 | 125 | 4.03 |
| Professionals | 3,215 | 3,111 | 96.77 | 104 | 3.23 |
| Technical Occupations | 2,510 | 2,392 | 95.30 | 118 | 4.70 |
| Clerical Occupations | 2,596 | 2,491 | 95.96 | 105 | 4.04 |
| Services and Sales Occupations | 5,132 | 4,836 | 94.23 | 296 | 5.77 |
| Skilled Agricultural Workers | 878 | 823 | 93.74 | 55 | 6.26 |
| Craft Workers | 4,928 | 4,641 | 94.18 | 287 | 5.82 |
| Machine Operators | 2,477 | 2,347 | 94.75 | 130 | 5.25 |
| Elementary Occupations | 7,198 | 6,738 | 93.61 | 460 | 6.39 |
| Male Heads | ( 3-14) years |  |  |  |  |
| Total Children (3-14) years | 18,012 | 17,046 | 94.64 | 966 | 5.36 |
| Legislators/Managers | 2,379 | 2,283 | 95.96 | 96 | 4.04 |
| Professionals | 2,122 | 2,051 | 96.65 | 71 | 3.35 |
| Technical Occupations | 1,837 | 1,749 | 95.21 | 88 | 4.79 |
| Clerical Occupations | 750 | 717 | 95.60 | 33 | 4.40 |
| Services and Sales Occupations | 1,705 | 1,602 | 93.96 | 103 | 6.04 |
| Skilled Agricultural Workers | 689 | 642 | 93.18 | 47 | 6.82 |
| Craft Workers | 4,340 | 4,087 | 94.17 | 253 | 5.83 |
| Machine Operators | 1,981 | 1,868 | 94.30 | 113 | 5.70 |
| Elementary Occupations | 2,209 | 2,047 | 92.67 | 162 | 7.33 |
| Female Heads (3-14) years |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Children (3-14) years | 14,025 | 13,311 | 94.91 | 714 | 5.09 |
| Legislators/Managers | 724 | 695 | 95.99 | 29 | 4.01 |
| Professionals | 1,093 | 1,060 | 96.98 | 33 | 3.02 |
| Technical Occupations | 673 | 643 | 95.54 | 30 | 4.46 |
| Clerical Occupations | 1,846 | 1,774 | 96.10 | 72 | 3.90 |
| Services and Sales Occupations | 3,427 | 3,234 | 94.37 | 193 | 5.63 |
| Skilled Agricultural Workers | 189 | 181 | 95.77 | 8 | 4.23 |
| Craft Workers | 588 | 554 | 94.22 | 34 | 5.78 |
| Machine Operators | 496 | 479 | 96.57 | 17 | 3.43 |
| Elementary Occupations | 4,989 | 4,691 | 94.03 | 298 | 5.97 |

## CHAPTER 9

## THE YOUTH

### 9.1 Introduction

As young people age and mature they are expected to move from a position of dependence to one of independence. The provision of educational and skills training and employment opportunities for them should be guaranteed. There are also special needs for health care, particularly as it relates to sexual and reproductive health.

The youth is defined as the population between the ages of 15 and 24 years. The $15-19$ years period is referred to as 'adolescents' while the 20-24 years group is regarded as 'young adults'. At the 2000 census, the youth population was an estimated 36,440 , or 15 per cent of the total population. There were 18,093 women and 18,347 men, showing a sex ratio of 101.4 (Table 9.1). This sex ratio was above the 92.2 ratio for the total population of all ages. Both adolescence and young adults had an excess of males over females, with the former group showing a higher sex ratio.

Table 9.1 Youth Population and Sex Ratio, 2000

| Age Group | Male | Female | Sex Ratio |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Total All Ages | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 2 . 1 9}$ |
| Youth | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 1 . 4 0}$ |
| Population | 9,434 | 9,202 | 102.52 |
| $15-19$ | 8,913 | 8,891 | 100.25 |
| $20-24$ |  |  |  |

*Sex Ratio: Number of Males per 100
females

### 9.2 Characteristics of the Youth

### 9.2.1 Comparison of Age and Sex: 1990 and 2000 Censuses

The pattern of movement between 1990 and 2000 in the age cohorts were discussed in chapter 2. Tables 9.2 and 9.3 present the 15-24 years age group by five-year groups for 1990 and 2000, with the changes which have taken place during the period. Continued fertility declines have led to declining proportions for the younger age groups of the Barbadian population. In 2000, 15 per cent of the population was between 15 and 24 years; with the proportion of males being 15 per cent compared to 14 per cent for females. This represented an overall decline of 17 per cent in the ten years since 1990. The extent of the decline was the same (17 per cent) for both males and females.

Table 9.2 Youth Population by Five Year Age Group and Sex: 1990 and 2000

| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| All Ages | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 1 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 4}$ |
| Total Youth | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 9 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 7 3 8}$ |
| $15-19$ | 18,636 | 9,434 | 9,202 | 22,651 | 11,458 | 11,193 |
| $20-24$ | 17,804 | 8,913 | 8,891 | 21,271 | 10,726 | 10,545 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total Youth | $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 7 1}$ |
| $15-19$ | 7.45 | 7.87 | 7.07 | 9.16 | 9.67 | 8.60 |
| $20-24$ | 7.12 | 7.43 | 6.83 | 8.61 | 9.05 | 8.11 |

Table 9.3 Youth Population by Five Year Age Group and Sex: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Age <br> Group | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change |
| Total | $\mathbf{- 7 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 , 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 3 , 6 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 6 . 7 7}$ |
| $15-19$ | $-4,015$ | -17.73 | $-2,024$ | -17.66 | $-1,991$ | -17.79 |
| $20-24$ | $-3,467$ | -16.30 | $-1,813$ | -16.90 | $-1,654$ | -15.69 |

### 9.2.2 Relationship to Household Head

Table 9.4 presents data on relationship to heads of households. Just over 1,400 persons aged 1524 years, representing 3.9 per cent of all youths were heads of households. About 4 per cent of the male youths were heads, compared to a slightly lower 3.6 per cent of the females. The differential between the sexes for proportions as spouses was greater. While 5.1 per cent of female youths were spouses, only 1 per cent of male youths were.

Table 9.4 Youth Population by Sex and Relationship to Head of Household: 2000

| Relationship to Head | Total | Male |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| of Household | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 9 3}$ |
| Head | 1,420 | 769 | 651 |
| Spouse/Partner | 1,095 | 178 | 917 |
| Child | 24,268 | 12,393 | 11,875 |
| Grandchild | 5,013 | 2,659 | 2,354 |
| Other Relative | 2,961 | 1,605 | 1,356 |
| Non Relative | 1,683 | 743 | 940 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 y y}$ |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{P e r}$ cent of Total |  |
| Head | 3.90 | 4.19 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Spouse/Partner | 3.00 | 0.97 | 3.60 |
| Child | 66.60 | 67.55 | 5.07 |
| Grandchild | 13.76 | 14.49 | 65.63 |
| Other Relative | 8.13 | 8.75 | 13.01 |
| Non Relative | 4.62 | 7.49 |  |

The majority, 67 per cent of the population, 15-24 years old, lived in households headed by a parent; 14 per cent lived with grandparents and 8 per cent lived in households headed by another relative. The proportion living with unrelated heads was 5 per cent.

Tables 9.5 to 9.16 present data on the youth by selected characteristics: marital and union status, educational attainment, exposure to training, economic activity, occupational and industrial group.

### 9.2.3 Marital Status

Not surprisingly perhaps, the vast majority of the youths had never been married. (Table 9.5) Approximately 98 per cent and 96 per cent of the male and female youths respectively have never been married.

Table 9.5 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Marital Status: 2000

| Sex and Marital Status |  |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total Youth |  | $\mathbf{1 5}-\mathbf{1 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |
|  | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ | Number | $\%$ |
| Total Youth | $\mathbf{3 6 , 0 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 5 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 5 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Never Married | 35,055 | 97.19 | 18,385 | 99.32 | 16,670 | 94.94 |
| Married | 830 | 2.30 | 63 | 0.34 | 767 | 4.37 |
| No longer with Husband | 183 | 0.51 | 62 | 0.33 | 121 | 0.69 |
| Male Youth | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Never Married | 17,782 | 98.41 | 9,313 | 99.66 | 8,469 | 97.08 |
| Married | 260 | 1.44 | 28 | 0.30 | 232 | 2.66 |
| No longer with Husband | 27 | 0.15 | 4 | 0.04 | 23 | 0.26 |
| Female Youth | $\mathbf{1 7 , 9 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 1 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Never Married | 17,273 | 96.24 | 9,072 | 99.58 | 8,201 | 92.80 |
| Married | 570 | 3.18 | 35 | 0.38 | 535 | 6.05 |
| No longer with Husband | 104 | 0.58 | 3 | 0.03 | 101 | 1.14 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting marital status.

The incidence of marriage was greater for young adults as 4 per cent of this group was married compared to 0.3 per cent for the adolescent group. Interestingly, the proportion of married female youth, at 3 per cent, tripled the 1 per cent seen for married men.

### 9.2.4 Educational Attainment

Table 9.6 is based on a total of 35,557 youths, representing 98 per cent of all youths. Excluded are those who either did not report educational level or did not report in a way to make it classifiable. More than three quarters, 78 per cent of the youth, had attained secondary level education and 21 per cent had attained tertiary level. Secondary level attainment was higher among adolescents and tertiary level attainment was higher for young adults.

Approximately 87 per cent of the younger group had attained secondary level compared to 69 per cent of the older group. On the other hand the proportion of the 15-19 years attaining tertiary level was 13 per cent, 17 percentage points lower than the 30 per cent for the young adults.

Secondary level attainment was higher among male youths, 81 per cent compared to 75 per cent for female youths. On the other hand, tertiary level attainment was higher for female youth. Approximately 24 per cent of females 15-24 years had attained tertiary level compared to 18 per cent of males.

Table 9.6 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

| Sex and Level of Educational | Total Youth |  | Age of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Youth | 35,557 | 100.0 | 18,231 | 100.0 | 17,326 | 100.0 |
| None | 28 | 0.08 | 13 | 0.07 | 15 | 0.09 |
| Primary | 270 | 0.76 | 73 | 0.40 | 197 | 1.14 |
| Secondary | 27,772 | 78.11 | 15,833 | 86.85 | 11,939 | 68.91 |
| Tertiary | 7,487 | 21.06 | 2,312 | 12.68 | 5,175 | 29.87 |
| Male Youth | 17,850 | 100.0 | 9,203 | 100.0 | 8,647 | 100.0 |
| None | 17 | 0.10 | 8 | 0.09 | 9 | 0.10 |
| Primary | 191 | 1.07 | 53 | 0.58 | 138 | 1.60 |
| Secondary | 14,408 | 80.72 | 8,081 | 87.81 | 6,327 | 73.17 |
| Tertiary | 3,234 | 18.12 | 1,061 | 11.53 | 2,173 | 25.13 |
| Female Youth | 17,707 | 100.0 | 9,028 | 100.0 | 8,679 | 100.0 |
| None | 11 | 0.06 | 5 | 0.06 | 6 | 0.07 |
| Primary | 79 | 0.45 | 20 | 0.22 | 59 | 0.68 |
| Secondary | 13,364 | 75.47 | 7,752 | 85.87 | 5,612 | 64.66 |
| Tertiary | 4,253 | 24.02 | 1,251 | 13.86 | 3,002 | 34.59 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting and persons reporting 'other institution' which is not classifiable by level.

Table 9.7 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Years of School Completed: 2000

| Sex and Years of School Completed | Total Youth |  | Age of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Youth | 35,940 | 100.0 | 18,384 | 100.0 | 17,556 | 100.0 |
| None | 27 | 0.08 | 12 | 0.07 | 15 | 0.09 |
| 1-4 | 59 | 0.16 | 23 | 0.13 | 36 | 0.21 |
| 5-7 | 287 | 0.80 | 121 | 0.66 | 166 | 0.95 |
| 8-11 | 12,825 | 35.68 | 8,285 | 45.07 | 4,540 | 25.86 |
| 12+ | 22,742 | 63.28 | 9,943 | 54.09 | 12,799 | 72.90 |
| Male Youth | 18,036 | 100.0 | 9,280 | 100.0 | 8,756 | 100.0 |
| None | 16 | 0.09 | 7 | 0.08 | 9 | 0.10 |
| 1-4 | 46 | 0.26 | 18 | 0.19 | 28 | 0.32 |
| 5-7 | 173 | 0.96 | 64 | 0.69 | 109 | 1.24 |
| 8-11 | 6,946 | 38.51 | 4,376 | 47.16 | 2,570 | 29.35 |
| 12+ | 10,855 | 60.19 | 4,815 | 51.89 | 6,040 | 68.98 |
| Female Youth | 17,904 | 100.0 | 9,104 | 100.0 | 8,800 | 100.0 |
| None | 11 | 0.06 | 5 | 0.05 | 6 | 0.07 |
| 1-4 | 13 | 0.07 | 5 | 0.05 | 8 | 0.09 |
| 5-7 | 114 | 0.64 | 57 | 0.63 | 57 | 0.65 |
| 8-11 | 5,879 | 32.84 | 3,909 | 42.94 | 1,970 | 22.39 |
| 12+ | 11,887 | 66.39 | 5,128 | 56.33 | 6,759 | 76.81 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting.

Years of schooling completed provides another indicator of educational attainment. Almost all ( 99 per cent) of the 35,940 youths reporting years of schooling, had completed at least 8 years. Approximately 12,800 , or 36 per cent had completed $8-11$ years, while about 22,700 or 63 per cent had completed 12 years and over.

This pattern is evident for both sexes (Table 9.7), with a higher proportion of females completing 12 years and over, compared to males. Approximately 11,900 females, 66 per cent of the number reporting, had completed 12 years and over, compared to about 10,900 males, 60 per cent of the total males reporting.

### 9.2.5 Training

Tables 9.8 and 9.9 present data on training. Table 9.8 shows the status of training while Table 9.9 is based on persons who had reported being exposed to training. About 10, 300 persons representing about 28 per cent of the youth population reported that they had received vocational training. More males than females had been exposed. The data show that approximately 5, 500 males representative of 30 per cent of male youths had been exposed to training compared to 4,800 females, representing 26 per cent of the total female youth population.

Table 9.8 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Training Status: 2000

| Sex and Training Status | Total Youth |  | Age of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| All Youth | 36,437 | 100.0 | 18,635 | 100.0 | 17,802 | 100.0 |
| Exposed to Training | 10,261 | 28.16 | 3,234 | 17.35 | 7,027 | 39.47 |
| Completed Training | 5,616 | 15.41 | 1,189 | 6.38 | 4,427 | 24.87 |
| Incomplete Training | 624 | 1.71 | 220 | 1.18 | 404 | 2.27 |
| Currently Being Trained | 3,054 | 8.38 | 1,505 | 8.08 | 1,549 | 8.70 |
| Not Stated | 967 | 2.65 | 320 | 1.72 | 647 | 3.63 |
| Not Exposed to Training | 26,176 | 71.84 | 15,401 | 82.65 | 10,775 | 60.53 |
| Male Youth | 18,346 | 100.0 | 9,433 | 100.0 | 8,913 | 100.0 |
| Exposed to Training | 5,474 | 29.84 | 1,844 | 19.55 | 3,630 | 40.73 |
| Completed Training | 2,922 | 15.93 | 633 | 6.71 | 2,289 | 25.68 |
| Incomplete Training | 392 | 2.14 | 154 | 1.63 | 238 | 2.67 |
| Currently Being Trained | 1,622 | 8.84 | 887 | 9.40 | 735 | 8.25 |
| Not Stated | 538 | 2.93 | 170 | 1.80 | 368 | 4.13 |
| Not Exposed to Training | 12,872 | 70.16 | 7,589 | 80.45 | 5,283 | 59.27 |
| Female Youth | 18,091 | 100.0 | 9,202 | 100.0 | 8,889 | 100.0 |
| Exposed to Training | 4,787 | 26.46 | 1,390 | 15.11 | 3,397 | 38.22 |
| Completed Training | 2,694 | 14.89 | 556 | 6.04 | 2,138 | 24.05 |
| Incomplete Training | 232 | 1.28 | 66 | 0.72 | 166 | 1.87 |
| Currently Being Trained | 1,432 | 7.92 | 618 | 6.72 | 814 | 9.16 |
| Not Stated | 429 | 2.37 | 150 | 1.63 | 279 | 3.14 |
| Not Exposed to Training | 13,304 | 73.54 | 7,812 | 84.89 | 5,492 | 61.78 |

Not all persons who were exposed, had completed the training; 2,922 men or 59 per cent of the total who were exposed, completed, while an additional 1,622 or 33 per cent were still in training. Although in absolute terms, the number of women completing training was lower than the number of men, the completion rate was higher for the women. A total of 2,694 women representing 62 per cent of the number exposed completed, while an additional 33 per cent were still in training. The number of young adults who had completed training was more than three and one half times the number of adolescents, 4,427 compared to 1,189 . The resulting completion rates were 69 per cent and 41 per cent respectively. Almost an equal number of adolescents and young adults 1,505 and 1,549 respectively, were currently in training.

Table 9.9 Youth Population Exposed to Training by Sex and Age Group: 2000

| Sex and Training Status | Total Youth (15-24) years |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Numb } \\ \text { er } \end{gathered}$ | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| All Youths |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Exposed to Training | 9,294 | 100.0 | 2,914 | 100.0 | 6,380 | 100.0 |
| Completed Training | 5,616 | 60.43 | 1,189 | 40.80 | 4,427 | 69.39 |
| Incomplete Training | 624 | 6.71 | 220 | 7.55 | 404 | 6.33 |
| Currently Being Trained | 3,054 | 32.86 | 1,505 | 51.65 | 1,549 | 24.28 |
| Male Youths |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Exposed to Training | 4,936 | 100.0 | 1,674 | 100.0 | 3,262 | 100.0 |
| Completed Training | 2,922 | 59.20 | 633 | 37.81 | 2,289 | 70.17 |
| Incomplete Training | 392 | 7.94 | 154 | 9.20 | 238 | 7.30 |
| Currently Being Trained | 1,622 | 32.86 | 887 | 52.99 | 735 | 22.53 |
| Female Youths |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Exposed to Training | 4,358 | 100.0 | 1,240 | 100.0 | 3,118 | 100.0 |
| Completed Training | 2,694 | 61.82 | 556 | 44.84 | 2,138 | 68.57 |
| Incomplete Training | 232 | 5.32 | 66 | 5.32 | 166 | 5.32 |
| Currently Being Trained | 1,432 | 32.86 | 618 | 49.84 | 814 | 26.11 |

### 9.2.6 Current School Attendance

Continuing youth participation in education as indicated by current attendance, shows that in excess of one third of all youths ( 39 per cent), were attending school at the time of the 2000 census (Table 9.10). In absolute terms, this represented an estimated 14,300 persons. The attendance rate was higher for the adolescent group at 61 per cent compared to only 16 per cent for the young adults. The sex differential showed greater participation among women in education; the rate for the total youth population was 43 per cent for women compared to 35 per cent for men.

Table 9.10 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and School Attendance: 2000

| Sex and Status of <br> Attendance | Total Youth (15-24) |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | $\mathbf{\%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0} \mathbf{- 2 4}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Youths | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Attending | 14,302 | 39.25 | 11,376 | 61.04 | 2,926 | 16.43 |  |
| Not Attending | 21,922 | 60.16 | 7,153 | 38.38 | 14,769 | 82.95 |  |
| Not Stated | 216 | 0.59 | 107 | 0.57 | 109 | 0.61 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
| Male Youths | $\mathbf{1 8 , 3 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Attending | 6,499 | 35.42 | 5,360 | 56.82 | 1,139 | 12.78 |  |
| Not Attending | 11,717 | 63.86 | 4,014 | 42.55 | 7,703 | 86.42 |  |
| Not Stated | 131 | 0.71 | 60 | 0.64 | 71 | 0.80 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female Youths | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Attending | 7,803 | 43.13 | 6,016 | 65.38 | 1,787 | 20.10 |  |
| Not Attending | 10,205 | 56.40 | 3,139 | 34.11 | 7,066 | 79.47 |  |
| Not Stated | 85 | 0.47 | 47 | 0.51 | 38 | 0.43 |  |

### 9.2.7 Economic Activity

Data on economic activity as presented in Table 9.11 show that more than a half ( 57 per cent) of the youth were economically active in the twelve months preceding the census. Around 17,000 youths were employed. Male employed numbered an estimated 9,400 , representing more than one half ( 53 per cent) of the male youth, and women numbered about 7,500 or 43 per cent of the total female youth population. Inactivity was higher among women, with 47 per cent of the female youth being inactive, compared to 38 per cent of the male youth. Employment among young adults was 3 times that for the adolescents. Out of a total of about 12,500 young adults, 72 per cent was employed compared to approximately 4,400 adolescents or 24 per cent of the same group.

Table 9.11 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Economic Activity: 2000

| Sex and Economic Activity | Total Youth |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Youths | 35,369 | 100.0 | 18,091 | 100.0 | 17,278 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 20,312 | 57.43 | 5,996 | 33.14 | 14,316 | 82.86 |
| Employed | 16,949 | 47.92 | 4,426 | 24.47 | 12,523 | 72.48 |
| Looked for Work | 3,363 | 9.51 | 1,570 | 8.68 | 1,793 | 10.38 |
| Inactive | 15,057 | 42.57 | 12,095 | 66.86 | 2,962 | 17.14 |
| Male Youths | 17,733 | 100.0 | 9,127 | 100.0 | 8,606 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 11,052 | 62.32 | 3,481 | 38.14 | 7,571 | 87.97 |
| Employed | 9,423 | 53.14 | 2,661 | 29.16 | 6,762 | 78.57 |
| Looked for Work | 1,629 | 9.19 | 820 | 8.98 | 809 | 9.40 |
| Inactive | 6,681 | 37.68 | 5,646 | 61.86 | 1,035 | 12.03 |
| Female Youths | 17,636 | 100.0 | 8,964 | 100.0 | 8,672 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 9,260 | 52.51 | 2,515 | 28.06 | 6,745 | 77.78 |
| Employed | 7,526 | 42.67 | 1,765 | 19.69 | 5,761 | 66.43 |
| Looked for Work | 1,734 | 9.83 | 750 | 8.37 | 984 | 11.35 |
| Inactive | 8,376 | 47.49 | 6,449 | 71.94 | 1,927 | 22.22 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting economic activity

Table 9.12 shows employment and job seeking rates. The youth employment rate was 83 per cent and the job seeking rate was 17 per cent. The number of young adults seeking work was about 1800, 200 persons in excess of the number of adolescent job seekers. In terms of rates however, the rate for adolescents was 26 per cent, twice the rate of 13 per cent for the young adults. The number of male youth job seekers was 1,629 compared to the 1,734 female youths classified as job seekers. The rate for men was a lower 15 per cent compared to the 19 per cent for the females.

Table 9.12 Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Employment Rate and Job Seeking Rate: 2000

| Sex and Type of Rate | Total Youth | Age Group of Youth |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ |
| Total Youth | 83.44 | 73.82 |  |
| Employment Rate | 16.56 | 26.18 | 87.48 |
| Job Seeking Rate |  |  | 12.52 |
| Male Youth | 85.26 | 76.44 | 89.31 |
| Employment Rate | 14.74 | 23.56 | 10.69 |
| Job Seeking Rate |  |  |  |
| Female Youth | 81.27 | 70.18 | 85.41 |
| Employment Rate | 18.73 | 29.82 | 14.59 |
| Job Seeking Rate |  |  |  |

### 9.2.8 The Employed Youth

Tables 9.13-9.16 represent characteristics of the employed population; educational level, employment status and occupation and industry. In relation to educational level, the majority of the employed youth ( 78 per cent) had attained secondary level education. Approximately 26 per cent of the female employed youth had attained tertiary level education compared to 17 per cent of the male employed youth (Table 9.13).

As expected, the differential between adolescents and young adults as it related to the completion of tertiary level education was even greater: a quarter of young adults had attained this level compared to only 9 per cent of the younger group.

Table 9.13 Employed Youth by Sex, Age Group and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

|  |  |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Sex and Level of <br> Education | Total Employed |  | $\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{1 9}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{2 4}$ |  |
|  | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Youths | $\mathbf{1 6 , 6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 2 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| None | 9 | 0.05 | 2 | 0.05 | 7 | 0.06 |  |
| Primary | 151 | 0.91 | 33 | 0.77 | 118 | 0.96 |  |
| Secondary | 12,940 | 77.92 | 3,864 | 89.88 | 9,076 | 73.75 |  |
| Tertiary | 3,506 | 21.11 | 400 | 9.30 | 3,106 | 25.24 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male Youths | $\mathbf{9 , 2 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 5 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| None | 6 | 0.07 | 2 | 0.08 | 4 | 0.06 |  |
| Primary | 123 | 1.33 | 30 | 1.17 | 93 | 1.40 |  |
| Secondary | 7,496 | 81.29 | 2,336 | 90.72 | 5,160 | 77.64 |  |
| Tertiary | 1,596 | 17.31 | 207 | 8.04 | 1,389 | 20.90 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female Youths | $\mathbf{7 , 3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| None | 3 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 0.05 |  |
| Primary | 28 | 0.38 | 3 | 0.17 | 25 | 0.44 |  |
| Secondary | 5,444 | 73.72 | 1,528 | 88.63 | 3,916 | 69.18 |  |
| Tertiary | 1,910 | 25.86 | 193 | 11.19 | 1,717 | 30.33 |  |

## Note: Excludes persons not reporting and not classifiable by level

More than 82 per cent of the employed youth reporting employment status, 13,132 of the 15,937 , were employed in private enterprise (Table 9.14). In percentage terms, more than 80 per cent of the employed of each sex worked in this sector ( 84 per cent of females, 81 percent of males). Government employed about 10 per cent of the working youth and 5 per cent were self employed. There were twice as many self employed males as females: 590 compared to 264.

Table 9.14 Employed Youth Population by Sex, Age Group and Employment Status: 2000

| Sex and Employment Status | Total Youth |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Employed | 15,937 | 100.0 | 4,057 | 100.0 | 11,880 | 100.0 |
| Employees | 15,083 | 94.64 | 3,890 | 95.88 | 11,193 | 94.22 |
| Government | 1,669 | 10.47 | 246 | 6.06 | 1,423 | 11.98 |
| Private Enterprise | 13,132 | 82.40 | 3,569 | 87.97 | 9,563 | 80.50 |
| Private Household | 282 | 1.77 | 75 | 1.85 | 207 | 1.74 |
| Self Employed | 854 | 5.36 | 167 | 4.12 | 687 | 5.78 |
| Male Youth | 8,886 | 100.0 | 2,447 | 100.0 | 6,439 | 100.0 |
| Employees | 8,296 | 93.36 | 2,322 | 94.89 | 5,974 | 92.78 |
| Government | 910 | 10.24 | 141 | 5.76 | 769 | 11.94 |
| Private Enterprise | 7,205 | 81.08 | 2,126 | 86.88 | 5,079 | 78.88 |
| Private Household | 181 | 2.04 | 55 | 2.25 | 126 | 1.96 |
| Self Employed | 590 | 6.64 | 125 | 5.11 | 465 | 7.22 |
| Female Youth | 7,051 | 100.0 | 1,610 | 100.0 | 5,441 | 100.0 |
| Employees | 6,787 | 92.26 | 1,568 | 97.39 | 5,219 | 95.92 |
| Government | 759 | 10.76 | 105 | 6.52 | 654 | 12.02 |
| Private Enterprise | 5,927 | 84.06 | 1,443 | 89.63 | 4,484 | 82.41 |
| Private Household | 101 | 1.43 | 20 | 1.24 | 81 | 1.49 |
| Self Employed | 264 | 3.74 | 42 | 2.61 | 222 | 4.08 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting employment status.

In relation to occupation status, Table 9.15 shows that the largest proportions of male employed youths were engaged as workers in craft and related trades, service, shop and market sales workers and elementary occupations; 24 per cent, 21 per cent and 20 per cent respectively. The occupations of choice for the female employed youths were service, shop and market sales (36 per cent) and clerical and secretarial ( 32 per cent). Approximately 13 per cent of male youths and 15 per cent of female employed youths worked as professionals, technicians and associate professionals.

Table 9.15 Employed Youth Population by Sex and Main Occupational Group: 2000

| Occupational Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Total Employed Youth | $\mathbf{9 , 4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 186 | 1.97 | 184 | 2.44 |
| Professionals, Technicians and Associate | 1,219 | 12.94 | 1,157 | 15.37 |
| Professionals |  |  |  |  |
| Clerical and Secretarial Workers | 608 | 6.45 | 2,432 | 32.31 |
| Service Workers and Shop and Market Sales | 1,996 | 21.18 | 2,685 | 35.68 |
| Workers |  |  |  |  |
| Skilled Agricultural and Fishery Workers | 390 | 4.14 | 26 | 0.35 |
| Craft and Related Trades Workers | 2,288 | 24.28 | 192 | 2.55 |
| Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers | 602 | 6.39 | 284 | 3.77 |
| Elementary Occupations | 1,880 | 19.95 | 359 | 4.77 |
| Not Stated | 254 | 2.70 | 207 | 2.75 |

Table 9.16 Employed Youth Population by Sex and Main Industrial Group: 2000

| Industrial Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number |  | \% | Number |
| $\mathbf{y}$ | $\mathbf{\%}$ |  |  |  |
| Total Employed Youth | $\mathbf{9 , 4 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 5 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Agricultural Hunting, forestry and fishing | 434 | 4.61 | 58 | 0.77 |
| Mining and Quarrying | 35 | 0.37 | 5 | 0.07 |
| Manufacturing | 1,094 | 11.61 | 748 | 9.94 |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 87 | 0.92 | 28 | 0.37 |
| Construction | 2,143 | 22.74 | 130 | 1.73 |
| Wholesale and Retail Trade, Hotel/ | 2,682 | 28.46 | 3,157 | 41.95 |
| Restaurants |  |  |  |  |
| Transport, Storage and Communication | 581 | 6.17 | 281 | 3.73 |
| Financial, Insurance, Real Estate and | 785 | 8.32 | 1,372 | 18.23 |
| Business Services |  |  |  |  |
| Community, Social and Personal Services | 1,320 | 14.01 | 1,553 | 20.64 |
| Not Stated | 262 | 2.78 | 194 | 2.58 |

With regard to industry (Table 9.16), the largest employers of the male youth were the Wholesale and Retail Trading and Construction sectors which employed 28 per cent and 23 per cent of the male youth, respectively. The employers of the largest proportions of the female youth were Wholesale and Retail Trade (42 per cent) and Community, Social and Personal Services (21 per cent).

### 9.2.9 Union Status

Tables 9.17 and 9.18 present data on female youths on their union status and the number of live children born to these women. A total of 14,538 women reported union status and consistent with the observations regarding marital status, previously discussed, the majority, 80 per cent, never had a husband or common law partner. The proportion for adolescents was 91 per cent compared to 71 per cent for the young adults. There were 16,934 women who reported on live children born and of these 4,136 , representing 24 per cent, were mothers. The average number of children ever born to a mother in the 15-24 age group was 1.13. Sixty two women of 20-24 age group had 4 or more children.

Table 9.17 Female Youth Population by Age Group and Union Status: 2000

| Union Status | Total | $\mathbf{1 5}-\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{2 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 6 2 3}$ |
| Living with Husband | 429 | 16 | 413 |
| Living with Common law Partner | 1,164 | 192 | 972 |
| No Longer with Husband/Partner | 397 | 132 | 265 |
| Never had Husband/Partner | 11,690 | 6,283 | 5,407 |
| Visiting Union | 858 | 292 | 566 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Living with Husband | 2.95 | 0.23 | 5.42 |
| Living with Common law Partner | 8.01 | 2.78 | 12.75 |
| No Longer with Husband/Partner | 2.73 | 1.91 | 3.48 |
| Never had Husband/Partner | 80.41 | 90.86 | 70.93 |
| Visiting Union | 5.90 | 4.22 | 7.42 |

Table 9.18 Female Youth Population by Age Group and Number of Children Ever Born: 2000

| Children Ever Born | Total Female Youth |  | Age Group of Female Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
|  | 16,934 | 100.0 | 8,335 | 100.0 | 8,599 | 100.0 |
| 0 | 12,798 | 75.58 | 7,607 | 91.27 | 5,191 | 60.37 |
| 1 | 3,036 | 17.93 | 645 | 7.74 | 2,391 | 27.81 |
| 2 | 848 | 5.01 | 74 | 0.89 | 774 | 9.00 |
| 3 | 190 | 1.12 | 9 | 0.11 | 181 | 2.10 |
| 4 | 45 | 0.27 | - | - | 45 | 0.52 |
| 5+ | 17 | 0.10 | - | - | 17 | 0.20 |

Note: Tables 9.17 and 9.18 exclude persons not reporting.

### 9.2.10 Heads of Households

The analysis which follows examines the characteristics of the heads of households in which the youth lived as well as the characteristics of the youth heads. Table 9.19 shows that more than one half, ( 54.5 per cent), of the youth, lived in female headed households. There was a slight difference in the proportions between adolescents and youths in female headed households.

Table 9.19 Youth Population by Age Group and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| S Sex of Head | Age Group of Youth |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Youth | $\mathbf{1 5}-\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}-\mathbf{2 4}$ |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Both Sexes | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 6 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 0 4}$ |
| Male | 16,564 | 8,477 | 8,087 |
| Female | 19,876 | 10,159 | 9,717 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Both Sexes | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Male | 45.46 | 45.49 | 45.42 |
| Female | 54.54 | 54.51 | 54.58 |

The majority of youths ( 46 per cent) were residents of households with heads between 45 and 64 years old. A close look at Table 9.20 which presents data on the age and sex of heads, shows that the proportion of youths found in households headed by men of this age was 52 per cent, twelve percentage points more than the proportion, ( 40 per cent), found in households headed by females in this age range. Approximately 6 per cent of all youths lived in households headed by youths. The differential between the proportions of adolescents and the proportions of young adults in households headed by youths was quite marked; approximately 2 per cent and 10 per cent respectively.

Table 9.20 Youth Population by Age and Age Group and Sex of Head of Household: 2000

| Age Group and Sex of Head | Total Youth (15-24) |  | Age Group of Youth |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 15-19 |  | 20-24 |  |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |
| Both Sexes | 36,440 | 100.0 | 18,636 | 100.0 | 17,804 | 100.0 |
| Under 25 | 2,091 | 5.74 | 349 | 1.87 | 1,742 | 9.78 |
| 25-44 | 12,745 | 34.98 | 7,766 | 41.67 | 4,979 | 27.97 |
| 45-64 | 16,614 | 45.59 | 7,915 | 42.47 | 8,699 | 48.86 |
| 65+ | 4,990 | 13.69 | 2,606 | 13.98 | 2,384 | 13.39 |
| Male Heads | 16,564 | 100.0 | 8,477 | 100.0 | 8,087 | 100.0 |
| Under 25 | 1,160 | 7.00 | 191 | 2.25 | 969 | 11.98 |
| 25-44 | 4,769 | 28.79 | 2,905 | 34.27 | 1,864 | 23.05 |
| 45-64 | 8,675 | 52.37 | 4,409 | 52.01 | 4,266 | 52.75 |
| 65+ | 1,960 | 11.83 | 972 | 11.47 | 988 | 12.22 |
| Female Heads | 19,876 | 100.0 | 10,159 | 100.0 | 9,717 | 100.0 |
| Under 25 | 931 | 4.68 | 158 | 1.56 | 773 | 7.96 |
| 25-44 | 7,976 | 40.13 | 4,861 | 47.85 | 3,115 | 32.06 |
| 45-64 | 7,939 | 39.94 | 3,506 | 34.51 | 4,433 | 45.62 |
| 65+ | 3,030 | 15.24 | 1,634 | 16.08 | 1,396 | 14.37 |

### 9.2.11 Youth Heads

Of the 1423 youth heads, 461 or 32.4 per cent lived alone (Table 9.21). Men accounted for 291 and women 170 of this total. The average size of households headed by persons 15-24 years old was 2.4; for men the average was 2.3 and for women 2.7.

Table 9.21 Youth Heads of Households by Sex and Household Size: 2000

| Size ofHousehold(No of Persons) | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | 1,423 | 772 | 651 |
| 1 | 461 | 291 | 170 |
| 2 | 403 | 222 | 181 |
| 3 | 260 | 120 | 140 |
| 4 | 171 | 80 | 91 |
| 5 | 69 | 31 | 38 |
| 6 | 31 | 18 | 13 |
| 7 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| 9 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 10+ | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| 1 | 32.40 | 37.69 | 26.11 |
| 2 | 28.32 | 28.76 | 27.80 |
| 3 | 18.27 | 15.54 | 21.51 |
| 4 | 12.02 | 10.36 | 13.98 |
| 5 | 4.85 | 4.02 | 5.84 |
| 6 | 2.18 | 2.33 | 2.00 |
| 7 | 1.05 | 0.91 | 1.23 |
| 8 | 0.56 | 0.39 | 0.77 |
| 9 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| 10+ | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.61 |
| Average hh size | 2.44 | 2.27 | 2.66 |

* excludes persons not reporting.

Table 9.22 Youth Heads of Households by Sex and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

| Level of Education | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 3 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 7}$ |
| Primary | 19 | 14 | 5 |
| Secondary | 969 | 554 | 415 |
| Tertiary | 400 | 183 | 217 |
|  | $\mathbf{3 y y}$ |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Primary | 1.37 | 1.86 | 0.78 |
| Secondary | 69.81 | 73.77 | 65.15 |
| Tertiary | 28.82 | 24.37 | 34.07 |

Note: Based on responses for the categories stated, only.

Table 9.23 Youth Heads of Households by Economic Activity Status in the Twelve Months preceding the Census by Sex: 2000

| Activity Status | Total | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | 1,385 | 748 | 637 |
| Economically Active | 1,204 | 693 | 511 |
| Employed | 1,131 | 661 | 470 |
| Seeking Work | 73 | 32 | 41 |
| Inactive | 181 | 55 | 126 |
|  | Percent of Total |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 86.93 | 92.65 | 80.22 |
| Employed | 81.66 | 88.37 | 73.78 |
| Seeking Work | 5.27 | 4.28 | 6.44 |
| Inactive | 13.07 | 7.35 | 19.78 |
|  |  | tes |  |
| Employment Rate | 93.94 | 95.38 | 91.98 |
| Job Seeking Rate | 6.06 | 4.62 | 8.02 |

Note: Excludes persons not reporting.

A profile of the educational level and economic activity status of youth heads is presented in Tables 9.22 and 9.23 . About 99 per cent of the youth heads had advanced beyond primary level; 70 per cent had attained a secondary level education while 29 per cent had attained tertiary level (Table 9.22).

In relation to the activity status, (Table 9.23), an estimated 87 per cent of all youth heads were economically active in the twelve months preceding the census. Employment was higher among male heads; the employment rate was 95 per cent compared to 92 per cent for females. This means that the job seeking rate among female heads was higher, 8 per cent, compared to the 5 per cent ratio for men.

## CHAPTER 10

## THE ELDERLY

### 10.1 Introduction

One of the most distinctive demographic events of the twentieth century has been population ageing. This is a natural result of the fertility declines and to a large extent, increases in life expectancy, both of which are consequences of the demographic transition. It has been widely recognized and accepted that world population is in the midst of an "unprecedented transformation brought about by the transition from a regime of high mortality and high fertility to one of low mortality and low fertility" (United Nations, 2005).

Three distinct stages of the transition and its effects on population age structures are generally identified. During the first, in a period of high fertility, the proportion of children increases. In the second phase, the fertility reductions which take place result in the reduction in the proportion of children, and the increases in the proportion of adults and older persons. The third stage which comes after lengthy periods of fertility and mortality declines is associated with declines in the proportions of children and adults of working ages. In this period, the only increases seen are for the proportions of older persons.

The United Nations reports that today, the major areas of the world are at different stages of the demographic transition. In an assessment of global trends in population ageing, the Caribbean has been placed in the second stage and the view is that because the region has experienced, on average, fairly rapid fertility declines, the populations are expected to age more rapidly than those of Europe and North America (now in the third stage), did, in the past. (United Nations, 2005).

The elderly represent a special group of the population and the increased proportions in the oldest age groups are a major consequence of the demographic transition. The United Nations Population Fund's (UNFPA) "State of the World Population 1998", Report referred to a "new
generation" of elderly people who will be healthier, better educated and more productive than its predecessors. The changing numerical importance of these older age groups may be examined from two broad age groups; 60 years and over, and 65 years and over.

### 10.2 Characteristics of the Elderly

### 10.2.1 Age and Sex

The discussion on the age distribution of the population of Barbados highlighted the simultaneous decline in the youngest population groups and the increase in the oldest groups. These increases are however quite minimal when viewed within the context of overall global changes in these groups. Tables 10.1 and 10.2 present the composition of and the changes which have occurred in these oldest population groups, 60 years and over and 65 years and over since 1990. In 2000, the count for the population 60 years and over was 38,336 and for the older group, 29,411 ; representative of 15.3 per cent and 11.8 per cent of the total population respectively. The change in numerical terms over the ten year period was 443 and 89 respectively. In percentage terms these represented movements of 1.2 per cent and a very minimal 0.3 per cent for the 60 years and over and the 65 years and over respectively.

Table 10.1 The Elderly Population by Sex and Age Group: 1990 and 2000

| Age Group | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  |  | 1990 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| All Ages | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8 , 7 3 2}$ |  |
| $60+$ years | 38,336 | 15,935 | 22,401 | 37,893 | 15,635 | 22,258 |  |
| $65+$ years | 29,411 | 11,966 | 17,445 | 29,322 | 11,939 | 17,383 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| $60+$ years | 15.33 | 13.29 | 17.22 | 15.32 | 13.19 | 17.29 |  |
| $65+$ years | 11.76 | 9.98 | 13.41 | 11.86 | 10.07 | 13.50 |  |

The changes in the male population exceeded changes seen for the females. In 1990, males 60 years and over and 65 years and over, numbered 15,635 and 11,939 respectively. In 2000, the group 60 years and over, increased by 1.9 per cent to 15,935 and the 65 years and over increased by only 0.2 per cent, to 11,966 . The comparative changes for the females for the 60 years and over group, was by 0.6 per cent, about one third of the change noted for males in this group from 22,258 to 22,401 . The increase for the 65 years and over was however, slightly higher for the women, 0.4 per cent, from 17,383 in 1990 to 17,445 in 2000.

Table 10.2 Elderly Population by Age Group and Sex: Changes between 1990 and 2000

| Age Group | Total |  | Male |  | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change | Absolute <br> Change | Percentage <br> Change |
|  | $\mathbf{2 , 7 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 3 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 5}$ |
| $60+$ years | 443 | 1.17 | 300 | 1.92 | 143 | 0.64 |
| $65+$ years | 89 | 0.30 | 27 | 0.23 | 62 | 0.36 |

Gender differences are a crucial component in the analysis of the elderly for despite recent higher levels of increase among males, women outnumber men at all ages. This pattern is in keeping with the global trends which have seen an increasing "feminization" of the elderly. The sex ratio for the 60 years and over was 71.1 per 100 and for the 65 years and over group there were only 69 men for every 100 women. These ratios are well below the 92.2 per 100 for all ages combined.

Table 10.3 Sex Ratio* of the Elderly by Age Group: 2000

| Age Group | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total All Age | $\mathbf{9 2 . 1 9}$ |
| 60+ years | 71.14 |
| 65+ years | 68.59 |

*Males per 100 Females

### 10.2.2 Marital Status

The majority of the population of both age cohorts were married; 42 per cent of the 60 years and over and 39 per cent of the older cohort. The pattern was the same for both sexes. More than a half of the men of both cohorts were married. For women, the proportions married were 31.5 per cent and 27.8 per cent of the younger and older age cohorts respectively. A close look at Table 10.4 which presents data on marital status shows that among the elderly, never married and widowed women far outnumbered never married and widowed elderly men. On the other hand, elderly, divorced men far outnumbered elderly divorced women.

Table 10.4 Elderly Population by Marital Status, Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Marital Status | $\mathbf{6 0 +}$ Years |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ Years |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Total | Male |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Female | Total | Male | Female |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 , 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 4 5}$ |  |
| Never Married | 7,625 | 966 | 6,659 | 5,715 | 629 | 5,086 |  |
| Married | 15,958 | 8,906 | 7,052 | 11,468 | 6,611 | 4,857 |  |
| Legally Separated | 960 | 470 | 490 | 692 | 340 | 352 |  |
| Divorced | 4,391 | 3,278 | 1,113 | 2,995 | 2,281 | 714 |  |
| Widowed | 8,724 | 2,007 | 6,717 | 7,998 | 1,878 | 6,120 |  |
| Not Stated | 678 | 308 | 370 | 543 | 227 | 316 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Never Married | 19.89 | 6.06 | 29.73 | 19.43 | 5.26 | 29.15 |  |
| Married | 41.63 | 55.89 | 31.48 | 38.99 | 55.25 | 27.84 |  |
| Legally Separated | 2.50 | 2.95 | 2.19 | 2.35 | 2.84 | 2.02 |  |
| Divorced | 11.45 | 20.57 | 4.97 | 10.18 | 19.06 | 4.09 |  |
| Widowed | 22.76 | 12.59 | 29.99 | 27.19 | 15.69 | 35.08 |  |
| Not Stated | 1.77 | 1.93 | 1.65 | 1.85 | 1.90 | 1.81 |  |

There were 6,569 women of 60 years and over, representing 30 per cent of all women in this age cohort who reported that they were never married. The number of never married men in the same age group was less than 1000. In percentage terms this represented 6 per cent of all men in the age group.

The pattern is the same for the older age cohort with 5086 women, 29 per cent of all women 65 years and over, reporting never married compared to 629 men, only 5 per cent of all men of this age. In numerical terms, widows 60 years and over were more than three times the number of widowers of the same age; 6,717 compared to 2007 and for the 65 years and over group, 6,120 compared to 1,878 widowers.

As evidence of a reversed situation for divorcees, the table shows that a total of 3,278 men 60 years and over, representing 21 per cent of all men in the age group were divorced. The number of divorced women of this age was about one third the number of men; 1,113 , only 5 per cent of the women in the age group. The pattern was the same for the older cohort, with the number of 2,281 divorced men compared to 714 divorced women. In percentage terms this represented 19 per cent of men and 4 per cent of the women aged 65 years and over.

### 10.2.3 Relationship to Head of Households

Of the 38,336 persons 60 years and over, 27,371 or 71 per cent were heads of households. They were almost equally divided between the sexes; 13,574 men and 13,797 women.

Table 10.5 which presents data on the relationship to head of household among the elderly population shows however, that in percentage terms, there was a marked differential because while male heads represented 85 per cent of all males aged 60 years and over, female heads represented a much lower 62 per cent of the females of that age.

Table 10.5 The Elderly Population by Relationship to Head of Household, Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Type of <br> Relationship | 60+Years |  |  |  | 65+ Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | $\mathbf{3 8 , 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 4 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Head | 27,371 | 13,574 | 13,797 | 21,346 | 10,241 | 11,105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spouse/Partner | 6,744 | 1,135 | 5,609 | 4,588 | 811 | 3,777 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Relative | 3,837 | 1,021 | 2,816 | 3,173 | 763 | 2,410 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Relative | 384 | 205 | 179 | 304 | 151 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Head | 71.40 | 85.18 | 61.59 | 72.58 | 85.58 | 63.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spouse/Partner | 17.59 | 7.12 | 25.04 | 15.60 | 6.78 | 21.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Relative | 10.01 | 6.41 | 12.57 | 10.79 | 6.38 | 13.81 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non Relative | 1.00 | 1.29 | 0.80 | 1.03 | 1.26 | 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The table also shows that among the older cohorts, female heads exceeded male heads by 8.4 per cent. Of the 21,346 heads 65 years and over, 11,105 or 52 per cent were female compared to 10,241 men.

With 85 per cent of all males 60 years and over being heads, only 7 per cent were spouses, 6 per cent were other relatives and 1 per cent was not related to the household head. For women, 25 per cent were spouses, 13 per cent were other relatives, 0.8 per cent was not related and 62 per cent were being heads.

### 10.2.4 Household Size

Of the total of 38,336 persons, 60 years and over 8,707 or 23 per cent were living alone. The number of elderly women living alone exceeded the number of elderly men; 4,738 were women and 3,969 were men.

Table 10.6 which presents data on household size, shows that in comparison to two age groups: $60+$ and $65+$, while in numerical terms there was a drop in single person households for both men and women of the same age, in terms of proportions there was an increase.

Table 10.6 The Elderly Population by Household Size, Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Size of Household <br> (Number of Persons) | 60+ Years |  |  |  | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male |  |  |  |  |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 , 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 4 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 8,707 | 3,969 | 4,738 | 7,168 | 3,101 | 4,067 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 11,777 | 5,032 | 6,745 | 9,212 | 3,939 | 5,273 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 6,390 | 2,532 | 3,858 | 4,750 | 1,799 | 2,951 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4,188 | 1,661 | 2,527 | 3,021 | 1,145 | 1,876 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2,870 | 1,056 | 1,814 | 2,096 | 738 | 1,358 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 1,853 | 727 | 1,126 | 1,363 | 538 | 825 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1,063 | 401 | 662 | 782 | 300 | 482 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8+$ | 1,488 | 557 | 931 | 1,019 | 406 | 613 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Per cent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 22.71 | 24.91 | 21.15 | 24.37 | 25.92 | 23.31 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 30.72 | 31.58 | 30.11 | 31.32 | 32.92 | 30.23 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 16.67 | 15.89 | 17.22 | 16.15 | 15.03 | 16.92 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 10.92 | 10.42 | 11.28 | 10.27 | 9.57 | 10.75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 7.49 | 6.63 | 8.10 | 7.13 | 6.17 | 7.78 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4.83 | 4.56 | 5.03 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 2.77 | 2.52 | 2.96 | 2.66 | 2.51 | 2.76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8+$ | 3.88 | 3.50 | 4.16 | 3.46 | 3.39 | 3.51 |  |  |  |  |  |

The number of men living alone was 3,969 at age 60 years and over and 3,101 for 65 years and over. While the number of women was 4,738 and 4,067 respectively for the same two age groups. In terms of proportion, for men it was 25 per cent and 26 per cent for the same age groups and the change for women was from 21 per cent to 23 per cent for the respective age groups.

The average size of the households in which most of the elderly lived, was about 3. The pattern was the same for both sexes; 83 per cent of men and 80 per cent of women 60 years and over lived in households of between 1 and 4 persons. For the older cohort, 65 years and over, the proportions for men and women living in households of between 1 and 4 persons, were 83 per cent and 80 per cent respectively

### 10.2.5 Tenure of Dwelling

Ninety per cent of all the elderly lived in owner-occupied dwellings, while 8 per cent rented or leased (see Table 10.7).

Table 10.7 The Elderly Population by Tenure of Dwelling, Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Type of Tenure | $\mathbf{6 0 +}$ Years |  |  |  | 65+ Years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male |  |  |  |  |  |  | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 8 , 3 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 , 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 9 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 4 4 5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned | 34.501 | 14,305 | 20,196 | 26,747 | 10,891 | 15,856 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rented/Leased | 3,041 | 1,289 | 1,752 | 2,049 | 828 | 1,221 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent Free | 610 | 250 | 360 | 477 | 184 | 293 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 184 | 91 | 93 | 138 | 63 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned | 90.00 | 89.77 | 90.16 | 90.94 | 91.02 | 90.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rented/Leased | 7.93 | 8.09 | 7.82 | 6.97 | 6.92 | 7.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rent Free | 1.59 | 1.57 | 1.61 | 1.62 | 1.54 | 1.68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 10.2.6 Economic Activity

Table 10.8 presents data on the economic activity status of the elderly in the twelve month period preceding the census. The vast majority of the elderly was not actively engaged in economic
activity. While just over 84 per cent of the 60 years and over population was inactive, by 65 years and over the proportion not active were 93.4 per cent.

Table 10.8 The Elderly Population by Economic Activity Status, Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Economic Activity Status | 60+ Years |  |  | 65+ Years |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Male | Female | Total | Male | Female |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 38,074 | 15,795 | 22,279 | 29,323 | 11,920 | 17,403 |
| Economically Active | 5,932 | 3,655 | 2,277 | 1,947 | 1,308 | 639 |
| Employed | 5,812 | 3,586 | 2,226 | 1,924 | 1,296 | 628 |
| Looked for Work | 120 | 69 | 51 | 23 | 12 | 11 |
| Inactive | 32,142 | 12,140 | 20,002 | 27,376 | 10,612 | 16,764 |
| Home Duties | 2,289 | 86 | 2,203 | 1,147 | 44 | 1,103 |
| Retired | 28,360 | 11,460 | 16,900 | 25,462 | 10,283 | 15,179 |
| Incapacitated | 772 | 319 | 453 | 409 | 153 | 256 |
| Other | 721 | 275 | 446 | 358 | 132 | 226 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 15.58 | 23.14 | 10.22 | 6.64 | 10.97 | 3.67 |
| Employed | 15.27 | 22.70 | 9.99 | 6.56 | 10.87 | 3.61 |
| Looked for Work | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| Inactive | 84.42 | 76.86 | 89.78 | 93.36 | 89.03 | 96.33 |
| Home Duties | 6.01 | 0.54 | 9.89 | 3.91 | 0.37 | 6.34 |
| Retired | 74.49 | 72.55 | 75.86 | 86.83 | 86.27 | 87.22 |
| Incapacitated | 2.03 | 2.02 | 2.03 | 1.39 | 1.28 | 1.47 |
| Other | 1.89 | 1.74 | 2.00 | 1.22 | 1.11 | 1.30 |

## Note: Excludes persons not reporting.

Women were more likely than men to be inactive; for the 60 years and over group, 90 per cent of women were inactive compared to 77 per cent of men. Among those 65 years and over, the proportions were 96 per cent for women and 89 per cent for men.

Retired persons represented the principal category for the economically inactive. A total of 28,360 of the 32,142 inactive persons, 60 years and over, were retired. By age 65 years and over the number of retired persons had fallen to 25,462 . Retired women exceeded retired men in percentage terms in both age groups.

About 5,900 persons 60 years and over were actively engaged in economic activity in 2000. Of these, 5,800 were employed and 120 were actually looking for work. By ages 65 years and over, the number of persons employed was 1924 and less than 25 persons were seeking work. Table 10.9 shows employment and job seeking rates for the population as a whole and for both elderly groups. The employment rate for the elderly was almost 100 per cent, 98 per cent for the 60 years and over and 99 per cent for the older cohort.

Table 10.9 Employment Rate and Job Seeking Rate of the Elderly Population by Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Age Group / Sex | Employment Rate | Job Seeking Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Population | $\mathbf{9 3 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 . 1 7}$ |
| Male | 94.89 | 5.11 |
| Female | 92.65 | 7.35 |
| Population 60+ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 0 2}$ |
| Male | 98.11 | 1.89 |
| Female | 97.76 | 2.24 |
| Population 65+ | $\mathbf{9 8 . 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$ |
| Male | 99.08 | .92 |
| Female | 98.28 | 1.72 |

This was 4 percentage points and 5 percentage points respectively, more than the 94 per cent employment rate for the population as a whole. The low job seeking rates of 1.9 per cent for men and 2 per cent for women 60 years and over respectively is indicative of the small number of persons seeking work.

Table 10.10 presents data on the source of livelihood for the elderly. As multiple answers were allowed, the data reflect responses and not persons. Of the majority of the elderly 60 years and over, 64 per cent depended on a local pension. Employment was the main source of livelihood for 12 per cent of the elderly. Public assistance in the form of disability, unemployment benefits and other public assistance represented 2 per cent of the sources of livelihood, while 7 per cent of responses indicated overseas pension.

Table 10.10 Sources of Livelihood of the Elderly ( 60 years and over) Population: 2000 (Number of Valid Responses)

| Source of Livelihood | Total Responses | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 9 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Local Pension | 31,795 | 63.94 |
| Overseas Pension | 3,459 | 6.96 |
| Investment | 746 | 1.50 |
| Overseas Remittances | 217 | 0.44 |
| Savings | 1,907 | 3.84 |
| Employment | 5,981 | 12.03 |
| Disability Benefit | 373 | 0.75 |
| Unemployment Benefit | 59 | 0.12 |
| Other Public Assistance | 482 | 0.97 |
| Local Contributions | 3,629 | 7.30 |
| Other Sources | 1,077 | 2.17 |

## CHAPTER 11

## GENDER INDICATIONS FROM THE CENSUS

### 11.1 Introduction

Gender issues have been described as 'any issue or concern determined by gender based or sex based differences between women and men' (United Nations Economic Commission for Europe, (UNECE). The 1975 World Conference on Women was the first international forum to recognize the importance of producing statistics on women and since that time, there has been an increased demand for disaggregated statistics on the sexes to support effective planning. At the same time however, there has been widespread recognition of the fact that gender statistics are not only statistics disaggregated by sex. Twenty years later, at the Beijing Conference in 1995, the issue of producing and disseminating gender statistics was comprehensively addressed for the first time and governments agreed on a set of important actions to "generate and disseminate gender-disaggregated data and information for planning and evaluation".

Gathering data about women and especially about their health, education and political and economic status has become a central part of the effort to monitor progress on the Beijing Plan of Action. In providing guidance for the production of statistics on gender, the UNECE advises that in order to provide meaningful statistics, account must be taken of national gender related issues in the data collection process. Indeed, all data collection, classification, analysis and interpretation must be guided by some conceptual framework. Bearing this in mind therefore, it can be conceded that the censuses as planned so far, are limited in their ability to provide the type of data required for any meaningful analysis of gender issues.

This analysis, based on data from the 2000 census of Barbados, will be confined to Economic Activity. Economic Activity is an area of focus for analysis of gender issues as the changes in the world economy with rapid globalization have impacted greatly on the economic realities faced by women and men in different ways. In their analysis of the 1990 regional census data from the gender perspective, in acknowledging the limitations of the census as a source of data for
meaningful analysis of gender issues related to economic activity, Alicia Mondesire and Leith Dunn commented, " the census does not capture all the details necessary to understand the social relations of gender, areas of discrimination against both males and females, as well as unpaid domestic and reproductive labour of women which is essential to support the remunerated areas of employment" (CARICOM, 1997).

Within the constraints of the data therefore, this discussion will examine to what extent, activity status, employment, job seeking, status in employment, occupation and industry appeared to be gender related. Analysis previously done on Economic Activity in Chapter 5 of this report, examined rates and indicators on the basis of each sex, with women's and men's respective totals used as the denominator. In this chapter, the indicators are defined in terms of the proportion within each category, that is, of either sex. Sex ratios are also presented for elaboration in some cases.

As discussed previously, the focus of the 2000 census of Barbados was the usual activity. The usual activity approach to measuring economic activity as proposed by the ILO is in relation to a long reference period such as a year. This is compared to the current activity or labour force approach which measures activity in relation to a short reference period such as one week. The reference period for the 2000 census of Barbados was the 12 months preceding May 1, 2000.

### 11.2 Activity Status

More than one half ( 52 per cent) of the population counted in the 2000 census of Barbados was women. Total females were 130,084 compared to 119,926 males (Table 11.1). The population 15 years and over which was the target of the economic activity questions showed a similar differential. Of the 195,409 persons identified for that age group, 53 per cent was females (Table 11.2).

Table 11.1 Population by Sex: 2000

| Item | Number of Persons | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Male | 119,926 | 47.97 |
| Female | 130,084 | 52.03 |

Table 11.2 Population 15 years and over by Sex: 2000

| Item | Number of Persons | Percent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 5 , 4 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Male | 92,259 | 47.21 |
| Female | 103,150 | 52.79 |

Table 11.3 presents the sex ratio of the population 15 years and over by activity status. What is immediately obvious is the high sex ratio (excess males), for the economically active population compared to the inactive population. For every 100 economically active women there were 110 economically active men. The situation is reversed for the inactive group, as for every 100 inactive women there were only 53 inactive men.

Table 11.3 Sex Ratio of Population 15 Years and Over by Activity Status: 2000

| Activity Status | Sex Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| Economically Active | $\mathbf{1 1 0 . 3 8}$ |
| Employed | 113.04 |
| Looked for Work | 76.77 |
| Inactive | $\mathbf{5 3 . 2 5}$ |
| Home Duties | 3.77 |
| Retired | 68.21 |

### 11.3 The Economically Active

Table 11.4 presents data on the categories comprising the economically active population by sex. The economically active population comprises the employed population and the unemployed job seekers. There were 122,500 employed persons of which 65,000 representing 53 per cent of the total employed, were men. On the other hand, women accounted for the majority of job seekers: 4,600 or 57 per cent of job seekers were women.

Table 11.4 Economically Active Population by Activity Status and Sex: 2000

| Item | Number of Persons | Percent of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Economically Active |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 5 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Men | 68,487 | 52.47 |
| Women | 62,047 | 47.53 |
|  | Employed |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Men | 64,987 | 53.06 |
| Women | 57,488 | 46.94 |
|  | Looked for Work |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Men | 3,500 | 43.43 |
| Women | 4,559 | 56.57 |

The age distribution of both groups, employed and job seekers is presented in Tables 11.5 and 11.6. For every age group among the employed, the number of men was higher than women. Sex ratios (the number of men per 100 women) range from 107 in the 25-44 years group to 206 in the oldest group, the $65 y$ years and over.

Table 11.5 Employed Population by Age Group, Sex and Sex Ratio: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 4 8 8}$ | 125.21 |
| $15-24$ | 16,949 | 9,423 | 7,526 | 107.11 |
| $25-44$ | 68,412 | 35,380 | 33,032 | 115.86 |
| $45-64$ | 35,190 | 18,888 | 16,302 | 206.37 |
| $65+$ | 1,924 | 1,296 | 628 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 9 4}$ |  |
| $15-24$ | 100.0 | 55.60 | 44.40 |  |
| $25-44$ | 100.0 | 51.72 | 48.28 |  |
| $45-64$ | 100.0 | 53.67 | 46.33 |  |
| $65+$ | 100.0 | 67.36 | 32.64 |  |

Table 11.6 Population Looking for Work by Age Group, Sex and Sex Ratio: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 , 0 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 5 9}$ | 93.94 |
| $15-24$ | 3,363 | 1,629 | 1,734 | 61.21 |
| $25-44$ | 3,545 | 1,346 | 2,199 | 83.41 |
| $45-64$ | 1,128 | 513 | 615 | 109.09 |
| $65+$ | 23 | 12 | 11 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 5 7}$ |  |
| $15-24$ | 100.0 | 48.44 | 51.56 |  |
| $25-44$ | 100.0 | 37.97 | 62.03 |  |
| $45-64$ | 100.0 | 45.48 | 54.52 |  |
| $65+$ | 100.0 | 52.17 | 47.83 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Among the job seekers, it was only among the oldest, the 65 years and over that the number of men exceeded the number of women. More than one half of the population in all age groups between 15 and 64 were women. The proportions of women in this group ranged from a high of 62 per cent for ages between 25 and 44 years, to a low of 52 per cent in the youngest group, 1524 years.

### 11.4 Status in Employment

Table 11.7 relates to employed persons only and presents data on the status in employment. Employment status, as previously defined in the discussion on economic activity, refers to the status of the person with respect to his or her employment, that is, the contract of employment with other persons or organizations. The table identifies four categories; government employed, employees in private enterprise, employees in private households and unpaid workers.

Table 11.7 Employed Population by Sex and Status in Employment: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Employment Status | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 1 , 2 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 9 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 , 2 7 3}$ |
| Government | 26,092 | 13,064 | 13,028 |
| Private Enterprise | 70,947 | 36,993 | 33,954 |
| Private Households | 4,195 | 933 | 3,262 |
| Unpaid Workers | 36 | 7 | 29 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 6 4}$ |
| Government | 100.0 | 50.07 | 49.93 |
| Private Enterprise | 100.0 | 52.14 | 47.86 |
| Private Households | 100.0 | 22.24 | 77.76 |
| Unpaid Workers | 100.0 | 19.44 | 80.56 |

The number of workers employed in the government was equally divided between the two sexes, for of the 26,092 persons, 13,064 were men and 13,028 were women. The differential between
the sexes was however, more marked for persons in the other categories. The more men employed in private enterprise and the predominance of women employed among the smaller numbers working in private households and also largely engaged as unpaid workers is quite evident from the table. Men accounted for 52 per cent of the 70,947 persons employed in private enterprise. On the other hand, 3,262 or 78 per cent of the 4,195 persons employed in private households and 29 of the 36 persons classified as unpaid workers were women.

### 11.5 Educational Attainment of the Economically Active

Tables 11.8 present data on the level of educational attainment of the economically active population in the prime working ages of 15-44 years. The table shows that while more than one half of the persons who had attained primary or secondary level education were men, the proportion of women exceeded that for the men among the economically active who had attained tertiary level education. .

Table 11.8 Economically Active Population 15-44 Years Old by Sex and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Level of Education | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 0 , 4 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 6 6 7}$ | 158.20 |
| Primary | 4,188 | 2,566 | 1,622 | 156.38 |
| Secondary | 63,427 | 33,978 | 29,449 | 115.38 |
| Tertiary | 22,807 | 10,211 | 12,596 | 81.07 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 2 9}$ |  |
| Primary | 100.0 | 61.27 | 38.73 |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 53.57 | 46.43 |  |
| Tertiary | 100.0 | 44.77 | 55.23 |  |

Note: Excludes 31 persons with no education and 1,818 persons not reporting or reporting a type

Women accounted for 55 per cent of the total economically active population between the ages of 15 and 44 years, who had attained tertiary level education. On the other hand, men accounted for 61 per cent and 54 per cent respectively of those attaining primary or secondary level education

This pattern noted above was reflected among those employed. Among those looking for work, females dominated at every educational level. (Tables 11.9 and 11.10).

Table 11.9 Employed Population 15-44 years old by Sex, Highest Level of Educational Attainment and Sex Ratio: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Education | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 3 , 6 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 8 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 , 8 1 9}$ | 167.19 |
| Primary | 3,933 | 2,461 | 1,472 | 120.04 |
| Secondary | 57,597 | 31,421 | 26,176 | 81.81 |
| Tertiary | 22,128 | 9,957 | 12,171 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 . 6 0}$ |  |
| Primary | 100.0 | 62.57 | 37.43 |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 54.55 | 45.45 |  |
| Tertiary | 100.0 | 45.00 | 55.00 |  |

Note: Excludes 27 persons with no education and 1,676 persons not reporting or reporting a type not classifiable by level.

Table 11.10 Population 15-44 years old Looking for Work by Sex, Highest Level of Educational Attainment and Sex Ratio: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level of Education | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 , 7 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 9 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 8 4 8}$ |  |
| Primary | 255 | 105 | 150 | 78.12 |
| Secondary | 5,830 | 2,557 | 3,273 | 59.76 |
| Tertiary | 679 | 254 | 425 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 . 8 9}$ |  |
| Primary | 100.0 | 41.18 | 58.82 |  |
| Secondary | 100.0 | 43.86 | 56.14 |  |
| Tertiary | 100.0 | 37.41 | 62.59 |  |

Note: Excludes 4 persons with no education and 142 persons not reporting or reporting a type not classifiable by level.

### 11.6 Occupation

Table 11.11 which presents the sex distribution of the employed within eight main occupation groups shows that women dominated three groups while men dominated five.

There were four times as many women as men who were employed as clerical workers and secretaries; 12,477 compared to 3,108 ( 80 per cent to 20 per cent) and approximately 64 per cent of the 32,044 persons employed as Service Workers and Shop and Market Sales Workers were women.

In comparison, the male dominated occupations were Craft and Related Trades, Skilled Agricultural and Fishery Workers Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers in which eight out of ten of the workers employed were men: 89 per cent and 85 per cent respectively and Elementary Occupations which employed twice as many men as women ( 7,308 or 67 per cent).

Table 11.11 Employed Population by Sex and Occupational Group: 2000

| Occupational Group | Total | Men | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  | Sex <br> Ratio |
| Total | 122,477 | 64,989 | 57,488 | 113.05 |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 9,350 | 5,459 | 3,891 | 140.30 |
| Professionals, Technicians and Associate Professionals | 22,530 | 11,017 | 11,513 | 95.69 |
| Clerical and Secretarial Workers | 15,585 | 3,108 | 12,477 | 24.91 |
| Service Workers and Shop and Market Sales Workers | 32,044 | 11,697 | 20,347 | 57.49 |
| Skilled Agricultural and Fishery Workers | 3,298 | 2,809 | 489 | 574.44 |
| Craft and Related Trades Workers | 17,696 | 15,661 | 2,035 | 769.58 |
| Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers | 8,450 | 6,523 | 1,927 | 338.51 |
| Elementary Occupations | 10,857 | 7,308 | 3,549 | 205.92 |
| Not Stated | 2,667 | 1,407 | 1,260 | 111.67 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 53.06 | 46.94 |  |
| Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers | 100.0 | 58.39 | 41.61 |  |
| Professionals, Technicians and Associate Professionals | 100.0 | 48.90 | 51.10 |  |
| Clerical and Secretarial Workers | 100.0 | 19.94 | 80.06 |  |
| Service Workers and Shop and Market Sales Workers | 100.0 | 36.50 | 63.50 |  |
| Skilled Agricultural and Fishery Workers | 100.0 | 85.17 | 14.83 |  |
| Craft and Related Trades Workers | 100.0 | 88.50 | 11.50 |  |
| Plant and Machine Operators and Assemblers | 100.0 | 77.20 | 22.80 |  |
| Elementary Occupations | 100.0 | 67.31 | 32.69 |  |
| Not Stated | 100.0 | 52.76 | 47.24 |  |

There was an excess of males over females in the group Legislators, Senior Officials and Managers as 58 per cent of those employed in this group were men.

This was 12 percentage points more than the proportion of women, a differential which was much less pronounced than observed for the other male dominated groups. The gender differential for the group of Professionals, Technicians and Associate Professionals was a minimal 2 per cent with women having a slight edge. The number of persons reporting employment in this occupation group was 22,530 of which 11,500 or 51 per cent were women.

### 11.7 Industry

Table 11.12 presents the sex distribution of the employed population in nine industry groups. More than one half of the employed in six of these groups were men. Women exceeded men in the areas of Community, Social and Personal Services, Financial Insurance, Real Estate and Business Services and Wholesale and Retail Trades. The sex ratio was lowest for the first named group, as for every 100 females employed, there were 68 men.

The largest percentage of men employed in any industry was found in the Construction industry where 94 per cent of the employed were men. Other industries where men comprised more than four fifths of the employed were Mining and Quarrying ( 85 per cent) and Electricity, Gas and Water (81 per cent). Other male dominated industries were Transport, Storage and Communication, Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and Fishing and Manufacturing. Of the 4,461 employed in Agriculture, 3,184 or 71 per cent were men and more than one half ( 55 per cent) of persons employed in Manufacturing were also men. For the Financial Services and Wholesale and Retail Trade groups there were 73 and 82 men to every 100 women employed, respectively.

Table 11.12 Employed Population by Sex and Industrial Group: 2000

| Industrial Group | Total | Men | Women |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  | Sex Ratio |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 2 , 4 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 , 9 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 . 0 4}$ |  |
| Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and | 4,461 | 3,184 | 1,277 | 249.33 |  |
| Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining and Quarrying | 339 | 287 | 52 | 551.92 |  |
| Manufacturing | 12,312 | 6,778 | 5,534 | 122.48 |  |
| Electricity Gas and Water | 1,280 | 1,040 | 240 | 433.33 |  |
| Construction | 12,782 | 12,057 | 725 | $1,663.03$ |  |
| Wholesale and Retail | 31,608 | 14,319 | 17,289 | 82.82 |  |
| Transport, Storage and Communication | 7,742 | 5,644 | 2,098 | 269.02 |  |
| Financial, Insurance, Real Estate and | 13,361 | 5,623 | 7,738 | 72.67 |  |
| Business Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community, Social and Personal Services | 35,683 | 14,470 | 21,213 | 68.21 |  |
| Not Stated | 2,907 | 1,585 | 1,322 | 119.89 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 . 9 4}$ |  |  |
| Agriculture, Hunting, Forestry and | 100.0 | 71.37 | 28.63 |  |  |
| Fishing |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mining and Quarrying | 100.0 | 84.66 | 15.34 |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 100.0 | 55.05 | 44.95 |  |  |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 100.0 | 81.25 | 18.75 |  |  |
| Construction | 100.0 | 94.33 | 5.67 |  |  |
| Wholesale and Retail | 100.0 | 45.30 | 54.70 |  |  |
| Transport, Storage and Communication | 100.0 | 72.90 | 27.10 |  |  |
| Financial, Insurance, Real Estate and | 100.0 | 42.09 | 57.91 |  |  |
| Business Services |  |  |  |  |  |
| Community, Social and Personal Services | 100.0 | 40.55 | 59.45 |  |  |
| Not Stated | 100.0 | 54.52 | 45.48 |  |  |

### 11.8 The Economically Inactive

The population classified as inactive was 57,889 . Women numbered 37,774 , almost twice the 20,115 men. The inactive group includes persons involved in home duties, students, retired and incapacitated persons. Table 11.13 presents retired persons and those engaged in home duties which represented the principal categories of the economically inactive, 29,111 and 11,543 respectively.

Table 11.13 Inactive Population by Type of Activity and Sex: 2000

| Type of Activity | Total | Men | Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 7 , 8 8 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 1 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 , 7 7 4}$ |
| Retired | 29,111 | 11,805 | 17,306 |
| Home Duties | 11,543 | 419 | 11,124 |
| Other Inactive | 17,235 | 7,891 | 9,344 |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 . 2 5}$ |
| Retired | 100.0 | 40.55 | 59.45 |
| Home Duties | 100.0 | 3.63 | 96.37 |
| Other Inactive | 100.0 | 45.78 | 54.22 |

The imbalance between the sexes among the population classified as being engaged in home duties was very marked, as the overwhelming majority of the 11,543 persons classified were women; 11,124 or 96 per cent.

For every age group among the population engaged in home duties, the predominance of the women can be observed. More than 90 per cent of the inactive population in all age groups, 15 years and over were women (Table 11.14).

Table 11.14 Population Engaged in Home Duties by Age Group, Sex and Sex Ratio: 2000

|  | Total | Men | Women | Sex Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age Group | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 , 5 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 2 4}$ | 8.40 |
| $15-24$ | 1,007 | 78 | 929 | 3.19 |
| $25-44$ | 4,394 | 136 | 4,258 | 3.33 |
| $45-64$ | 4,995 | 161 | 4,834 | 3.99 |
| $65+$ | 1,147 | 44 | 1,103 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 3 7}$ |  |
| $15-24$ | 100.0 | 7.75 | 92.25 |  |
| $25-44$ | 100.0 | 3.10 | 96.90 |  |
| $45-64$ | 100.0 | 3.22 | 96.78 |  |
| $65+$ | 100.0 | 3.84 | 96.16 |  |

## CHAPTER 12

## UNION STATUS AND FERTILITY

### 12.1 Introduction

The discussion on Marital Status in Chapter 2 indicated that the more realistic approach to the study of West Indian family formation is through the study of union status. Union status refers to the actual de facto unions in which couples are involved. The classification of the three recognized union types is made on the basis of two criteria; the presence or absence of legal sanction and whether or not the partners share a common household. The existence of these types of unions have important implications for fertility, as each type is characterized by different levels of stability and consequently varying levels of exposure to the risk of childbearing. Historically, the treatment of union status in the Caribbean was confined to women only and in the context of fertility only. In more recent censuses, the topic has focused on both men and women and is considered also for the study of family forms.

Barbados has retained the historical approach and in the 2001 census, questions on Union Status and Fertility were asked only of women and only of the age group 15-64 years old. Questions related to the number of live born children ever had and the date of birth of the first and last live born. Women 15-49 years old only were asked an additional question on the number of live births had during the past twelve months.

The union status types recognized were as follows: with husband (married and living with husband), with common law partner (living with a common law partner), no longer living with husband or partner (previously had a husband or partner but separated through death or divorce) and never had husband or partner. This last named category refers to women who while they never had a husband or partner, could have been in a visiting relationship). The category visiting describes a non-legal, non-residential sexual relationship. For the census, this was a residual category derived from the question on births in the past twelve months. All women who had a
live birth in the past twelve months and who were neither in a married or a common law union, were classified as in a visiting union.

### 12.2 Type of Union

Table 12.1 presents data showing the number of women, 15-64 years old, by union status at census 2000. The majority of women never had a husband or common law partner. Just about 35,000 women representing 41 per cent of the total were assigned to this category. For women in unions the data show a greater involvement in married unions compared to common law unions. More than one fifth ( 23 per cent) of all the women were living with husbands compared to 11 per cent who were living with a common law partner. The table shows that about 10,700 women or 12.5 per cent of the total had been in a married or common law union in the past but were currently without a partner or a husband.

Table 12.1 Females 15-64 Years Old by Union Status: 2000

| Union Status | Number of Persons | Per Cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 5 , 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| With Husband | 19,643 | 22.92 |
| With Common law Partner | 9,500 | 11.08 |
| No longer with Husband/Partner | 10,696 | 12.48 |
| Never Had Husband/Partner | 34,916 | 40.74 |
| Not Stated | 10,950 | 12.78 |

Table 12.2 which presents the age distribution of the women classified by the union status categories shows that participation in unions those who no longer with the husband increased with age from 2.19 per cent in 15-24 age group to 8.72 per cent in $25-44$ age group finally to 25.7 per cent in 45-64 age group. The proportions for women who had never been in a married or in a common law union were highest for the youngest ( 69.4 per cent) and lowest ( 19.6 per cent) for the oldest. Conversely, the proportions in these unions were lowest for the youngest and highest for the oldest.

Table 12.2 Females 15-64 Years Old by Age Group and Union Status: 2000

| Union Status | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total |  | $\mathbf{1 5 - 2 4}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{2 5 - 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 - 6 4}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{1 8 , 0 9 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 6 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 0 0 5}$ |  |  |  |
| With Husband | 19,643 | 429 | 10,436 | 8,778 |  |  |  |
| With Common Law Partner | 9,500 | 1,164 | 6,185 | 2,151 |  |  |  |
| No longer with | 10,696 | 397 | 3,629 | 6,670 |  |  |  |
| Husband/Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never Had Husband/Partner | 34,916 | 12,548 | 17,276 | 5,092 |  |  |  |
| Not Stated | 10,950 | 3,555 | 4,081 | 3,314 |  |  |  |
|  |  | Per Cent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |
| With Husband | 22.92 | 2.37 | 25.08 | 33.76 |  |  |  |
| With Common Law Partner | 11.08 | 6.43 | 14.87 | 8.27 |  |  |  |
| No Longer with | 12.48 | 2.19 | 8.72 | 25.65 |  |  |  |
| Husband/Partner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never Had Husband/Partner | 40.74 | 69.35 | 41.51 | 19.58 |  |  |  |
| Not Stated | 12.78 | 19.65 | 9.81 | 12.74 |  |  |  |

Approximately 12,500 women representing more than two thirds ( 69 per cent of women under 25 years old), had never been in a married or common law union. Only about 11 per cent of this age group had been in such unions whether at present or at some time in the past. At ages 45-64 years on the other hand, more than two thirds, ( 68 per cent of women) had been in married or common law unions at some time and only 20 per cent had never been in such unions.

There were about 10,700 women, representing 12 per cent of women 15-64 years, who had been in married and common law unions previously but at the time of the census, no longer were in that union status. The age group with the highest proportion of these women was the oldest group, the 45-64 years. There were 6,670 women in this age group, representing just over a quarter of all women in the group, who were classified in this way.

### 12.3 Fertility

The discussion on fertility will be confined to an examination of the data on children ever born. Census data on fertility are not intended for the purpose of analyzing current fertility, as this is most effectively undertaken through the use of vital statistics derived from the Civil Registration System. Census data present a pattern of cumulative fertility. The data on children ever born form the basis for deriving estimates on the proportion of women who are mothers and children ever born to them.

The discussion presented, examines fertility within this context in relation to age, union type and educational status of women.

### 12.4 Age

Table 12.3 shows the number of females 15-64 years old by five year age groups. The totals are presented for two broad age groups; the 15-49 years, representing women in their reproductive years and the 50-64 years group, women who have completed their childbearing. Of the 85,705 women in the entire group, just over 59,000 , representing more than two thirds ( 69 per cent), were mothers. The table shows that the proportion increased markedly with age, from 17 per cent among the youngest, to 88 per cent among the oldest. The proportion for the 15-49 years group was 64 per cent, a difference of nearly 25 per cent compared to the proportion for the 50-64 years group.

In considering the average number of children, Table 12.4 shows averages for all women and averages for mothers. The number of children born to the 85,705 women and 59,051 mothers was $135,992$.

Table 12.3 Proportion of Mothers 15-64 Years Old by Age Group: 2000

| Age Group of <br> woman | Total Women | Women <br> with no <br> Children | Number of <br> Mothers | Per Cent <br> Mothers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 15-64 | $\mathbf{8 5 , 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 6 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 9 0}$ |
| Total 15-49 | $\mathbf{6 8 , 4 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 5 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 2 1}$ |
| Total 50-64 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 1 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 . 5 5}$ |
| $15-19$ | 9,202 | 7,607 | 1,595 | 17.33 |
| $20-24$ | 8,891 | 5,191 | 3,700 | 41.62 |
| $25-29$ | 9,980 | 4,032 | 5,948 | 59.60 |
| $30-34$ | 10,014 | 2,629 | 7,385 | 73.75 |
| $35-39$ | 11,102 | 2,154 | 8,948 | 80.60 |
| $40-44$ | 10,511 | 1,641 | 8,870 | 84.39 |
| $45-49$ | 8,767 | 1,254 | 7,513 | 85.70 |
| $50-54$ | 7,075 | 894 | 6,181 | 87.36 |
| $55-59$ | 5,207 | 645 | 4,562 | 87.61 |
| $60-64$ | 4,956 | 607 | 4,349 | 87.75 |

Table 12.4 Average Number of Children Per Woman/Mother by Age Group of Women: 2000

| Age Group of <br> woman | Total <br> Women | Number <br> of <br> Mothers | Number of <br> Children | Average Children |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Per <br> Woman |  |  |  |
| Total 15-64 | $\mathbf{8 5 , 7 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 , 0 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 5 , 9 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ |
| Total 15-49 | $\mathbf{6 8 , 4 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 9 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 , 4 8 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 9}$ |
| Total 50-64 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 0 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 , 5 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ |
| $15-19$ | 9,202 | 1,595 | 820 | 0.09 | 0.51 |
| $20-24$ | 8,891 | 3,700 | 4,752 | 0.53 | 1.28 |
| $25-29$ | 9,980 | 5,948 | 9,526 | 0.95 | 1.60 |
| $30-34$ | 10,014 | 7,385 | 14,150 | 1.41 | 1.92 |
| $35-39$ | 11,102 | 8,948 | 19,210 | 1.73 | 2.15 |
| $40-44$ | 10,511 | 8,870 | 20,498 | 1.95 | 2.31 |
| $45-49$ | 8,767 | 7,513 | 18,526 | 2.11 | 2.47 |
| $50-54$ | 7,075 | 6,181 | 17,824 | 2.52 | 2.88 |
| $55-59$ | 5,207 | 4,562 | 15,191 | 2.92 | 3.33 |
| $60-64$ | 4,956 | 4,349 | 15,495 | 3.13 | 3.56 |

The resulting averages for all women 15-64 years old were 1.6 per woman and 2.3 per mother. The pattern presented by the age distribution was of averages which increased with age. Average children per woman and per mother was 1.3 and 2 respectively for women 15-49 years old compared to 2.8 and 3.2 respectively for women 50-64 years old.

### 12.5 Union Status

In examining fertility in relation to union status it must be borne in mind that the union status reflected is the type existing at the time of the census. Women pass from one union type to another in the course of the childbearing period and the fertility reflected is actually the product of past union types which may or may not be that prevailing at the census date. Tables 12.5 and 12.6 show the proportion of mothers and the average number of children by union type.

Table 12.5 Proportion of Mothers 15-64 Years Old by Union Status: 2000

| Union Status | Total <br> Women | Women <br> with no <br> Children | Number of <br> Mothers | Per Cent of <br> Mothers |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total 15-64 Reporting | $\mathbf{7 4 , 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 5 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 0 . 3 3}$ |
| With Husband | 19,643 | 2,510 | 17,133 | 87.22 |
| With Common Law Partner | 9,500 | 1,398 | 8,102 | 85.28 |
| No Longer With Husband/ | 10,696 | 1,515 | 9,181 | 85.84 |
| Partner |  |  |  | 52.01 |
| Never Had Husband/Partner | 34,916 | 16,757 | 18,159 | 5 |

## Note:Based on women reporting Union Status and Children only.

Of the 74,755 women reporting, 70 per cent were mothers. The highest proportion of mothers was to be found among women who were in married unions and the lowest proportion was presented by women who had never been in a married or common law union. The proportion of married women who were mothers was 87 per cent. The differential between women who were currently in common law unions and women who were not currently in any union but had been in a married or common law relationship in the past was only minimal with the latter being slightly higher: 85.8 per cent compared to 85.3 per cent. The proportion of mothers among women who had never had a husband or partner, including women currently in visiting unions was 52 per cent.

Table 12.6 Average Number of Children per Woman/Mother by Union Status of Women: 2000

| Union Status | Total <br> Women | Total <br> Mothers | Number <br> of | Average Children <br> per |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Children |  |  |
| Total 15-64 Reporting | $\mathbf{7 4 , 7 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 5 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 4 , 4 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 7}$ |
| With Husband | 19,643 | 17,133 | 42,543 | 2.17 | 2.48 |
| With Common Law Partner | 9,500 | 8,102 | 19,990 | 2.10 | 2.47 |
| No Longer with Husband/ | 10,696 | 9,181 | 26,675 | 2.49 | 2.91 |
| Partner |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never had Husband/Partner | 34,916 | 18,159 | 35,242 | 1.01 | 1.94 |

## Note: Based on Women reporting Union Status and Children only.

With regards to the average number of children by union type, (Table 12.6) the average was highest for women no longer in a married or a common law union, 2.5 per woman and 2.9 per mother. In numerical terms, it was the 17,133 married mothers who recorded the largest number of children, 42, 543. Averages for this group were 2.2 per woman and 2.5 per mother. The approximately 8,100 mothers in common law unions produced 19,990 children, thereby yielding an average of 2.1 and 2.5 per woman and per mother respectively. The lowest averages, 1 per woman and 2 per mother were observed for the 18,159 mothers who had never been in a married or common law union. Interestingly this group recorded the second highest number of children, 35,242.

### 12.6 Educational Attainment

Categories defined for educational attainment are below secondary, secondary, tertiary and other. Below secondary includes all women with pre-primary and primary level of education as well as the small number of women (97) who reported that they had no education. The 'other' category which is included for all variables in the census is not usually clearly identified as being able to be related to any of the clearly stated categories. This makes meaningful comparisons difficult.

Table 12.7 which presents the proportion of mothers by highest level of educational attainment, shows overall, a declining proportion of mothers as educational level increases. Approximately 90 per cent of the women classified with below secondary level education were mothers. This compared with 70 per cent for women with secondary level and 56 per cent for women with tertiary level education. The proportion for women classified as 'other' was 63 per cent.

Table 12.7 Proportion of Mothers 15-64 Years Old by Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

| Level of Education | Total <br> Women | Women <br> with no <br> Children | Number of <br> Mothers | Per Cent of <br> Mothers |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total 15-64 Reporting | $\mathbf{8 4 , 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 4 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 9 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 8 . 6 5}$ |
| Below Secondary | 9,131 | 924 | 8,207 | 89.88 |
| Secondary | 53,494 | 15,931 | 37,563 | 70.22 |
| Tertiary | 20,849 | 9,258 | 11,591 | 55.60 |
| Other | 960 | 354 | 606 | 63.13 |

Note: Based on women reporting Educational Attainment and Children only.

Table 12.8 shows that the average number of children declined with increased levels of education. From a high of 3.1 and 3.4 per woman and per mother respectively for women with a level of education classified as below secondary, the averages declined to 1.6 and 2.2 per woman and per mother respectively for women who attained secondary level to the lowest, 1 and 2 per woman and per mother respectively, for women with tertiary level education. For women reported as having a level of education classified as 'other' the average per woman was 1.4 and per mother, 2.2.

Table 12.8 Average Number of Children per Woman/Mother by Highest Level of Educational Attainment of Women: 2000

|  |  |  |  | Level of Education | Total <br> Women |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> Mothers | Number <br> of <br> Children |  |  | Per <br> Mother |
| Total 15-64 | $\mathbf{8 4 , 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 , 9 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 4 , 0 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ |
| Reporting |  |  |  |  |  |
| Below Secondary | 9,131 | 8,207 | 27,874 | 3.05 | 3.40 |
| Secondary | 53,494 | 37,563 | 84,314 | 1.58 | 2.24 |
| Tertiary | 20,849 | 11,591 | 20,540 | 0.99 | 1.77 |
| Other | 960 | 606 | 1,359 | 1.42 | 2.24 |

Note: Based on Women reporting Educational Attainment and Children only.

## CHAPTER 13

## HOUSEHOLD HEADS

### 13.1 Introduction

In Chapter 2, the section on Household Composition and Relationships identified a total of 83,026 household heads. The United Nations states that "it is traditional to identify first the household head or reference person and then the remaining members of the household according to their relationship to the head or reference person"(United Nations, 1998). The United Nations further recommends that countries may use the term they deem most appropriate to identify this person.

For the 2000 census of Barbados, the head was the person, man or woman, whom that household acknowledged to be the head. He or she may or may not have been the chief breadwinner.

This chapter will examine the characteristics of those persons identified as household heads in the 2000 census of Barbados. The characteristics to be described are as follows:
age and sex; union status; educational attainment; economic activity; occupation and industry.

### 13.2 Relationships

Table 13.1 presents the total population of 250,010 , by sex and relationship to head. There were 83,026 heads for each of the households counted in the census. The number of male heads was 46,098 compared to 36,928 female heads.

Table 13.1 Population by Relationship to Head and Sex: 2000

| Relationship | Total | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 9 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 3}$ |
| Head | 83,026 | 46,098 | 36,928 |
| Spouse/Partner | 33,517 | 5,655 | 27,862 |
| Child | 86,350 | 44,216 | 42,134 |
| Grandchild | 22,944 | 11,792 | 11,152 |
| Other Relatives | 18,524 | 9,083 | 9,441 |
| Non-Relatives | 5,649 | 3,083 | 2,566 |

Table 13.2 is based on the population excluding the heads and shows the relationships to male and female heads separately. There were more children and grandchildren of the head in the female headed households compared to the male headed ones. The largest group of persons in both male and female headed households was children of the head or spouse. There were 86,350 children indicating on average, one child per household. More than one half ( 56 per cent) of the members of the female headed households was children. The proportion of children in the male headed households was lower - 48 per cent. Grandchildren formed the next largest group in the female headed households, totaling 16,522: or one in five persons in the female headed households were grandchildren of the head. This number was one and one half times more than the 6,422 grandchildren in the male headed households.

Relationships within households as it relates to head and spouse must be viewed within the context of the marital and union status of the population. Table 2.8 in Chapter 2, showed that there were 28,647 married men and 28,799 married women identified in the 2000 census. Table 13.2 shows that there were 27,862 spouses in households headed by 46,098 men. This compared to only 5,655 male spouses in households headed by 36,928 women. It would appear therefore that the married females are in households headed by their husbands, while female heads are for the most part, are not living with spouses.

Table 13.2 Population (excluding head) by Relationship to Head and Sex of Head: 2000

| Relationship to | Total |  | Sex of Head |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 6 6 , 9 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 , 4 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{F e m a l e}$ |  |
| Spouse/Partner | 33,517 | 27,862 | $\mathbf{8 0 , 5 6 3}$ |  |
| Child | 86,350 | 41,221 | 5,655 |  |
| Grandchild | 22,944 | 6,422 | 45,129 |  |
| Other Relatives | 18,524 | 7,596 | 16,522 |  |
| Non-Relatives | 5,649 | 3,320 | 10,928 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 2,329 |  |
| Spouse/Partner | 20.07 | 32.24 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Child | 51.71 | 47.70 | 7.02 |  |
| Grandchild | 13.74 | 7.43 | 56.02 |  |
| Other Relative | 11.09 | 8.79 | 20.51 |  |
| Non-Relative | 3.38 | 3.84 | 13.56 |  |
|  | Sex Distribution |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 1 . 7 5}$ | 2.89 |  |  |
| Spouse/Partner | 100.0 | 83.13 | $\mathbf{4 8 . 2 5}$ |  |
| Child | 100.0 | 47.74 | 16.87 |  |
| Grandchild | 100.0 | 27.99 | 52.26 |  |
| Other Relative | 100.0 | 41.01 | 72.01 |  |
| Non-Relative | 100.0 | 58.77 | 58.99 |  |

Table 13.3 which presents data on the female heads $15-64$ years old by union status supports this finding of few spouses in female-headed households, as just under eight out of ten of these females were no longer living with a husband or common law partner ( 42 per cent) or in a visiting relationship ( 36 per cent). Only 8 per cent was living with a husband, while 13 per cent was living with a common law partner.

Table 13.3 Female Heads 15-64 Years Old by Union Status: 2000

| Union Status | Number of <br> Persons | Per cent of Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Living with Husband | 1,733 | 7.63 |
| Living with Common Law Partner | 2,941 | 12.95 |
| In Visiting Relationship | 8,194 | 36.09 |
| No Longer Living with Husband or | 9,550 | 42.07 |
| Common Law Partner | 284 | 1.25 |
| Never Had Husband or Common Law |  |  |
| Partner |  |  |

## Note: Excludes 3,121 women who did not report union status

### 13.3 Age

There is no indication that there was a minimum age limit set for heads in the 2000 census of Barbados. The data in Table 13.4 show a small number of heads, 127 who were under 20 years old. The proportion of heads among both males and females increases with age from 6 per cent in the 20-29 years group and reaches a peak at ages 40-49 years. Approximately 12,000 men representing 26 per cent of all male heads and 8,300 women, 23 per cent of female heads, were in this age group. From age 50 years the numerical and percentage decline begin. Only 5 per cent and 9 per cent of male and female heads respectively were 80 years and over.

The median age, the age which divides the age distribution in one half, was 52 years for men and 50 years for women.

Table 13.4 Heads of Households by Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Age Group | Sotal | Sex of Head |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 0 9 8}$ | Female |
| Under 20 | 127 | 67 | $\mathbf{3 6 , 9 2 8}$ |
| $20-29$ | 5,114 | 2,918 | 60 |
| $30-39$ | 15,226 | 9,120 | 2,196 |
| $40-49$ | 20,224 | 11,879 | 6,106 |
| $50-59$ | 14,964 | 8,540 | 8,345 |
| $60-69$ | 11,861 | 6,510 | 6,424 |
| $70-79$ | 9,850 | 4,751 | 5,351 |
| $80+$ | 5,660 | 2,313 | 5,099 |
|  |  | Per cent of Total | 3,347 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Under 20 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 |
| $20-29$ | 6.16 | 6.33 | 5.95 |
| $30-39$ | 18.34 | 19.78 | 16.53 |
| $40-49$ | 24.36 | 25.77 | 22.60 |
| $50-59$ | 18.02 | 18.53 | 17.40 |
| $60-69$ | 14.29 | 14.12 | 14.49 |
| $70-79$ | 11.86 | 10.31 | 13.81 |
| $80+$ | 6.82 | 5.02 | 9.06 |
| Median Age | $\mathbf{4 8 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 9 7}$ |

### 13.4 Educational Attainment

With the exception of a very small number of heads, all had received some form of schooling, as only 0.25 per cent indicated that they had received none. Table 13.5 shows that the proportion for women was slightly higher at 0.27 per cent, compared with 0.24 per cent for the men. More than one half of all heads ( 53 per cent) had attained secondary level as the highest -53 per cent and 52 per cent of male and female heads respectively. Among the heads, more women ( 32 per
cent), than men ( 24 per cent) had attained primary level education as the highest level, and more male heads ( 23 per cent) than female heads ( 16 per cent), had attained tertiary level education.

Table 13.5 Heads of Households by
Highest Level of Educational Attainment and Sex: 2000

| Level of <br> Education | Total |  | Sex of Head |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{8 0 , 2 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 5 8 8}$ | Female |  |
| None | 204 | 107 | $\mathbf{3 5 , 6 7 9}$ |  |
| Primary | 21,941 | 10,604 | 97 |  |
| Secondary | 42,145 | 23,711 | 11,337 |  |
| Tertiary | 15,977 | 10,166 | 18,434 |  |
|  | Per cent of Total |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | 5,811 |  |
| None | 0.25 | 0.24 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |
| Primary | 27.34 | 23.78 | 0.27 |  |
| Secondary | 52.51 | 53.18 | 31.77 |  |
| Tertiary | 19.90 | 22.80 | 51.67 |  |

## Note: Excludes persons not reporting and persons reporting `other institution’ which is not classifiable by level.

The data by age as shown in Table 13.6, which presents heads of households by ten year age groups, show that the younger heads, both male and female had attained higher levels of education than the older heads. Among the male heads, while just about one half ( 50 per cent) of the 60 years and over heads had attained primary level only, more than 6 out of ten of all age groups up to age 44 years had attained a secondary level of education, as the highest. The highest proportion, 74 per cent, relates to the group under 25 years group. In relation to tertiary level education, the proportions for all ages up to age 59 years, range between the lowest, 21 per cent for the 50-59 years group and the highest, 31 per cent, for the 25-34 years old group. Only 11 per cent of all 60 year old and over male heads had attained tertiary level education.

Table 13.6 Male Heads of Households by Age Group and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

| Age Group | Total | None | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 44,588 | 107 | 10,604 | 23,711 | 10,166 |
| Under 25 | 751 | 0 | 14 | 554 | 183 |
| 25-34 | 5,831 | 2 | 241 | 3,773 | 1,815 |
| 35-44 | 11,204 | 8 | 969 | 6,952 | 3,275 |
| 45-49 | 10,418 | 6 | 1,869 | 5,812 | 2,731 |
| 50-59 | 3,400 | 13 | 989 | 1,685 | 713 |
| 60+ | 12,984 | 78 | 6,522 | 4,935 | 1,449 |
|  | Per Cent of Total |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 0.24 | 23.78 | 53.18 | 22.80 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 100.0 | 0.00 | 1.86 | 73.77 | 24.37 |
| 25-34 | 100.0 | 0.03 | 4.13 | 64.71 | 31.13 |
| 35-44 | 100.0 | 0.07 | 8.65 | 62.05 | 29.23 |
| 45-49 | 100.0 | 0.06 | 17.94 | 55.79 | 26.21 |
| 50-59 | 100.0 | 0.38 | 29.09 | 49.56 | 20.97 |
| 60+ | 100.0 | 0.60 | 50.23 | 38.01 | 11.16 |

Among the female heads (Table 13.7) 6 out of ten heads 60 years and over reported primary education as the highest level attained. Less than 1 per cent of the less than 25 year old group had attained primary level as the highest. On the other hand, 65 per cent of the youngest group had attained secondary level education and 34 per cent had attained tertiary level. Only 5 per cent of all 60 year old and over female heads had attained tertiary level education.

Table 13.7 Female Heads of Households by Age Group and Highest Level of Educational Attainment: 2000

| Age Group | Level of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | None | Primary | Secondary | Tertiary |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 8 , 4 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 8 1 1}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{3 5 , 6 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 3 3 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 4 3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 638 | 1 | 5 | 415 | 217 |  |  |  |
| $25-34$ | 3,997 | 2 | 131 | 2,642 | 1,222 |  |  |  |
| $35-44$ | 7,654 | 4 | 687 | 5,086 | 1,877 |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 7,533 | 6 | 1,592 | 4,427 | 1,508 |  |  |  |
| $50-59$ | 2,681 | 7 | 980 | 1,340 | 354 |  |  |  |
| $60+$ | 13,176 | 77 | 7,942 | 4,524 | 633 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{y y y y y y}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 9}$ |  |  |  |
| Under 25 | 100.00 | 0.16 | 0.78 | 65.05 | 34.01 |  |  |  |
| $25-34$ | 100.00 | 0.05 | 3.28 | 66.10 | 30.57 |  |  |  |
| $35-44$ | 100.00 | 0.05 | 8.98 | 66.45 | 24.52 |  |  |  |
| $45-49$ | 100.00 | 0.08 | 21.13 | 58.77 | 20.02 |  |  |  |
| $50-59$ | 100.00 | 0.26 | 36.55 | 49.98 | 13.20 |  |  |  |
| $60+$ | 100.00 | 0.58 | 60.28 | 34.34 | 4.80 |  |  |  |

### 13.5 Economic Activity

Data on economic activity as presented in Table 13.8 show that about 55,000 heads, more than two thirds ( 67 per cent) of all heads were economically active in the twelve months preceding the census. The number of males was 33,720 or 74 per cent of all male heads, compared to 21,006 women or 58 per cent of female heads. Around 53,000 heads were employed. Male employed numbered 33,081 representing about 7 out of 10 ( 73 per cent) of the male heads reporting, and women numbered 20,061 or 55 per cent of the total female heads. Inactivity was higher among women with 42 per cent of the female heads being inactive compared to 24 per cent of the male heads.

Table 13.8 Heads of Households by Economic Activity Status and Sex: 2000

| Economic Activity | Sotal | Sex of Head |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Number of Persons |  |  |
|  | Male |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{8 1 , 9 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 , 4 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 , 4 2 3}$ |
| Economically Active | $\mathbf{5 4 , 7 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 7 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 0 0 6}$ |
| Employed | 53,142 | 33,081 | 20,061 |
| Seeking Work | 1,584 | 639 | 945 |
| Inactive | $\mathbf{2 5 , 9 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 1 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 7 7 2}$ |
| Student | 263 | 108 | 155 |
| Home Duties | 3,189 | 180 | 3,009 |
| Retired | 21,039 | 10,157 | 10,882 |
| Incapacitated | 1,414 | 688 | 726 |
| Other | $\mathbf{1 , 2 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 4 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{y y y}$ |  |  |
| Total | Per cent of Total |  |  |
| Economically Active | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employed | $\mathbf{7 4 . 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 7 . 6 7}$ |  |
| Seeking Work | 64.87 | 72.72 | 55.08 |
| Inactive | 1.93 | 1.40 | 2.59 |
| Student | $\mathbf{3 1 . 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 5 6}$ |
| Home Duties | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.43 |
| Retired | 3.89 | 0.40 | 8.26 |
| Incapacitated | 25.68 | 22.33 | 29.88 |
| Other | 1.73 | 1.51 | 1.99 |

## Note: Excludes 1,111 persons not reporting.

Table 13.9 which presents activity status by age for male heads shows that employment was highest for heads between the ages of 25-44 years. A total of approximately 16,600 male heads or 96 per cent of the persons in that age group were employed. This compares with about 14,700 male heads between 45 and 64 years old equivalent to 85 per cent of all male heads in that age group and to the 1,113 of age 65 years and over as well as to the youngest group, the under 25 years old totalling less than 700 . Only 639 male heads were seeking work.

Table 13.9 Male Heads of Households by Economic Activity Status and Age Group: 2000

| Activity Status | Total | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-24 | 25-44 | 45-64 | 65+ |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 45,492 | 748 | 17,233 | 17,304 | 10,207 |
| Economically Active | 33,720 | 693 | 16,886 | 15,022 | 1,119 |
| Employed | 33,081 | 661 | 16,604 | 14,703 | 1,113 |
| Seeking Work | 639 | 32 | 282 | 319 | 6 |
| Inactive | 11,133 | 47 | 222 | 1,883 | 8,981 |
| Student | 108 | 41 | 53 | 14 | 0 |
| Home Duties | 180 | 3 | 35 | 104 | 38 |
| Retired | 10,157 | 0 | 0 | 1,325 | 8,832 |
| Incapacitated | 688 | 3 | 134 | 440 | 111 |
| Other | 639 | 8 | 125 | 399 | 107 |
|  | Per Cent of Total |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 74.12 | 92.65 | 97.99 | 86.81 | 10.96 |
| Employed | 72.72 | 88.37 | 96.35 | 84.97 | 10.90 |
| Seeking Work | 1.40 | 4.28 | 1.64 | 1.84 | 0.06 |
| Inactive | 24.48 | 6.28 | 1.29 | 10.88 | 87.99 |
| Student | 0.24 | 5.48 | 0.31 | 0.08 | 0.00 |
| Home Duties | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.60 | 0.37 |
| Retired | 22.33 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.66 | 86.53 |
| Incapacitated | 1.51 | 0.40 | 0.78 | 2.54 | 1.09 |
| Other | 1.40 | 1.07 | 0.72 | 2.31 | 1.05 |

Note: Excludes 606 persons not reporting.
The pattern is the same for the female heads, although at lower levels (Table 13.10). As for the men, peak employment was between the ages of 25 and 44 years: 10,234 women, 87 per cent of all female heads. This compares with 8,935 female heads between 45 and 64 years old or 69 per cent of all female heads in that age group. Only 422 female heads of age 65 years and over and 470 of age less than 25 years were employed, while 945 female heads were seeking work.

Table $\mathbf{1 3 . 1 0}$ Female Heads of Households by Economic Activity Status and Age Group: 2000

| Activity Status | Total | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 15-24 | 25-44 | 45-64 | 65+ |
|  | Number of Persons |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 36,423 | 637 | 11,765 | 12,942 | 11,079 |
| Economically Active | 21,006 | 511 | 10,788 | 9,280 | 427 |
| Employed | 20,061 | 470 | 10,234 | 8,935 | 422 |
| Seeking Work | 945 | 41 | 554 | 345 | 5 |
| Inactive | 14,772 | 119 | 876 | 3,263 | 10,514 |
| Student | 155 | 67 | 70 | 13 | 5 |
| Home Duties | 3,009 | 49 | 700 | 1,695 | 565 |
| Retired | 10,882 | 0 | 0 | 1,086 | 9,796 |
| Incapacitated | 726 | 3 | 106 | 469 | 148 |
| Other | 645 | 7 | 101 | 399 | 138 |
|  | Per Cent of Total |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Economically Active | 57.67 | 80.22 | 91.70 | 71.70 | 3.85 |
| Employed | 55.08 | 73.78 | 86.99 | 69.04 | 3.81 |
| Seeking Work | 2.59 | 6.44 | 4.71 | 2.67 | 0.05 |
| Inactive | 40.56 | 18.68 | 7.44 | 25.21 | 94.9 |
| Student | 0.43 | 10.52 | 0.59 | 0.10 | 0.05 |
| Home Duties | 8.26 | 7.69 | 5.95 | 13.10 | 5.10 |
| Retired | 29.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.39 | 88.42 |
| Incapacitated | 1.99 | 0.47 | 0.90 | 3.62 | 1.34 |
| Other | 1.77 | 1.10 | 0.86 | 3.08 | 1.25 |

Note: Excludes 505 persons not reporting.

Table 13.11 shows employment and job seeking rates. Employment rates are calculated by relating the numbers employed by the total economically active while job seeking rates are derived by relating the number of job seekers to the same (economically active) group. The employment rate for all heads was 97.1 per cent and the job seeking rate was 2.9 per cent. The employment rate was highest for the oldest heads, and lowest for the youngest. Rates for males and females 65 years and over were 99 per cent and for males less than 25 years it was 95 per cent compared to 92 per cent for females of the same age.

Table 13.11 Employment Rate and Job Seeking Rate for Heads of Households by Age Group and Sex: 2000

| Age Group | Both Sexes |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Employment Rate | Job Seeking Rate |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 7 . 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 8 9}$ |
| $15-24$ | 93.94 | 6.06 |
| $25-44$ | 96.98 | 3.02 |
| $45-64$ | 97.27 | 2.73 |
| $65+$ | 99.29 | 0.71 |
| Male |  |  |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 8 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 0}$ |
| $15-24$ | 95.38 | 4.62 |
| $25-44$ | 98.33 | 1.67 |
| $45-64$ | 97.88 | 2.12 |
| $65+$ | 99.46 | 0.54 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | Female |  |
| $15-24$ | $\mathbf{9 5 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 0}$ |
| $25-44$ | 91.98 | 8.02 |
| $45-64$ | 94.86 | 5.14 |
| $65+$ | 96.28 | 3.72 |

In relation to occupation status, Table 13.12 shows that the largest proportions of employed male heads were engaged as workers in craft and related trades, and as Professionals, Technicians and Associate Professionals: 24 per cent and 21 per cent respectively. The major occupations for the female employed heads were elementary occupations ( 29 per cent) and service and shop and market sales ( 22 per cent). Approximately 18 per cent and 15 per cent, of employed female heads worked as Professionals, Technicians and Associate Professionals and Clerical and Secretarial Workers, respectively.

Table 13.12 Employed Heads of Household by Occupational Group and Sex: 2000

| Occupational Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Total | Number | \% of <br> Total |
| Total Employed Reporting | $\mathbf{3 2 , 5 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 7 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Legislators, Senior Officers and | 4,227 | 12.99 | 1,403 | 7.12 |
| Managers | 6,903 | 21.21 | 3,646 | 18.49 |
| Professionals, Technicians and | 1,240 | 3.81 | 3,053 | 15.48 |
| Associate Professionals | 3,240 | 9.95 | 4,308 | 21.85 |
| Clerical and Secretarial Workers | 1,371 | 4.21 | 214 | 1.09 |
| Service Workers and Shop and | 7,663 | 23.54 | 785 | 3.98 |
| Market Sales Workers | 3,375 | 10.37 | 607 | 3.08 |
| Skilled Agricultural and Fishery | 4,531 | 13.92 | 5,701 | 28.91 |
| Workers |  |  |  |  |
| Craft and Related Trades Workers |  |  |  |  |
| Plant and Machine Operators and |  |  |  |  |
| Assemblers |  |  |  |  |
| Elementary Occupations |  |  |  |  |
| Not Stated |  |  |  |  |

Table 13.13 Employed Heads of Household by Industrial Group and Sex: 2000

| Industrial Group | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Total | Number | \% of <br> Total |
| Total Employed Reporting | $\mathbf{3 2 , 3 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 , 6 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Agricultural Hunting, forestry and | 1,588 | 4.90 | 661 | 3.36 |
| fishing |  |  |  |  |
| Mining and Quarrying | 152 | 0.47 | 22 | 0.11 |
| Manufacturing | 3,311 | 10.23 | 1,834 | 9.32 |
| Electricity, Gas and Water | 620 | 1.91 | 73 | 0.37 |
| Construction | 5,576 | 17.22 | 236 | 1.20 |
| Wholesale and Retail Trades | 6,670 | 20.60 | 5,574 | 28.33 |
| Transport, Storage | 2,978 | 9.20 | 699 | 3.55 |
| Financial | 1,195 | 3.69 | 976 | 4.96 |
| Community, Social and Personal | 10,289 | 31.78 | 9,600 | 48.79 |
| Services |  |  |  |  |

With regard to industry (Table 13.13), the largest employer of heads, both male and female was Community, Social and Personal Services. Approximately 49 per cent of female heads and 32 per cent of male heads were employed in this area. Other industries employing a high proportion of heads were the Wholesale and Retail Trades ( 28 per cent females and 21 per cent males), and Construction which employed about 5,600 male heads representing 17 per cent of all male heads.

About 60 per cent of the employed male heads and 58 per cent of employed female heads, representing 16,535 men and 10,289 women, were employed in private enterprise (Table 13.14). Government employed about 30 per cent of working female heads, 9 per cent of which were employed in private households, while 28 per cent of the men were employed in Government and only 1 per cent in private households. There were more than five times as many self employed males as females: 2,735 compared to 540.

Table 13.14 Employed Heads of Household by Status in Employment and Sex: 2000

| Employment Status | Male |  | Female |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | \% of <br> Total | Number | $\mathbf{\%}$ of <br> Total |
| Total Employed Reporting | $\mathbf{2 7 , 4 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |
| Employees | $\mathbf{2 4 , 6 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 . 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 2 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 6 . 9 6}$ |
| Government | 7,756 | 28.30 | 5,304 | 29.90 |
| Private Enterprise | 16,535 | 60.32 | 10,289 | 58.00 |
| Private Household | 385 | 1.40 | 1,608 | 9.06 |
| Self Employed | $\mathbf{2 , 7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 4}$ |
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## TECHNICAL NOTES

## Measures of Sex Composition

Sex Ratio - the number of males per 100 females, calculated as:
(Males/females) * 100
Masculinity Proportion - the percentage of males in the population, calculated as:
(Males/Total Population) * 100
Excess (or deficit) of males as a percent of the total population:
(Males-Females/Total Population) * 100

## Measures of Age Composition

Adolescents: Population 15-19 years.
Age-Dependency Ratio - the ratio of the combined child (0-14 years) population and aged (65+ years) population to the population of 'working' (15-64 years) age group.
Children: Population 0-14 years.
Elderly: Population 65 years and older.
Youth/Child Dependency Ratio:
(Population 0-14 years/population 15-64years) * 100
Old-Age Dependency Ratio:
(Population 65 years and over/population 15-64years) * 100
Total Dependency Ratio = sum of the two ratios
Median Age - the age which divides the population into two equal-size groups, one of which is younger and the other of which is older than the median.
Working Age Population: Population between the ages of 15-64 years of age.
Young Adults: Population between 20-24 years.
Youth: Population between the ages of 15-24 years of age.

## Measures of Internal Migration

Lifetime Migrants- persons not found living in their parish of birth at the time of the census. Lifetime migrants are out-migrants from their parish of birth and in-migrants to their parish of residence.

## Percentage of Lifetime Migrants:

(Number of lifetime migrants/total local born population) * 100

## Other Socio-Demographic Measures

Total Fertility Rate (TFR): indicator of the average number of children per woman
Marital Status: this characterizes legal or religious marital unions in which couples are involved.
Union Status: refers to the actual de facto unions in which couples are involved.

## Out migration Rate:

(Out migrants from parish of birth/total population born in parish) * 100

## In migration Rate:

(In migrants to parish of residence/total population resident in parish) *100
Net Migration: The difference between in-migration and out-migration in an area
Non movers - persons found living in their parish of birth at the time of the census.

## Growth Rates

Rates of Growth are calculated using the Exponential growth rate using the formula:
$r=\frac{\ln \left(P_{0} / P n\right)}{n}$
where $P o=$ population at the start
$P n=$ population at the end
$n=$ number of intervals between Po and Pn
$r=$ annual growth rate
$\ln =$ natural logarithm

## APPENDIX TABLES

## Appendix Table 1.1 Total Population by Age Group and Parish: 2000

| Age Group | Barbados | St. <br> Michael | Christ <br> Church | St. George | St. <br> Philip | St. John |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 , 6 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 4 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 , 8 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 8 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 8 7 3}$ |
| $0-4$ | 17,239 | 5,955 | 3,266 | 1,335 | 1,570 | 627 |
| $5-9$ | 18,749 | 18,749 | 6,473 | 3,491 | 1,334 | 693 |
| $10-14$ | 18,613 | 6,274 | 3,577 | 1,280 | 1,739 | 654 |
| $15-19$ | 18,636 | 6,472 | 3,469 | 1,322 | 1,694 | 651 |
| $20-24$ | 17,804 | 6,213 | 3,250 | 1,314 | 1,544 | 652 |
| $25-29$ | 19,738 | 6,531 | 3,828 | 1,492 | 1,768 | 770 |
| $30-34$ | 19,588 | 6,469 | 3,903 | 1,403 | 1,687 | 692 |
| $35-39$ | 21,257 | 7,094 | 4,089 | 1,475 | 2,010 | 725 |
| $40-44$ | 20,055 | 6,494 | 3,961 | 1,460 | 1,943 | 640 |
| $45-49$ | 16,774 | 5,192 | 3,567 | 1,162 | 1,684 | 577 |
| $50-54$ | 13,638 | 4,282 | 2,903 | 998 | 1,328 | 481 |
| $55-59$ | 9,583 | 3,032 | 2,083 | 671 | 840 | 353 |
| $60-64$ | 8,925 | 2,910 | 1,950 | 640 | 825 | 285 |
| $65-69$ | 8,319 | 2,868 | 1,811 | 555 | 742 | 268 |
| $70-74$ | 7,649 | 2,651 | 1,680 | 481 | 687 | 305 |
| $75-79$ | 5,670 | 2,023 | 1,189 | 401 | 457 | 216 |
| $80-84$ | 4,217 | 1,495 | 795 | 295 | 308 | 149 |
| 85 and | 3,556 | 1,256 | 685 | 250 | 280 | 135 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 1.1 Cont'd Total Population by Age Group and Parish: 2000

| Age Group | St. <br> James | St. Thomas | St. Joseph | St. Andrew | St. Peter | St. Lucy |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 , 7 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 3 9 7}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 8 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 3 2 8}$ |
| $0-4$ | 1,448 | 884 | 469 | 384 | 675 | 626 |
| $5-9$ | 1,600 | 1,038 | 509 | 415 | 787 | 651 |
| $10-14$ | 1,696 | 1,012 | 491 | 382 | 811 | 697 |
| $15-19$ | 1,679 | 936 | 548 | 415 | 772 | 678 |
| $20-24$ | 1,554 | 839 | 513 | 435 | 782 | 708 |
| $25-29$ | 1,709 | 976 | 564 | 469 | 870 | 761 |
| $30-34$ | 1,750 | 1,082 | 535 | 465 | 882 | 720 |
| $35-39$ | 1,943 | 1,111 | 593 | 434 | 955 | 818 |
| $40-44$ | 2,000 | 994 | 529 | 388 | 863 | 783 |
| $45-49$ | 1,802 | 732 | 410 | 328 | 683 | 637 |
| $50-54$ | 1,396 | 677 | 331 | 215 | 575 | 452 |
| $55-59$ | 940 | 444 | 242 | 205 | 392 | 381 |
| $60-64$ | 899 | 399 | 196 | 161 | 366 | 294 |
| $65-69$ | 701 | 354 | 225 | 131 | 359 | 305 |
| $70-74$ | 598 | 323 | 188 | 147 | 311 | 278 |
| $75-79$ | 432 | 230 | 176 | 99 | 239 | 208 |
| $80-84$ | 318 | 192 | 133 | 96 | 229 | 207 |
| 85 and | 266 | 174 | 153 | 85 | 148 | 124 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 1.2 Male Population by Age Group and Parish: 2000

| Age Group | Barbados | St. <br> Michael | Christ <br> Church | St. George | St. <br> Philip | St. John |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 , 1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 6 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 2 4}$ |
| $0-4$ | 8,763 | 3,039 | 1,639 | 667 | 830 | 315 |
| $5-9$ | 9,479 | 3,265 | 1,774 | 668 | 909 | 360 |
| $10-14$ | 9,425 | 3,165 | 1,800 | 638 | 911 | 342 |
| $15-19$ | 9,434 | 3,277 | 1,737 | 681 | 838 | 339 |
| $20-24$ | 8,913 | 3,133 | 1,631 | 649 | 715 | 342 |
| $25-29$ | 9,758 | 3,182 | 1,814 | 744 | 868 | 409 |
| $30-34$ | 9,574 | 3,145 | 1,873 | 694 | 810 | 359 |
| $35-39$ | 10,155 | 3,376 | 1,908 | 732 | 988 | 378 |
| $40-44$ | 9,544 | 3,151 | 1,802 | 708 | 908 | 326 |
| $45-49$ | 8,007 | 2,549 | 1,610 | 564 | 823 | 281 |
| $50-54$ | 6,563 | 2,068 | 1,368 | 475 | 638 | 225 |
| $55-59$ | 4,376 | 1,376 | 925 | 309 | 401 | 185 |
| $60-64$ | 3,969 | 1,319 | 832 | 293 | 370 | 138 |
| $65-69$ | 3,648 | 1,248 | 792 | 254 | 322 | 113 |
| $70-74$ | 3,208 | 1,093 | 699 | 205 | 304 | 125 |
| $75-79$ | 2,310 | 829 | 507 | 151 | 203 | 82 |
| $80-84$ | 1,612 | 564 | 280 | 114 | 129 | 57 |
| 85 and | 1,188 | 395 | 222 | 82 | 96 | 48 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 1.2 Cont'd Male Population by Age Group and Parish: 2000

| Age Group | St. <br> James | St. Thomas | St. Joseph | St. Andrew | St. Peter | St. Lucy |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 3 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 5 5 7}$ |
| $0-4$ | 741 | 454 | 239 | 206 | 335 | 298 |
| $5-9$ | 772 | 516 | 267 | 220 | 409 | 328 |
| $10-14$ | 847 | 518 | 270 | 181 | 414 | 339 |
| $15-19$ | 843 | 453 | 277 | 209 | 411 | 369 |
| $20-24$ | 763 | 440 | 270 | 242 | 376 | 352 |
| $25-29$ | 857 | 491 | 300 | 244 | 359 | 390 |
| $30-34$ | 838 | 545 | 280 | 261 | 410 | 359 |
| $35-39$ | 847 | 529 | 312 | 227 | 445 | 413 |
| $40-44$ | 900 | 463 | 266 | 211 | 411 | 398 |
| $45-49$ | 827 | 341 | 204 | 151 | 340 | 317 |
| $50-54$ | 665 | 347 | 165 | 107 | 276 | 229 |
| $55-59$ | 438 | 218 | 101 | 93 | 184 | 158 |
| $60-64$ | 401 | 173 | 76 | 77 | 164 | 126 |
| $65-69$ | 314 | 154 | 103 | 56 | 156 | 136 |
| $70-74$ | 252 | 130 | 75 | 66 | 134 | 125 |
| $75-79$ | 152 | 92 | 77 | 31 | 98 | 88 |
| $80-84$ | 120 | 83 | 45 | 37 | 100 | 83 |
| 85 and | 92 | 66 | 58 | 27 | 53 | 49 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 1.3 Female Population by Age Group and Parish: 2000

| Age Group | Barbados | St. <br> Michael | Christ <br> Church | St. George | St. <br> Philip | St. John |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 0 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 5 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 , 2 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 4 9}$ |
| $0-4$ | 8,476 | 2,916 | 1,627 | 668 | 740 | 312 |
| $5-9$ | 9,270 | 3,217 | 1,717 | 666 | 849 | 333 |
| $10-14$ | 9,188 | 3,109 | 1,777 | 642 | 828 | 312 |
| $15-19$ | 9,202 | 3,195 | 1,732 | 641 | 856 | 312 |
| $20-24$ | 8,891 | 3,080 | 1,619 | 665 | 829 | 310 |
| $25-29$ | 9,980 | 3,349 | 2,014 | 748 | 900 | 361 |
| $30-34$ | 10,014 | 3,324 | 2,030 | 709 | 877 | 333 |
| $35-39$ | 11,102 | 3,718 | 2,181 | 743 | 1,022 | 347 |
| $40-44$ | 10,511 | 3,343 | 2,159 | 752 | 1,035 | 314 |
| $45-49$ | 8,767 | 2,643 | 1,957 | 598 | 861 | 296 |
| $50-54$ | 7,075 | 2,214 | 1,535 | 523 | 690 | 256 |
| $55-59$ | 5,207 | 1,668 | 1,158 | 362 | 439 | 168 |
| $60-64$ | 4,956 | 1,591 | 1,118 | 347 | 455 | 147 |
| $65-69$ | 4,671 | 1,620 | 1,019 | 301 | 420 | 155 |
| $70-74$ | 4,441 | 1,558 | 981 | 276 | 383 | 180 |
| $75-79$ | 3,360 | 1,194 | 682 | 250 | 254 | 134 |
| $80-84$ | 2,605 | 931 | 515 | 181 | 179 | 92 |
| 85 and | 2,368 | 861 | 463 | 168 | 184 | 87 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 1.3 Cont'd Female Population by Age Group and Parish: 2000

| Age Group | St. <br> James | St. Thomas | St. Joseph | St. Andrew | St. Peter | St. Lucy |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 , 0 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 3 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 7 1}$ |
| $0-4$ | 707 | 430 | 230 | 178 | 340 | 328 |
| $5-9$ | 828 | 522 | 242 | 195 | 378 | 323 |
| $10-14$ | 849 | 494 | 221 | 201 | 397 | 358 |
| $15-19$ | 836 | 483 | 271 | 206 | 361 | 309 |
| $20-24$ | 791 | 399 | 243 | 193 | 406 | 356 |
| $25-29$ | 852 | 485 | 264 | 225 | 411 | 371 |
| $30-34$ | 912 | 537 | 255 | 204 | 472 | 361 |
| $35-39$ | 1,106 | 582 | 281 | 207 | 510 | 405 |
| $40-44$ | 1,100 | 531 | 263 | 177 | 452 | 385 |
| $45-49$ | 975 | 391 | 206 | 177 | 343 | 320 |
| $50-54$ | 731 | 330 | 166 | 108 | 299 | 223 |
| $55-59$ | 502 | 226 | 141 | 112 | 208 | 223 |
| $60-64$ | 498 | 226 | 120 | 84 | 202 | 168 |
| $65-69$ | 387 | 200 | 122 | 75 | 203 | 169 |
| $70-74$ | 346 | 193 | 113 | 81 | 177 | 153 |
| $75-79$ | 280 | 138 | 99 | 68 | 141 | 120 |
| $80-84$ | 198 | 109 | 88 | 59 | 129 | 124 |
| 85 and | 174 | 108 | 95 | 58 | 95 | 75 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 1.4 Total Population by Age Group and Parish: 1990

| Age Group | Barbados | St. <br> Michael | Christ <br> Church | St. George | St. <br> Philip | St. John |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 7 , 2 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 9 , 8 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 9 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 , 7 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 , 5 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 6 4 0}$ |
| $0-4$ | 18,625 | 6,768 | 3,501 | 1,170 | 1,573 | 724 |
| $5-9$ | 20,350 | 7,495 | 3,734 | 1,316 | 1,739 | 774 |
| $10-14$ | 20,612 | 7,455 | 3,733 | 1,475 | 1,731 | 805 |
| $15-19$ | 22,656 | 7,900 | 4,132 | 1,684 | 1,942 | 1,008 |
| $20-24$ | 21,271 | 7,666 | 3,748 | 1,505 | 1,646 | 865 |
| $25-29$ | 22,968 | 8,496 | 4,159 | 1,536 | 1,870 | 919 |
| $30-34$ | 21,855 | 8,019 | 4,191 | 1,461 | 1,812 | 803 |
| $35-39$ | 18,274 | 6,338 | 3,786 | 1,190 | 1,615 | 641 |
| $40-44$ | 14,557 | 4,949 | 3,059 | 1,002 | 1,360 | 525 |
| $45-49$ | 10,369 | 3,572 | 2,176 | 717 | 853 | 408 |
| $50-54$ | 9,502 | 3,488 | 1,988 | 620 | 742 | 320 |
| $55-59$ | 8,356 | 3,167 | 1,669 | 533 | 680 | 311 |
| $60-64$ | 8,571 | 3,286 | 1,703 | 528 | 664 | 350 |
| $65-69$ | 8,107 | 3,173 | 1,529 | 527 | 634 | 317 |
| $70-74$ | 7,842 | 2,902 | 1,428 | 527 | 589 | 307 |
| $75-79$ | 6,128 | 2,293 | 1,145 | 448 | 467 | 265 |
| $80-84$ | 4,136 | 1,616 | 711 | 268 | 362 | 174 |
| 85 and | 3,050 | 1,215 | 548 | 207 | 261 | 123 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Stated | 59 | 42 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |

## Appendix Table 1.4 Cont'd Total Population by Age Group and Parish: 1990

| Age Group | St. <br> James | St. Thomas | St. Joseph | St. Andrew | St. Peter | St. Lucy |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 0 , 6 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 6 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 2 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 6 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 7 7}$ |
| $0-4$ | 1,616 | 844 | 545 | 435 | 755 | 694 |
| $5-9$ | 1,696 | 932 | 593 | 471 | 822 | 778 |
| $10-14$ | 1,710 | 869 | 624 | 513 | 883 | 814 |
| $15-19$ | 1,836 | 1,017 | 707 | 598 | 984 | 848 |
| $20-24$ | 1,782 | 1,000 | 723 | 590 | 923 | 823 |
| $25-29$ | 1,903 | 1,001 | 683 | 559 | 943 | 899 |
| $30-34$ | 1,947 | 909 | 612 | 446 | 859 | 796 |
| $35-39$ | 1,661 | 706 | 481 | 371 | 707 | 678 |
| $40-44$ | 1,434 | 618 | 328 | 246 | 587 | 449 |
| $45-49$ | 951 | 435 | 259 | 217 | 405 | 376 |
| $50-54$ | 859 | 422 | 226 | 174 | 343 | 320 |
| $55-59$ | 662 | 349 | 241 | 140 | 300 | 305 |
| $60-64$ | 629 | 346 | 226 | 183 | 331 | 325 |
| $65-69$ | 611 | 310 | 243 | 156 | 298 | 309 |
| $70-74$ | 565 | 339 | 252 | 211 | 366 | 356 |
| $75-79$ | 379 | 272 | 221 | 137 | 273 | 228 |
| $80-84$ | 245 | 166 | 139 | 104 | 179 | 172 |
| 85 and | 184 | 137 | 101 | 73 | 95 | 106 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |

Appendix Table 1.5 Male Population by Age Group and Parish: 1990

| Age Group | Barbados | St. <br> Michael | Christ <br> Church | St. George | St. <br> Philip | St. John |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 8 , 5 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 , 8 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 , 0 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 1 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 5 4}$ |
| $0-4$ | 9,412 | 3,396 | 1,768 | 589 | 849 | 361 |
| $5-9$ | 10,229 | 3,757 | 1,852 | 668 | 877 | 404 |
| $10-14$ | 10,481 | 3,802 | 1,899 | 741 | 842 | 425 |
| $15-19$ | 11,458 | 3,964 | 2,063 | 859 | 998 | 530 |
| $20-24$ | 10,726 | 3,833 | 1,832 | 784 | 859 | 456 |
| $25-29$ | 11,286 | 4,146 | 1,977 | 814 | 962 | 475 |
| $30-34$ | 10,529 | 3,917 | 1,902 | 719 | 887 | 416 |
| $35-39$ | 8,813 | 3,141 | 1,779 | 565 | 759 | 319 |
| $40-44$ | 7,140 | 2,423 | 1,478 | 499 | 687 | 248 |
| $45-49$ | 4,809 | 1,643 | 1,027 | 321 | 408 | 206 |
| $50-54$ | 4,325 | 1,604 | 880 | 281 | 344 | 157 |
| $55-59$ | 3,713 | 1,414 | 758 | 239 | 288 | 140 |
| $60-64$ | 3,696 | 1,411 | 722 | 233 | 280 | 137 |
| $65-69$ | 3,522 | 1,390 | 661 | 225 | 299 | 141 |
| $70-74$ | 3,329 | 1,213 | 579 | 226 | 267 | 129 |
| $75-79$ | 2,527 | 890 | 470 | 200 | 203 | 107 |
| $80-84$ | 1,578 | 577 | 267 | 104 | 153 | 66 |
| 85 and | 951 | 340 | 150 | 73 | 90 | 37 |
| over |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Not Stated | 32 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |

Appendix Table 1.5 Cont'd Male Population by Age Group and Parish: 1990

| Age Group | St. <br> James | St. Thomas | St. Joseph | St. Andrew | St. Peter | St. Lucy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 4 8 4}$ |
| $0-4$ | 796 | 431 | 288 | 208 | 376 | 350 |
| $5-9$ | 835 | 458 | 301 | 226 | 436 | 415 |
| $10-14$ | 923 | 425 | 326 | 277 | 420 | 401 |
| $15-19$ | 904 | 500 | 389 | 293 | 525 | 433 |
| $20-24$ | 855 | 515 | 373 | 328 | 464 | 427 |
| $25-29$ | 850 | 490 | 346 | 302 | 469 | 455 |
| $30-34$ | 887 | 447 | 309 | 248 | 404 | 393 |
| $35-39$ | 805 | 338 | 251 | 187 | 339 | 330 |
| $40-44$ | 707 | 308 | 166 | 119 | 282 | 223 |
| $45-49$ | 445 | 202 | 114 | 96 | 199 | 148 |
| $50-54$ | 397 | 193 | 103 | 78 | 153 | 135 |
| $55-59$ | 291 | 144 | 108 | 66 | 131 | 134 |
| $60-64$ | 289 | 154 | 96 | 72 | 144 | 158 |
| $65-69$ | 245 | 140 | 95 | 69 | 132 | 125 |
| $70-74$ | 259 | 140 | 111 | 85 | 166 | 154 |
| $75-79$ | 162 | 119 | 99 | 57 | 126 | 94 |
| $80-84$ | 84 | 66 | 60 | 53 | 78 | 70 |
| 85 and | 64 | 54 | 46 | 28 | 30 | 39 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix Table 1.6 Female Population by Age Group and Parish: 1990

| Age Group | Barbados | St. <br> Michael | Christ <br> Church | St. George | St. <br> Philip | St. John |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 2 8 , 7 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 9 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 , 8 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 5 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 8 8 6}$ |
| $0-4$ | 9,213 | 3,372 | 1,733 | 581 | 724 | 363 |
| $5-9$ | 10,121 | 3,738 | 1,882 | 648 | 862 | 370 |
| $10-14$ | 10,131 | 3,653 | 1,834 | 734 | 889 | 380 |
| $15-19$ | 11,198 | 3,936 | 2,069 | 825 | 944 | 478 |
| $20-24$ | 10,545 | 3,833 | 1,916 | 721 | 787 | 409 |
| $25-29$ | 11,682 | 4,350 | 2,182 | 722 | 908 | 444 |
| $30-34$ | 11,326 | 4,102 | 2,289 | 742 | 925 | 387 |
| $35-39$ | 9,461 | 3,197 | 2,007 | 625 | 856 | 322 |
| $40-44$ | 7,417 | 2,526 | 1,581 | 503 | 673 | 277 |
| $45-49$ | 5,560 | 1,929 | 1,149 | 396 | 445 | 202 |
| $50-54$ | 5,177 | 1,884 | 1,108 | 339 | 398 | 163 |
| $55-59$ | 4,643 | 1,753 | 910 | 294 | 392 | 171 |
| $60-64$ | 4,875 | 1,875 | 981 | 295 | 384 | 213 |
| $65-69$ | 4,585 | 1,783 | 868 | 302 | 335 | 176 |
| $70-74$ | 4,513 | 1,689 | 849 | 301 | 322 | 178 |
| $75-79$ | 3,601 | 1,403 | 675 | 248 | 264 | 158 |
| $80-84$ | 2,558 | 1,039 | 444 | 164 | 209 | 108 |
| 85 and | 2,099 | 875 | 398 | 134 | 171 | 86 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not Stated | 27 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 1 |

Appendix Table 1.6 Cont'd Female Population by Age Group and Parish: 1990

| Age Group | St. <br> James | St. Thomas | St. Joseph | St. Andrew | St. Peter | St. Lucy |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 8 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 5 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 , 2 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 7 9 3}$ |
| $0-4$ | 820 | 413 | 257 | 227 | 379 | 344 |
| $5-9$ | 861 | 474 | 292 | 245 | 386 | 363 |
| $10-14$ | 787 | 444 | 298 | 236 | 463 | 413 |
| $15-19$ | 932 | 517 | 318 | 305 | 459 | 415 |
| $20-24$ | 927 | 485 | 350 | 262 | 459 | 396 |
| $25-29$ | 1,053 | 511 | 337 | 257 | 474 | 444 |
| $30-34$ | 1,060 | 462 | 303 | 198 | 455 | 403 |
| $35-39$ | 856 | 368 | 230 | 184 | 368 | 348 |
| $40-44$ | 727 | 310 | 162 | 127 | 305 | 226 |
| $45-49$ | 506 | 233 | 145 | 121 | 206 | 228 |
| $50-54$ | 462 | 229 | 123 | 96 | 190 | 185 |
| $55-59$ | 371 | 205 | 133 | 74 | 169 | 171 |
| $60-64$ | 340 | 192 | 130 | 111 | 187 | 167 |
| $65-69$ | 366 | 170 | 148 | 87 | 166 | 184 |
| $70-74$ | 306 | 199 | 141 | 126 | 200 | 202 |
| $75-79$ | 217 | 153 | 122 | 80 | 147 | 134 |
| $80-84$ | 161 | 100 | 79 | 51 | 101 | 102 |
| 85 and | 120 | 83 | 55 | 45 | 65 | 67 |
| over |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not stated | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
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[^0]:    1 Note: Based on the total population Census 1960 (i.e. 232,300) and the data for intercensal period 1970: births, deaths and migration, the estimated 1970 census population should be 238,400 persons. There is a difference of 1500 .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In 1990, employed categories do not add up to the totals. There are 314 males and 178 females short below the respective males and females totals (i.e. $\mathbf{5 8 , 8 3 0}$ males and 47,292 females).

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Excludes persons who reported `None’, persons who did not report and persons obtaining the Secondary School Leaving Certificate

